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Abstract. The main goal of this paper is to verify for every $1 \leq q<\infty$ a refined Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund type inequality with a precise error term

$$
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j-1}\right) w\left(x_{j}\right)\left|t_{n}\left(x_{j}\right)\right|^{q}=(1+O(\varepsilon)) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w(x)\left|t_{n}(x)\right|^{q} d x
$$

where $t_{n}$ is any trigonometric polynomial of degree at most $n,-\pi=x_{0}<x_{1}<$ $\cdots<x_{m}=\pi, \max _{0 \leq j \leq m-1}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right)=O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{n}\right), m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $w$ is a Jacobi type weight. Moreover, some new Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund type inequalities for $0<q<1$ are given, as well.

## 1. Introduction

In the past 15-20 years the problem of discretisation of uniform and $L^{q}$ norms in various finite dimensional spaces has been widely investigated. In case of $L^{q}, 1 \leq$ $q<\infty$ norms for trigonometric polynomials this problem is usually referred to as the Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund type problem. On the other hand when uniform norm and algebraic polynomials are considered then the terms norming sets or optimal meshes are usually used in the literature. Historically the first discretisation result was given by S.N. Bernstein [1] in 1932 who showed that for any trigonometric polynomial $t_{n}$ of degree $\leq n$ and any $0=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{m}<2 \pi=x_{m+1}$ with $\max _{0 \leq j \leq m}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right) \leq \frac{\overline{2} \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{n}, \varepsilon>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{x \in[0,2 \pi]}\left|t_{n}(x)\right| \leq(1+\varepsilon) \max _{0 \leq j \leq m}\left|t_{n}\left(x_{j}\right)\right| . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above estimate essentially shows that the uniform norm of trigonometric polynomials of degree $\leq n$ can be discretized with accuracy $\varepsilon$ using $m \sim \frac{n}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$ properly chosen nodes. A standard substitution $x=\cos t$ leads to an extension of (1.1) for algebraic polynomials when $\max _{0 \leq j \leq m}\left(\arccos x_{j+1}-\arccos x_{j}\right) \leq \frac{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{n}$. (See also [3, pp. 91-92] for details.)
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The first result on the discretisation of the $L^{q}, 1<q<\infty$ norm is due to Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund [12] who verified in 1937 that for any univariate trigonometric polynomial $t_{n}$ of degree at most $n$ and every $1<q<\infty$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left|t_{n}\right|^{q} \sim \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=0}^{2 n}\left|t_{n}\left(\frac{2 \pi s}{2 n+1}\right)\right|^{q} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constants involved in the above equivalence relation depend only on $q$. The above relation provides discretization of the $L^{q}, 1<q<\infty$ norm of trigonometric polynomials of degree $\leq n$ with $2 n+1$ nodes.

Various generalizations of the Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund type results to the multivariate setting were given in the literature, see for instance the survey paper [11]. Feng Dai [4] gave some analogues of Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund type inequalities for multivariate algebraic polynomials on the sphere and ball in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Extensions for multivariate exponential sums can be found in [5], [14], [8], [9]. In [6] using BernsteinMarkov, Schur and Videnskii type polynomial inequalities, various extensions of the Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund type bounds for multivariate polynomials on more general multivariate domains were verified, which in particular include polytopes, cones, spherical sectors, toruses.

Recently, the followng refinement of the classical Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund result in the spirit of Bernstein's estimate (1.1) was given in [7] in case when $q \geq 2$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { For any }-\pi=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{m}=\pi, x_{-1}=x_{m-1}-2 \pi \text { with } \\
& \qquad \max _{0 \leq j \leq m-1}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right)<\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{q n}, \quad 0<\varepsilon<1
\end{aligned}
$$

and for every $t_{n} \in T_{n}$ we have whenever $q \geq 2$

$$
\begin{align*}
(1-\varepsilon) \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{x_{j+1}-x_{j-1}}{2} & \left|t_{n}\left(x_{j}\right)\right|^{q}  \tag{1.3}\\
& \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|t_{n}(x)\right|^{q} d x \leq(1+\varepsilon) \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{x_{j+1}-x_{j-1}}{2}\left|t_{n}\left(x_{j}\right)\right|^{q}
\end{align*}
$$

This is a Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund type estimate of precision $\varepsilon$ similar to Bernstein's uniform bound (1.1). In particular, choosing equidistant nodes $x_{j}:=\frac{\pi j}{m}|j| \leq$ $m$ with $m>\left[\frac{\pi q n}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right],|j| \leq m$, the last estimate gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2 m} \sum_{j=-m}^{m-1}\left|t_{n}\left(x_{j}\right)\right|^{q} & \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|t_{n}(x)\right|^{q} d x \\
& \leq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2 m} \sum_{j=-m}^{m-1}\left|t_{n}\left(x_{j}\right)\right|^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

It should be noted that the spacing needed above can be achieved with discrete meshes of cardinality $m \sim \frac{n}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$. This upper bound for cardinality turned out to be sharp with respect to $\varepsilon$, as well.

