



CLARKE SUBDIFFERENTIAL FOR LIPSCHITZ FUNCTIONS ON ASPLUND SPACES

XI YIN ZHENG

ABSTRACT. Based on Preiss' Fréchet differentiability theorem, this note proves that the Clarke subdifferential $\partial f(x)$ of a locally Lipschitz real-valued function f on an open set in an Asplund space is equal to the weak*-closed convex hull of the set of all weak* limit points $w^* - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \nabla f(x_k)$ with $\{x_k\}$ converging strongly to x and f being Fréchet differentiable at each x_k . This extends Clarke's classical result about the Clarke subdifferential from the finite dimensional case to the infinite dimensional one.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given an open set G in a Banach space X and a locally Lipschitz function $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, recall that the Clarke subdifferential of f at $x \in G$ is the following set

$$\partial f(x) := \{x^* \in X^* : \langle x^*, h \rangle \leq f^\circ(x, h) \quad \forall h \in X\},$$

where $f^\circ(x, h) = \limsup_{y \rightarrow x, t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(y+th) - f(y)}{t}$ is the Clarke directional derivative of f at x in direction h . For convenience, let

$$\mathcal{G}_F(f) = \{u \in G : f \text{ is Fréchet differentiable at } u\}.$$

It is well known, as the Radmader theorem, that if X is finite dimensional then $G \setminus \mathcal{G}_F(f)$ is of Lebesgue measure 0. With the help of Radmader's theorem, Clarke [1] proved that if X is finite dimensional then

$$(1.1) \quad \partial f(x) = \text{co} \left\{ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \nabla f(x_k) : x_k \rightarrow x, x_k \in \mathcal{G}_F(f) \right\}$$

(cf. [1, Theorem 2.5.1]). Hiriart-Urruty and Thibault [3] extended formula (1.1) to separable Banach spaces (also see [1, page 285]). Recall that the Fréchet subdifferential and Mordukhovich limiting subdifferential $\hat{\partial}f(x)$ and $\bar{\partial}f(x)$ of f at $x \in G$ are defined by

$$\hat{\partial}f(x) := \left\{ x^* \in X^* : \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x) - \langle x^*, h \rangle}{\|h\|} \geq 0 \right\}$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 49J50, 49J52, 46T20.

Key words and phrases. Clarke subdifferential, Fréchet differentiability, Asplund space.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of P. R. China (Grant No. 12171419).

and

$$(1.2) \quad \bar{\partial}f(x) := \{x^* : x_k \rightarrow x, x_k^* \xrightarrow{w^*} x^* \text{ and } x_k^* \in \hat{\partial}f(x_k)\},$$

respectively. Note that there exists a globally Lipschitz function φ on the classical Banach space ℓ^1 such that $\hat{\partial}\varphi(x) = \bar{\partial}\varphi(x) = \emptyset$ for all $x \in \ell^1$. However, in the case when X is an Asplund space, $\text{dom}(\hat{\partial}f) = \{x \in G : \hat{\partial}f(x) \neq \emptyset\}$ is dense in the domain G of f and

$$(1.3) \quad \partial f(x) = \overline{\text{co}}^{w^*}(\bar{\partial}f(x)) \quad \forall x \in G,$$

where $\overline{\text{co}}^{w^*}$ denotes the weak*-closed convex hull (cf. [5, 6]). Recall that X is an Asplund space if every continuous convex function φ on an open convex set G in X is Fréchet differentiable on a dense G_δ subset of G . Many important and interesting results on Asplund spaces have been established (cf. [2, 7]). In particular, X is an Asplund space if and only if every separable subspace of X has a separable dual space (cf. [7]). Moreover, Preiss [8] proved that if X is an Asplund space then $G_F(f)$ is dense in G for any locally Lipschitz function f on an open subset G of X . Given a locally Lipschitz function f on an open set G in an Asplund space, motivated by (1.1)—(1.3) and Preiss' result, it is nature to ask whether or not

$$\partial f(x) = \overline{\text{co}}^{w^*} \left(\left\{ w^* - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \nabla f(x_k) : x_k \rightarrow x, x_k \in G_F(f) \right\} \right).$$

This note will give a positive answer to the above question. Moreover, this note considers extending Clarke's generalized Jacobian chain rule to infinite dimension spaces from the finite dimensional spaces.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this section, we make the following assumptions:

- A1)** X is an Asplund space.
- A2)** G is an open nonempty set in X .
- A3)** $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a locally Lipschitz function.