The main goal of the present note is to extend (1.3) for any $1 \leq q<\infty$. Similarly to [7] we will accomplish this for weighted $L^{q}$ norms with Jacobi type weights. Moreover we will also prove new $L^{q}$ Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund type results for trigonometric polynomials in case when $0<q<1$. Finally, possible extensions to multivariate setting and sharpness of our results will be also discussed.

## 2. The CASE $1 \leq q<\infty$

In order to extend the precise Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund type result (1.3) for $1 \leq$ $q<2$ we will need a technical lemma which is a certain variation of integration by parts.

Lemma 2.1. Let $W(x)$ be an absolutely continuous $2 \pi$-periodic function, and let $t(x)$ be a trigonometric polynomial. Then for every $\alpha>0$ we have

$$
\left.\alpha\left|\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} W(x)\right| t(x)\right|^{\alpha-1} t^{\prime}(x) d x\left|\leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\right| W^{\prime}(x) \|\left. t(x)\right|^{\alpha} d x .
$$

Proof. In case $t(x)$ has no sign-change, an integration by parts shows the validity of the lemma. Otherwise, set $F(x):=W(x)|t(x)|^{\alpha}$. Note that the assumptions of the lemma ensure that $F$ is an absolutely continuous $2 \pi$-periodic function and thus $F^{\prime}(x) \in L^{1}[-\pi, \pi]$. Clearly

$$
F^{\prime}(x)=W^{\prime}(x)|t(x)|^{\alpha}+\alpha W(x)|t(x)|^{\alpha-1} t^{\prime}(x) \operatorname{sgn} t(x), \quad x \in[-\pi, \pi] .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F^{\prime}(x) \operatorname{sgn} t(x) & d x \\
& =\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} W^{\prime}(x)|t(x)|^{\alpha} \operatorname{sgn} t(x) d x+\alpha \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} W(x)|t(x)|^{\alpha-1} t^{\prime}(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote now by $(-\pi) \leq \xi_{1}<\xi_{2}<\cdots<\xi_{k}(<\pi)$ the sign changes of $t(x)$ on $[-\pi, \pi)$. Since $\alpha>0$ it follows that $F\left(\xi_{j}\right)=0,1 \leq j \leq k+1$, where $\xi_{k+1}=\xi_{1}+2 \pi$. Using in addition that $F$ is absolutely continuous we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F^{\prime}(x) \operatorname{sgn} t(x) d x\right| & =\left|\int_{\xi_{1}}^{\xi_{k+1}} F^{\prime}(x) \operatorname{sgn} t(x) d x\right| \\
& =\left|\sum_{j=1}^{k}(-1)^{j} \int_{\xi_{j}}^{\xi_{j+1}} F^{\prime}(x) d x\right| \\
& =\left|\sum_{j=1}^{k}(-1)^{j}\left(F\left(\xi_{j+1}\right)-F\left(\xi_{j}\right)\right)\right| \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\alpha \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} W(x)|t(x)|^{\alpha-1} t^{\prime}(x) d x=-\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} W^{\prime}(x)|t(x)|^{\alpha} \operatorname{sgn} t(x) d x
$$

which clearly yields the statement of the lemma.

Consider a trigonometric Jacobi type weight

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(x):=\prod_{k=1}^{s}\left|\sin \frac{x-y_{k}}{2}\right|^{a_{k}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$x \in[-\pi, \pi],-\pi \leq y_{1}<\cdots<y_{s}<\pi, a_{k}>1,1 \leq k \leq s, s \in \mathbb{N}$. The defect of this weight denoted by $d_{w}$ is defined as $d_{w}:=\max _{k} a_{k}$. The Bernstein and Schur type inequalities given in [13] for trigonometric polynomials with doubling weights hold, in particular for trigonometric Jacobi type weights, as well.

Theorem 2.2. Let $w$ be a trigonometric Jacobi type weight (2.1). Then for any $0<\varepsilon<1, n, m \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq q<\infty$ and discrete nodes $-\pi=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{m}=\pi$ with $\max _{0 \leq j \leq m-1}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right) \leq \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{c} q n}$ and every $t_{n} \in T_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1-\varepsilon) \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{x_{j+1}-x_{j-1}}{2} w\left(x_{j}\right)\left|t_{n}\left(x_{j}\right)\right|^{q}  \tag{2.2}\\
& \quad \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w(x)\left|t_{n}(x)\right|^{q} d x \leq(1+\varepsilon) \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{x_{j+1}-x_{j-1}}{2} w\left(x_{j}\right)\left|t_{n}\left(x_{j}\right)\right|^{q}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c>0$ is a constant depending only on the weight.
In particular, if $x_{j}=\frac{\pi j}{m},|j| \leq m, m \geq \sqrt{\frac{c}{\varepsilon}} \pi q n$ then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2 m} \sum_{j=-m}^{m-1} w\left(x_{j}\right)\left|t_{n}\left(x_{j}\right)\right|^{q} & \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w(x)\left|t_{n}(x)\right|^{q} d x \\
& \leq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2 m} \sum_{j=-m}^{m-1} w\left(x_{j}\right)\left|t_{n}\left(x_{j}\right)\right|^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Set $h_{m}:=\max _{0 \leq j \leq m-1}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right)$. We will apply now the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
R & : \left.=\left.\left|\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w(x)\right| t_{n}(x)\right|^{q} d x-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j-1}\right) w\left(x_{j}\right)\left|t_{n}\left(x_{j}\right)\right|^{q} \right\rvert\,  \tag{2.3}\\
\leq & \frac{h_{m}^{2}}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left[q(q-1) w\left(t_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left|t_{n}\right|^{q-2}+q w\left|t_{n}\right|^{q-1}\left|t_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right|\right. \\
& \left.+2 q\left|t_{n}\right|^{q-1}\left|t_{n}^{\prime} w^{\prime}\right|+\left|t_{n}\right|^{q}\left|w^{\prime \prime}\right|\right] d x \\
= & : \frac{h_{m}^{2}}{2}\left(R_{1}+R_{2}+R_{3}+R_{4}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

verified in [7]. In addition it is also shown in [7] that for every $1<q<\infty$

$$
R_{2}+R_{3}+R_{4} \leq c q^{2} n^{2}\left\|t_{n}\right\|_{L_{q}(w)}^{q}
$$

with a constant $c>0$ depending only on $w$. Here and in what follows $\|\cdot\|_{L_{q}(w)}$ stands for the usual $L_{q}$ norm with weight $w$. However a similar upper bound for the first term $R_{1}$ is verified in [7] only for $q \geq 2$. Now the lemma proved above will yield a complete upper estimate for $R_{1}$ in case of any $1 \leq q<\infty$. Assume first that
$1<q<\infty$. Setting in this lemma $\alpha=q-1>0, W(x):=w(x) t_{n}^{\prime}(x), g(x):=t_{n}(x)$ and using the $2 \pi$ periodicity of all functions involved we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1} & =q(q-1) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w(x)\left|t_{n}(x)\right|^{q-2}\left(t_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2} d x \\
& \leq q \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left(\left|w^{\prime}(x) t_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|+w(x)\left|t_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right)\left|t_{n}(x)\right|^{q-1} d x \\
& =R_{2}+\frac{R_{3}}{2} \\
& \leq c q^{2} n^{2}\left\|t_{n}\right\|_{L_{q}(w)}^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

with a constant $c>0$ depending only on $w$. Thus whenever $1<q<\infty$ we have using (2.3)

$$
R \leq c q^{2} n^{2} h_{m}^{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w(x)\left|t_{n}(x)\right|^{q} d x
$$

where $c>0$ depends only on $w$. Obviously, letting $q \rightarrow 1$ the last estimate extends for every $1 \leq q<\infty$.

Remark 2.3. When $w=1$ in Theorem 2.2 a more precise upper bound can be given. Indeed, in this case $R_{3}=R_{4}=0$ and $R_{2} \leq q^{2} n^{2}\left\|t_{n}\right\|_{L_{q}(w)}^{q}$ (see [7]). This in turn implies

$$
R \leq \frac{h_{m}^{2}}{2}\left(R_{1}+R_{2}\right) \leq h_{m}^{2} R_{2} \leq q^{2} n^{2} h_{m}^{2}\left\|t_{n}\right\|_{L_{q}(w)}^{q}
$$

in the proof of Theorem 2.2. Thus when $w \equiv 1$ Theorem 2.2 holds with the explicit constant $c=1$.