Recall that $G_F(f)$ denotes the set of all Fréchet differentiable points of f in G . To prove the main result in this note, we need the following very profound result established by Preiss (cf. [8, Theorem 2.5]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $u, v \in X$ be such that the segment $[u, v] := \{tu + (1-t)v : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ is contained in G , and suppose that A1)—A3) are satisfied. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \inf\{\langle \nabla f(x), v - u \rangle : x \in G \cap G_F(f)\} &\leq f(v) - f(u) \\ &\leq \sup\{\langle \nabla f(x), v - u \rangle : x \in G \cap G_F(f)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The following Lebourg mean-value theorem (cf. [1, Theorem 2.3.7]) is also useful for our analysis.

Lemma 2.2. *For any $x, y \in X$ with $[x, y] \subset G$, there exists $u \in (x, y) := \{tu + (1-t)v : 0 < t < 1\}$ such that*

$$f(y) - f(x) \in \{\langle u^*, y - x \rangle : u^* \in \partial f(u)\}.$$

For a locally Lipschitz vector-valued function $F : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, we adopt the generalized Jacobian $\partial F(x)$ of F at $x \in G$ as follows

$$(2.1) \quad \partial F(x) := \left\{ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \nabla F(x_k) : x_k \rightarrow x, x_k \in \mathcal{G}_F(F) \right\}$$

(cf. [1, 10]), where $\mathcal{G}_F(F)$ denotes the set of all points at which F are differentiable. Even for $n = 2$, it is unknown whether or not $\partial F(x)$ is nonempty (c.f [2]). However, when the dimension $\dim(X)$ of X is finite, $\partial F(x) \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in G$ and the following result holds (cf. [1, Proposition 2.6.5]).

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that X is finite dimensional. Then, for any $x, y \in X$ with $[x, y] \subset G$,*

$$F(y) - F(x) \in \text{co}(\partial F([x, y]))(y - x).$$

3. MAIN RESULT

First we extend Clarke’s result on the Clarke subdifferential formula for a locally Lipschitz real-valued function from the finite dimensional space to the more general Asplund space.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be an open set in an Asplund space X and let $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a locally Lipschitz function. Then, for any $x \in G$,*

$$(3.1) \quad f^\circ(x, h) = \limsup_{y \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}_f} x} \langle \nabla f(y), h \rangle \quad \forall h \in X$$

and

$$\partial f(x) = \overline{\text{co}}^{w^*} \left(\left\{ w^* - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \nabla f(x_k) : x_k \rightarrow x, x_k \in \mathcal{G}_F(f) \right\} \right),$$

where $y \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}_F(f)} x$ means $\|y - x\| \rightarrow 0$ and $y \in \mathcal{G}_F(f)$.

Proof. Take $L, r \in (0, +\infty)$ such that $B(x, r) \subset G$ and

$$(3.2) \quad |f(y) - f(z)| \leq L\|y - z\| \quad \forall y, z \in B(x, r),$$

where $B(x, r)$ is the open ball with center x and radius r . Let

$$A := \left\{ w^* - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \nabla f(x_k) : x_k \rightarrow x, x_k \in \mathcal{G}_F(f) \right\}.$$

For any h in X , take a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in $B(x, r)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \nabla f(y_n), h \rangle = \limsup_{y \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}_F(f)} x} \langle \nabla f(y), h \rangle.$$

Then $\|\nabla f(y_n)\| \leq L$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (thanks to (3.2)). Since X is an Asplund space, the unit ball B_{X^*} of X^* is sequentially compact with respect to the weak* topology (cf. [5, Proposition 1.123]). Hence there exists a subsequence $\{y_{n_k}\}$ of $\{y_n\}$ such that $\nabla f(y_{n_k}) \xrightarrow{w^*} y^* \in LB_{X^*}$. It follows that $y^* \in A$ and

$$\langle y^*, h \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle \nabla f(y_k), h \rangle = \limsup_{y \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}_F(f)} x} \langle \nabla f(y), h \rangle.$$

Hence $\limsup_{y \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}_F(f)} x} \langle \nabla f(y), h \rangle \leq \sigma_A(h) = \sigma_{\overline{\text{co}}^{w^*}(A)}(h)$, where σ_A denotes the support functional of A (i.e., $\sigma_A(h) = \sup_{y^* \in A} \langle y^*, h \rangle$). Thus, by (1.2) and (1.3), one has

$$(3.3) \quad \limsup_{y \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}_F(f)} x} \langle \nabla f(y), h \rangle \leq \sigma_{\overline{\text{co}}^{w^*}(A)}(h) \leq \sigma_{\partial f(x)}(h) = f^\circ(x, h)$$

(the last equality holds because of [1, Proposition 2.1.2]). On the other hand, take a sequence $\{(x_n, t_n)\}$ in $X \times (0, +\infty)$ such that $[x_n, x_n + t_n h] \subset B(x, r)$,

$$x_n \rightarrow x, t_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \frac{f(x_n + t_n h) - f(x_n)}{t_n} \rightarrow f^\circ(x, h).$$

Then there exists a sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ in $(0, +\infty)$ converging to 0 such that

$$U_n = \{u \in X : d(u, [x_n, x_n + t_n h]) < \varepsilon_n\} \subset B(x, r) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By Lemma 2.1, there exists $u_n \in U_n \cap \mathcal{G}_F(f)$ such that

$$f(x_n + t_n h) - f(x_n) < \langle \nabla f(u_n), t_n h \rangle + t_n^2.$$

Hence $\|u_n - x\| \leq \|u_n - x_n\| + \|x_n - x\| \leq \varepsilon_n + t_n \|h\| + \|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f^\circ(x, h) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x_n + t_n h) - f(x_n)}{t_n} \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \nabla f(u_n), h \rangle \\ &\leq \limsup_{y \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}_F(f)} x} \langle \nabla f(y), h \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This and (3.3) show that (3.1) holds and $f^\circ(x, h) = \sigma_{\overline{\text{co}}^{w^*}(A)}(h)$. Noting that $\partial f(x)$ is a weak*-closed convex set and $f^\circ(x, \cdot) = \sigma_{\partial f(x)}$, it follows from the separation theorem that $\partial f(x) = \overline{\text{co}}^{w^*}(A)$. The proof is complete. \square

The following Jacobian chain rule is known (cf. [1, Theorem 2.6.6]).

Theorem 3.2. *Let $F : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be Lipschitz near $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Lipschitz near $F(x)$. Then*

$$\partial f(x) \subset \text{co}(\partial g(F(x))\partial F(x)).$$

Naturally, one may try to extend Theorem 3.2 by replacing \mathbb{R}^m with an infinite dimensional Asplund space X . However, even in the case that X is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, it is not known whether or not a Lipschitz function $G : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is Fréchet differentiable at some point in X , that is, it is unknown whether or not $\mathcal{G}_F(F)$ is nonempty. This motivates us to consider the case of piecewise linear vector-valued functions. Recall that $P \subset X$ is a convex polyhedron if there exist $(x_1^*, r_1), \dots, (x_k^*, r_k) \in X^* \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$P = \{x \in X : \langle x_i^*, x \rangle \leq r_i, i = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

We say that a vector-valued function $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is piecewise linear if its graph $\text{gph}(F) := \{(x, F(x)) : x \in X\}$ is the union of finitely many convex polyhedra in $X \times \mathbb{R}^n$. The following lemma is known (cf. [11, Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.1]) and useful for us.

Lemma 3.3. *Let F be a piecewise linear vector-valued function from a normed space X to \mathbb{R}^n . Then there exist convex polyhedra P_i in X , $T_i \in \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $b_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ($i \in \overline{1m} := \{1, \dots, m\}$) such that*

$$(3.4) \quad X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m P_i, \text{int}(P_i) \neq \emptyset, \text{int}(P_i) \cap P_j = \emptyset \quad \forall i, j \in \overline{1m} \text{ with } i \neq j,$$

$$(3.5) \quad F(x) = T_i(x) + b_i \quad \forall i \in \overline{1m} \text{ and } \forall x \in P_i$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad T_1(x) = \dots = T_m(x) \quad \forall x \in \text{lin} \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^m P_i \right)$$

where $\mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the space of all continuous linear operators from X to \mathbb{R}^n and $\text{lin} \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^m P_i \right)$ denotes the largest subspace contained in $\bigcap_{i=1}^m P_i$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a normed space, $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a piecewise linear vector-valued function and let $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a locally Lipschitz function. Then, for any $x \in X$,*

$$(3.7) \quad \partial(g \circ F)(x) \subset \text{co}(\partial g(F(x))\partial F(x)).$$