Theorem 2.2 implies a Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund type result for univariate algebraic polynomials with a remainder term of quadratic accuracy for a Jacobi type weight $(2.1)$ on $[-1,1]$. Indeed, the next corollary easily follows from Theorem 2.2 by a standard trigonometric substitution $x=\cos y$.

Corollary 2.4. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq q<\infty, 0<\varepsilon<1$ and set $x_{j}:=\cos \frac{\pi j}{m}$, $1 \leq j \leq m-1$ with $m \geq \sqrt{\frac{c}{\varepsilon}} q n$. Then for every $p_{n} \in P_{n}^{1}$ and any Jacobi type weight $w$ on $[-1,1]$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1-\varepsilon}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sqrt{1-x_{j}^{2}} w\left(x_{j}\right)\left|p_{n}\left(x_{j}\right)\right|^{q} & \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} w(x)\left|p_{n}(x)\right|^{q} d x \\
& \leq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sqrt{1-x_{j}^{2}} w\left(x_{j}\right)\left|t_{n}\left(x_{j}\right)\right|^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the technique developed in [6] and [7] one can obtain similar results in the multivariate case for $d$ dimensional ball and simplex. In multivariate case one can apply the Fubini theorem in order to reduce the consideration to lower dimensions with weighted integrals of trigonometric polynomials $t_{n}$ of the form $\int w\left|t_{n}\right|^{q}$ appearing with Jacobi type weights $w$ related to the Jacobians of the transformations of the corresponding domains. The main tools needed above: Bernstein and Schur type inequalities hold for such weighted integrals of trigonometric polynomials, too.

This yields Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund type result for $d$ variate algebraic polynomials $p_{n} \in P_{n}^{d}$ of degree $\leq n$ with a remainder term of quadratic accuracy. In particular if $D$ is the $d$ dimensional ball or simplex then there exists meshes $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} \in D$ of cardinality $m \sim\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right)^{d}, 0<\varepsilon<1$, so that with some positive weights $w_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq m$ we have for every $1 \leq q<\infty$ and $p_{n} \in P_{n}^{d}$

$$
\int_{D}\left|p_{n}\right|^{q} \sim(1+\varepsilon) \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} w_{j}\left|p_{n}\left(x_{j}\right)\right|^{q} .
$$

Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.4 essentially show that the $L^{q}, 1 \leq q \leq \infty$, norm of trigonometric and algebraic polynomials of degree $\leq n$ can be discretized with accuracy $\varepsilon$ using $m \sim \frac{n}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$ properly chosen nodes. This raises the natural question whether the quantity $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ in the cardinality of discrete mesh is sharp. The affirmative answer to this question in case when $q=\infty$ or 2, can be found in [7]. Our next result verifies that in general this is true for any $1 \leq q<\infty$.
Theorem 2.5. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq q<\infty$. Then there exists a discrete point set $-\pi=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{m}=\pi, x_{-1}=x_{m}-2 \pi$ satisfying $\max _{0 \leq j \leq m-1}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right) \leq$ $\frac{c}{m}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m-1}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j-1}\right)\left|\sin n x_{j}\right|^{q} \leq\left[1-c_{q}\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{2}\right] \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|\sin n x|^{q} d x \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above estimate shows that in order to achieve the precision $\varepsilon$ in Theorem 2.2 for the trigonometric polynomial $\sin n x$ we need in general at least $m \geq c \frac{n}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$ properly chosen nodes.

Proof. Let $f_{n}(x):=|\sin n x|^{q}$, and consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(q) & :=\left|\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_{n}(x) d x-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j-1}\right) f_{n}\left(x_{j}\right)\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right)\left(x_{j+1}-x\right) d x\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

(see [7, (11)]). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)=q(q-1) n^{2}|\sin n x|^{q-2}-q^{2} n^{2}|\sin n x|^{q} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will choose the $x_{j}$ 's symmetric around the origin, translate them from the interval $[0, \pi /(2 n)]$ to $[-\pi, \pi)$. Because of this and the $\pi / n$ periodicity of $f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(q)=2 n\left|\sum_{x_{j} \in\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2 n}\right)} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right)\left(x_{j+1}-x\right) d x\right| . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus in what follows, we have to define $\left[\frac{m}{4 n}\right]$ nodes in the interval $\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2 n}\right)$. In this way we may get $m \pm c$ nodes instead of $m$, but changing the role of $m$ in the proof we can get the statement of the theorem.

Let first $q=1$. Then $f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)=-n^{2}|\sin n x|$, and let $x_{j}=\frac{2 \pi j}{m}, 0 \leq j \leq m-1$. We get from (2.6)

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(1) & \geq c \frac{n^{3}}{m^{3}} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{4 n}} \sin n x_{j} \\
& \geq c \frac{n^{4}}{m^{3}} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{4 n}} x_{j} \\
& \geq c\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, let $q>1$. With some $\lambda>2 \pi$ to be defined below, set

$$
\mu_{n, m}:=\left[\frac{m}{\lambda n} \sqrt{\frac{q-1}{2 q}}\right] .
$$

Consider the discrete mesh

$$
x_{j}= \begin{cases}\frac{\lambda j}{m}, & 0 \leq j \leq \mu_{n, m}, \\ \frac{\lambda \mu_{n, m}+2 \pi\left(j-\mu_{n, m}\right)}{m}, & \mu_{n, m}<j \leq j_{0}:=\mu_{n, m}+\left[\frac{m}{4 n}-\frac{\lambda \mu_{n, m}}{2 \pi}\right] \leq \frac{C m}{n}\end{cases}
$$

in the half-open interval $[0, \pi /(2 n))$, where $C>0$ is an absolute constant. The distance of the adjacent nodes is less than $\lambda / m$. We extend this system of nodes from $[0, \pi /(2 n))$ just like in the case of $q=1$, and obtain a system of points $\left\{x_{j}\right\}$. (Their number is $m \pm c n$, but one can easily transform this to the situation of $m$ points.)

Since

$$
\int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}}\left(x-x_{j}\right)\left(y_{j+1}-x\right) d x=\frac{1}{6}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right)^{3}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq j_{0},
$$

using the mean value theorem for the integrals of $\left(x-x_{j}\right)\left(x_{j+1}-x\right) f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)$ when $0 \leq j<\mu_{n, m}$ we get from (2.6)

$$
\begin{align*}
R(q) & \geq \frac{n}{3}\left(\frac{\lambda}{m}\right)^{3}\left|\sum_{0 \leq j \leq \mu_{n, m}} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{j}\right)\right|-\frac{n}{3}\left(\frac{\pi}{m}\right)^{3} \sum_{\mu_{n, m} \leq j<\frac{C m}{n}}\left|f_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{j}\right)\right|  \tag{2.7}\\
& =: A-B, \quad x_{j} \leq y_{j} \leq x_{j+1} . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

First we give an upper estimate for $B$, using the representation (2.5) and the elementary inequalities

$$
\left(\sin n y_{j}\right)^{q-2} \leq\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\sin n x_{j}\right)^{q-2} & \text { if } 1<q \leq 2, \\
\left(\sin n x_{j+1}\right)^{q-2} & \text { if } 2 \leq q<\infty
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\sin n y_{j}\right)^{q} \leq\left(\sin n x_{j+1}\right)^{q},\right.
$$

$1 \leq j<\frac{m}{4 n}$. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
B & \leq c\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{3} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{C m}{n}}\left[\left(n x_{j}+n x_{j+1}\right)^{q-2}+\left(n x_{j+1}\right)^{q}\right] \\
& \leq c\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{3} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{C m}{n}}\left[\left(\frac{n j}{m}\right)^{q-2}+\left(\frac{n j}{m}\right)^{q}\right] \\
& \leq c\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{q+1} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{C m}{n}} j^{q-2}+c\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{q+3} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{C m}{n}} j^{q} \\
& \leq c_{q}\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{q}>0$ depends on $q$.
Next we give a lower estimate for $A$. We obtain from (2.7),

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & \geq \frac{n}{3}\left(\frac{\lambda}{m}\right)^{3}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{\mu_{n, m}} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{j}\right)\right| \\
& =\frac{q}{3}\left(\frac{\lambda n}{m}\right)^{3}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{\mu_{n, m}}\left(\sin n y_{j}\right)^{q-2}\left(q-1-q \sin ^{2} n y_{j}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that when $1 \leq j \leq \mu_{n, m}-1$ we have

$$
q-1-q \sin ^{2} n y_{j} \geq q-1-q n^{2} x_{\mu_{n, m}}^{2} \geq q-1-q n^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\frac{q-1}{2 q}}\right)^{2}=\frac{q-1}{2} .
$$