Proof. Since $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is piecewise linear, there exist convex polyhedra P_i in X , $T_i \in \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $b_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) such that (3.4)–(3.6) hold (thanks to Lemma 3.3). For each $i \in \overline{1m}$, take $x_{ij}^* \in X^*$ and $r_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ ($j = 1, \dots, \nu_i$) such that

$$P_i = \{x \in X : \langle x_{ij}^*, x \rangle \leq r_{ij}, j = 1, \dots, \nu_i\}.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$X_F := \text{lin} \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^m P_i \right) = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \bigcap_{j=1}^{\nu_i} \ker(x_{ij}^*),$$

where $\ker(x_{ij}^*) := \{x \in X : \langle x_{ij}^*, x \rangle = 0\}$, and hence X_F is a closed subspace of X whose codimension is less than or equal to $\sum_{i=1}^m \nu_i$. Therefore, there exists a subspace \widehat{X} of X such that

$$(3.8) \quad \dim(\widehat{X}) < \infty, \widehat{X} \cap X_F = \{0\} \text{ and } X = \widehat{X} + X_F.$$

For each $i \in \overline{1m}$, let

$$\widehat{P}_i := \left\{ u \in \widehat{X} : \langle x_{ij}^*, u \rangle \leq r_{ij}, j = 1, \dots, \nu_i \right\}.$$

Then, by (3.4), \widehat{P}_i is a convex polyhedron in \widehat{X} ,

$$P_i = \widehat{P}_i + X_F \text{ and } \widehat{X} = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \widehat{P}_i.$$

Moreover, by (3.5) and (3.6), one has

$$F(u + v) = T_i(u) + T_0(v) + b_i \quad \forall (u, v) \in \widehat{P}_i \times X_F, i = 1, \dots, m$$

where T_0 is a continuous linear operator from X_F to \mathbb{R}^n . Let $\widehat{F} : \widehat{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that $\widehat{F}(u) := F(u)$ for all $u \in \widehat{X}$. Then \widehat{F} is a Lipschitz vector-valued function from \widehat{X} to \mathbb{R}^n , and

$$(3.9) \quad F(u + v) = (\widehat{F} \oplus T_0)(u + v) := \widehat{F}(u) + T_0(v) \quad \forall (u, v) \in \widehat{X} + X_F.$$

Thus, for $(u, v) \in \widehat{X} \times X_F$, it is easy from (3.8) and (3.9) to verify that F is Fréchet differentiable at $u + v$ if and only if \widehat{F} is Fréchet differentiable at u with

$$\nabla F(u + v)(u' + v') = \nabla \widehat{F}(u)(u') + T_0(v') \quad \forall (u', v') \in \widehat{X} \times X_F.$$

This implies that

$$(3.10) \quad \partial F(u + v) = \partial \widehat{F}(u) \oplus \widehat{T} := \left\{ \widehat{S} \oplus T_0 : \widehat{S} \in \partial \widehat{F}(u) \right\} \quad \forall (u, v) \in \widehat{X} \times X_F.$$

Take $(u_0, v_0) \in \widehat{X} \times X_F$ such that $x = u_0 + v_0$. Then, for any $(h_1, h_2) \in \widehat{X} \times X_F$, there exists a sequence $\{(u_k, v_k, t_k)\}$ in $\widehat{X} \times X_F \times (0, +\infty)$ such that

$$(3.11) \quad (u_k, v_k, t_k) \rightarrow (u_0, v_0, 0) \text{ and } (g \circ F)^\circ(x, h_1 + h_2) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_k,$$

where

$$\Delta_k := \frac{g(F(u_k + v_k + t_k(h_1 + h_2))) - g(F(u_k + v_k))}{t_k}.$$

By (3.9) and Lemma 2.2, there exist $y_k \in [F(u_k + v_k), F(u_k + v_k + t_k(h_1 + h_2))]$ and $y_k^* \in \partial g(y_k)$ such that

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_k &= \left\langle y_k^*, \frac{F(u_k + v_k + t_k(h_1 + h_2)) - F(u_k + v_k)}{t_k} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle y_k^*, \frac{\widehat{F}(u_k + t_k h_1) - F(u_k)}{t_k} \right\rangle + \langle y_k^*, T_0(h_2) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that F is locally Lipschitz, it follows from (3.11) that $y_k \rightarrow F(u_0 + v_0) = F(x)$. Since g is also locally Lipschitz, we can assume without loss of generality that

$$(3.13) \quad y_k^* \rightarrow y^* \in \partial g(F(x))$$

(thanks to [1, Proposition 2.1.5]). On the other hand, by Lemma 2.3, one has

$$\frac{\widehat{F}(u_k + t_k h_1) - F(u_k)}{t_k} \in \text{co} \left(\partial \widehat{F}([u_k, u_k + t_k h_1]) \right) (h_1),$$

and hence there exists $\widehat{S}_k \in \partial \widehat{F}([u_k, u_k + t_k h_1])$ such that

$$\left\langle y_k^*, \frac{\widehat{F}(u_k + t_k h_1) - F(u_k)}{t_k} \right\rangle \leq \langle y_k^*, \widehat{S}_k(h_1) \rangle.$$