Therefore we obtain from the previous lower bound

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & \geq \frac{q(q-1)}{6}\left(\frac{\lambda n}{m}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{3 \mu_{n, m}}\left(\sin n y_{j}\right)^{q-2} \\
& \geq c_{q}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\lambda n}{m}\right)^{3}\left(\frac{\lambda n}{m}\right)^{q-2} \sum_{j=0}^{\mu_{n, m}} j^{q-2} \\
& \geq c_{q}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\lambda n}{m}\right)^{q+1}\left(\frac{m}{\lambda n} \sqrt{\frac{q-1}{2 q}}\right)^{q-1} \\
& \geq c_{q}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\lambda n}{m}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(q) & \geq A-B \geq c_{q}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\lambda n}{m}\right)^{2}-c_{q}\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{2} \\
& >c_{q}\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

if we set $\lambda>\max \left(\sqrt{\frac{2 c_{q}}{c_{q}^{\prime}}}, 4 \pi\right)$.
Remark 2.6. The case $q=2$ plays a special role here. Namely, then $f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)=$ $2 n^{2} \cos 2 n x$ which is antisymmetric with respect to the point $x=\frac{\pi}{4 n}$, thus $R(2)=0$ (see (2.6)). This means that the error in this case, for equidistant nodes is $o\left(\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{2}\right)$. It would be interesting to determine the exact error for all $2 \leq q<\infty$, for equidistant nodes.

## 3. The case $0<q<1$

Now we turn our attention to the case $0<q<1$. There seem to be no available Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund type upper bounds for integrals of trigonometric polynomials via discrete sums in this case. So Theorem 3.3 proved below appears to be the first results in this direction. In what follows we will denote by $c$ possibly distinct positive constants depending only on $q$ and weight $w$. We need two lemmas to prove Theorem 3.3 below.

Lemma 3.1. Let $w$ be a trigonometric Jacobi type weight (2.1) and $0<q<1$. For any $t_{n} \in T_{n}$ set $f_{n}(x):=w^{\frac{1}{q}} t_{n}(x)$. Then

$$
\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right| d x\right)^{q} \leq c n \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|f_{n}(x)\right|^{q} d x
$$

where $c>0$ depends only on $q$ and $w$.
Proof. Clearly,

$$
\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{q} w^{\frac{1}{q}-1}\left|w^{\prime}(x) t_{n}(x)\right|+w^{\frac{1}{q}}\left|t_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|
$$

Furthermore it is easy to see that with $r(x):=\prod_{1 \leq k \leq s} \sin \frac{\left|x-y_{k}\right|}{2}$ we have for the trigonometric Jacobi type weight (2.1) $r(x)\left|w^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq c w(x)$. Note that $r(x)$ is a trigonometric Jacobi type weight of defect 1 . Then using the Schur type inequality from [13, Theorem 4.4], for the trigonometric polynomial $t_{n}$ with the doubling weight $w^{\frac{1}{q}-1}\left|w^{\prime}(x)\right|$ it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w^{\frac{1}{q}-1}\left|w^{\prime}(x) t_{n}(x)\right| d x & \leq c n \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w^{\frac{1}{q}-1}\left|w^{\prime}(x)\right| r(x)\left|t_{n}(x)\right| d x \\
& \leq c n \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w^{\frac{1}{q}}\left|t_{n}(x)\right| d x
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, by the $L^{1}$ Bernstein type inequality [13], Theorem 4.1 with the doubling weight $w^{\frac{1}{q}}$ we have

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w^{\frac{1}{q}}\left|t_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right| d x \leq c n \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w^{\frac{1}{q}}\left|t_{n}(x)\right| d x
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right| d x \leq c n \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w^{\frac{1}{q}}\left|t_{n}(x)\right| d x \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we need to recall that $w^{\frac{1}{q}}\left|t_{n}(x)\right|$ is a generalized trigonometric polynomial of degree $n+\frac{1}{2 q} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq s} a_{k}$ where the $a_{k}$-s are the exponents of the Jacobi type weight (2.1). Then using the Nikolskii type inequality [2], Theorem A.4.3, p. 394 for $w^{\frac{1}{q}}\left|t_{n}(x)\right|$ yields

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w^{\frac{1}{q}}\left|t_{n}(x)\right| d x \leq c n^{\frac{1}{q}-1}\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w\left|t_{n}(x)\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} d x
$$