Therefore, by (3.12), one has

$$(3.14) \quad \Delta_k \leq \langle y_k^*, \widehat{S}_k(h_1) + T_0(h_2) \rangle = \langle y_k^*, (\widehat{S}_k \oplus T_0)(h_1 + h_2) \rangle.$$

By the definition of $\partial \widehat{F}$, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $\hat{u}_k \in \widehat{X}$ such that

$$d(\hat{u}_k, [u_k, u_k + t_k h_1]) < \frac{1}{k} \text{ and } \left\| \nabla \widehat{F}(\hat{u}_k) - \widehat{S}_k \right\| < \frac{1}{k}.$$

It follows from (3.11) that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \hat{u}_k = u_0$. Since \hat{F} is a locally Lipschitz function between finite dimensional spaces \hat{X} and \mathbb{R}^n , we can assume without loss of generality that $\nabla \hat{F}(\hat{u}_k) \rightarrow \hat{S} \in \partial \hat{F}(u_0)$ (taking a subsequence if necessary). Thus, by (3.10)—(3.14), one has

$$y^* \circ (\hat{S} \oplus T_0) \in \partial g(F(x))\partial F(x) \quad \text{and} \quad (g \circ F)^\circ(x, h_1 + h_2) \leq \langle y^*, (\hat{S} \oplus T_0)(h_1 + h_2) \rangle.$$

Noting that the Clarke directional derivative $(g \circ F)^\circ(x, h_1 + h_2)$ is equal to the value of the support functional $\sigma_{\partial(g \circ F)(x)}$ at $h_1 + h_2$, it follows that

$$\sigma_{\partial(g \circ F)(x)}(h_1 + h_2) \leq \sigma_{\partial g(F(x))\partial F(x)}(h_1 + h_2).$$

This means that $\partial(g \circ F)(x) \subset \overline{\text{co}}^{w^*}(\partial g(F(x))\partial F(x))$. Thus, to prove (3.7), we only need to show that $\text{co}(\partial g(F(x))\partial F(x))$ is compact. Noting that $\hat{F} : \hat{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a locally Lipschitz function, it is easy to verify that $\partial \hat{F}(u_0)$ is a bounded closed set in $\mathcal{L}(\hat{X}, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $\dim(\mathcal{L}(\hat{X}, \mathbb{R}^n)) = \dim(\hat{X}) \times n < \infty$, $\partial \hat{F}(u_0)$ is a compact set in $\mathcal{L}(\hat{X}, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $\partial f(F(x))$ is a compact set in \mathbb{R}^n , this and (3.10) show that $\partial g(F(x))\partial F(x)$ is a bounded closed set in a finite dimensional subspace of X^* . It follows that $\text{co}(\partial g(F(x))\partial F(x))$ is compact (cf. [9, Theorem 3.20]). The proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] F. H. Clarke, *Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1983.
- [2] J. Lindenstrauss and D. Preiss, *Fréchet Differentiability of Lipschitz Functions and Porous Sets in Banach Spaces*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 2012.
- [3] J. B. Hiriart-Urruty and L. Thibault, *Existence et caractérisation de différentielles généralisées*, Co. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **290** (1980), 1091–1094.
- [4] G. Lebourg, *Valeur moyenne pour gradient généralisé*, Co. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **281** (1975), 795–797.
- [5] B. S. Mordukhovich, *Variational Analysis and Generalized Differentiation I*, Springer-verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
- [6] B. S. Mordukhovich and Y. Shao, *Stability of multifunctions in infinite dimensions: Point criteria and applications*, SIAM J. Control Optim. **35** (1997), 285–314.
- [7] R. R. Phelps, *Convex functions, Monotone Operators and Differentiability*, volume 1364 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [8] D. Preiss, *Differentiability of Lipschitz functions on Banach spaces*. J. Funct. Anal. **91** (1990), 312–345.
- [9] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1991.
- [10] L. Thibault, *Cones tangents et épi-différentiels de fonctions vectorielles*, Trav. Sem. Anal. Convexe **9** (1979): Exp. no. 13.
- [11] X. Y. Zheng and X. Yang, *Fully piecewise linear vector optimization problems*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **190** (2021), 461–490.

Manuscript received October 1 2022
revised December 31 2022

X. Y. ZHENG

Department of Mathematics, Yunnan University, Kunming 650091, P. R. China

E-mail address: xyzheng@ynu.edu.cn