Hence recalling estimate (3.1) we finally arrive at

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right| d x \leq c n \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w^{\frac{1}{q}}\left|t_{n}(x)\right| d x \leq c n^{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w\left|t_{n}(x)\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} d x
$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $0<q<1, \xi_{k} \in[-\pi, \pi)$, $a_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, k=1, \ldots, r$, be arbitrary and consider a positive trigonometric polynomial $u_{n}$ of degree $n$. Then the derivative of the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x):=u_{n}(x)^{q} \prod_{k=1}^{r}\left|\sin \frac{x-\xi_{k}}{2}\right|^{a_{k}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has at most $2 n+2 r+1$ sign changes in $[-\pi, \pi)$.
Proof. Clearly, we have

$$
W^{\prime}(x)=W(x)\left(\frac{q u_{n}^{\prime}}{u_{n}}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{r} a_{k} \cot \frac{x-\xi_{k}}{2}\right) .
$$

Here, when $r$ is even the quantity in the brackets is a rational trigonometric function of the form $\frac{p_{n}}{u_{n} \prod_{k=1}^{r} \sin \frac{x-\xi_{k}}{2}}$ where $p_{n}$ is a trigonometric polynomial of degree $n+\frac{r}{2}$ which has at most $2 n+r$ roots in $[-\pi, \pi)$. Thus $W^{\prime}(x)$ has at most $2 n+2 r$ sign changes if $r$ is even. When $r$ is odd, we can multiply the numerator and denominator of the above ratio by, say, $\sin \frac{x}{2}$.
Theorem 3.3. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}, 0<q<1,-\pi=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{m}=\pi$ and $t_{n} \in T_{n}$. With the weight (2.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right) w\left(x_{j}\right)\left|t_{n}\left(x_{j}\right)\right|^{q}=(1+\varepsilon) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w(x)\left|t_{n}(x)\right|^{q} d x \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\varepsilon=c \min \left(n h_{m}^{q}, n^{2} h_{m}\right), \quad h_{m}=\max _{0 \leq j \leq m-1}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right) .
$$

Remark 3.4. Both estimates given in the theorem have some advantages. The first estimate is sharper if $n h_{m}^{1-q} \geq c$. The second estimate is sharper when $n h_{m}^{1-q} \leq c$. Whether the optimal order $c n h_{m}$ holds, is an open problem.

Proof. Using the notation from Lemma 3.1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & :=\left.\left|\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\right| f_{n}(x)\right|^{q} d x-\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right)\left|f_{n}\left(x_{j}\right)\right|^{q} \mid \\
& =\left|\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}}\left[\left|f_{n}(x)\right|^{q}-\left|f_{n}\left(x_{j}\right)\right|^{q}\right] d x\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}}\left|f_{n}(x)-f_{n}\left(x_{j}\right)\right|^{q} d x \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}}\left|\int_{x_{j}}^{x} f_{n}^{\prime}(u) d u\right|^{q} d x \\
& \leq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right)\left(\int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}}\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right| d x\right)^{q} \\
& =2 \pi \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} t_{j} c_{j}^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the notations

$$
c_{j}:=\int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}}\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right| d x, \quad t_{j}:=\frac{x_{j+1}-x_{j}}{2 \pi}, 0 \leq j \leq m-1, \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} t_{j}=1
$$

Since the function $x^{q}$ is concave for $0<q<1$ and $\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} t_{j}=1$ it follows that

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} t_{j} c_{j}^{q} \leq\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} t_{j} c_{j}\right)^{q}
$$

Combining this with the previous upper bound we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & \leq 2 \pi \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} t_{j} c_{j}^{q} \\
& =(2 \pi)^{1-q}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right) \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}}\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right| d x\right)^{q} \\
& \leq(2 \pi)^{1-q} h_{m}^{q}\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right| d x\right)^{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence applying Lemma 3.1 to the integral on the right hand side it follows that

$$
R \leq c h_{m}^{q} n \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|f_{n}(x)\right|^{q} d x
$$

Obviously, this verifies the statement of Theorem 3.3 with $\varepsilon=c n h_{m}^{q}$.

To prove the statement with $\varepsilon=c n^{2} h_{m}$ we use the identity

$$
f(b)(b-a)-\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\int_{a}^{b} f^{\prime}(x)(x-a) d x
$$

with $f(x)=w(x)\left|t_{n}(x)\right|^{q}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
R^{*} & :=\left.\left|\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} w\left(x_{j+1}\right)\right| t_{n}\left(x_{j+1}\right)\right|^{q}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right)-\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}} w(x)\left|t_{n}(x)\right|^{q} d x \mid  \tag{3.4}\\
& =\left|\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}}\left[w(x)\left|t_{n}(x)\right|^{q}\right]^{\prime}\left(x-x_{j}\right) d x\right| \\
& \leq h_{m} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|\left[w(x)\left|t_{n}(x)\right|^{q}\right]^{\prime}\right| d x
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
t_{n}(x)=u_{n-\ell}(x) \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \sin \frac{x-z_{k}}{2}
$$

where $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{\ell}$ are the real roots of $t_{n}(x)$ (possibly with multiplicity), and $0<$ $u_{n-\ell}(x) \in T_{n-\ell}$. Thus with $w(x)$ as defined in (2.1) we have

$$
f(x)=w(x)\left|t_{n}(x)\right|^{q}=c \prod_{k=1}^{s}\left|\sin \frac{x-y_{k}}{2}\right|^{a_{k}} \prod_{k=1}^{\ell}\left|\sin \frac{x-z_{k}}{2}\right|^{q} u_{n-\ell}(x)^{q}
$$

Then we can apply Lemma 3.2 to conclude that $f^{\prime}(x)$ has at most $2(n-\ell)+2 s+$ $2 \ell+1=2 n+2 s+1=: d \leq c n$ sign changes. Denote these sign changes by $\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{d}$. Thus (3.4) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{*} \leq & \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|\left[w(x)\left|t_{n}(x)\right|^{q}\right]^{\prime}\right| d x \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\left|\int_{\eta_{i}}^{\eta_{i+1}}\left[w(x)\left|t_{n}(x)\right|^{q}\right]^{\prime} d x\right|+\left|\int_{\eta_{d}}^{\eta_{1}+2 \pi}\left[w(x)\left|t_{n}(x)\right|^{q}\right]^{\prime} d x\right| \\
= & \left.\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\left|w\left(\eta_{i+1}\right)\right| t_{n}\left(\eta_{i+1}\right)\right|^{q}-w\left(\eta_{i}\right)\left|t_{n}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\right|^{q}\left|+\left|w\left(\eta_{1}+2 \pi\right)\right| t_{n}\left(\eta_{1}+2 \pi\right)\right|^{q} \\
& -w\left(\eta_{d}\right)\left|t_{n}\left(\eta_{d}\right)\right|^{q} \mid \\
\leq & 2 d\left\|w\left|t_{n}\right|^{q}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \\
\leq & c n\left\|w\left|t_{n}\right|^{q}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \\
\leq & c n^{2}\left\|w\left|t_{n}\right|^{q}\right\|_{L_{q}}^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last step we applied again the Nikolskii type inequality [2, Theorem A.4.3, p. 394], between the $L_{\infty}$ and $L_{q}$ norms for the function $w^{1 / q}\left|t_{n}\right|$.

By Theorem 3.3 when $0<q<1$ the Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund type asymptotic relations

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right) w\left(x_{j}\right)\left|t_{n}\left(x_{j}\right)\right|^{q}=(1+\varepsilon) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w(x)\left|t_{n}(x)\right|^{q} d x
$$

for trigonometric polynomials $t_{n}$ can hold for discrete meshes with

$$
\max _{0 \leq j \leq m-1}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right) \sim \frac{\varepsilon}{n^{2}}
$$

In particular, uniformly distributed nodes of cardinality $m \sim \frac{n^{2}}{\varepsilon}$ or $m \sim(n / \varepsilon)^{1 / q}($ whichever is smaller) will accomplish this goal. The question of optimality of this bound for cardinality is open when $0<q<1$.

However, we can easily give a reasonable lower estimate in case of equidistant nodes and unweighted polynomials.
Theorem 3.5. Let $0<q<1$ and $x_{j}=\pi j / m, 0 \leq|j| \leq m$. Then we have

$$
\sum_{|j| \leq m}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right)\left|\sin n x_{j}\right|^{q} \leq\left(1-\frac{c_{q} n}{m}\right) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|\sin n x|^{q} d x
$$

Proof. For the error of approximation we have (compare the proof of Theorem 2.5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & :=c \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}}\left|\left(|\sin n x|^{q}\right)^{\prime}\right|\left(x-x_{j}\right) d x \\
& \geq c n \sum_{\frac{m}{4 n}<j<\frac{m}{3 n}} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}} n(\sin n x)^{q-1} \cos n x \cdot\left(x-x_{j}\right) d x \\
& \geq c n^{2} \sum_{\frac{m}{4 n}<j<\frac{m}{3 n}} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}}\left(x-x_{j}\right) d x \\
& =\frac{c n}{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies the statement of the theorem, since

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|\sin n x|^{q} d x=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|\sin x|^{q} d x
$$
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