

## A STRONG CONVERGENCE THEOREM UNDER A NEW SHRINKING PROJECTION METHOD FOR TWO DEMIGENERALIZED MAPPINGS IN A BANACH SPACE

WATARU TAKAHASHI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish a strong convergence theorem for finding a common element of the zero point set of a maximal monotone operator and the common fixed point set of two demigeneralized mappings in a Banach space by using a new shrinking projection method. Moreover we apply our result to obtain well-known and new strong convergence theorems in a Hilbert space and in a Banach space.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $H$ . For a mapping  $U : C \rightarrow H$ , we denote by  $F(U)$  the set of fixed points of  $U$ . Let  $k$  be a real number with  $0 \leq k < 1$ . A mapping  $U : C \rightarrow H$  is called a  $k$ -strict pseudo-contraction [5] if

$$\|Ux - Uy\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + k\|x - Ux - (y - Uy)\|^2$$

for all  $x, y \in C$ . If  $U$  is a  $k$ -strict pseudo-contraction and  $F(U) \neq \emptyset$ , then we have that, for  $x \in C$  and  $q \in F(U)$ ,

$$\|Ux - q\|^2 \leq \|x - q\|^2 + k\|x - Ux\|^2.$$

From this, we have that

$$\|Ux - x\|^2 + \|x - q\|^2 + 2\langle Ux - x, x - q \rangle \leq \|x - q\|^2 + k\|x - Ux\|^2.$$

Therefore, we have that

$$(1.1) \quad 2\langle x - Ux, x - q \rangle \geq (1 - k)\|x - Ux\|^2$$

for all  $x \in C$  and  $q \in F(U)$ . A mapping  $U : C \rightarrow H$  is called generalized hybrid [10] if there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\alpha\|Ux - Uy\|^2 + (1 - \alpha)\|x - Uy\|^2 \leq \beta\|Ux - y\|^2 + (1 - \beta)\|x - y\|^2$$

for all  $x, y \in C$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  is the set of real numbers. Such a mapping  $U$  is called  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -generalized hybrid. If  $U$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -generalized hybrid and  $F(U) \neq \emptyset$ , then we have that, for  $x \in C$  and  $q \in F(U)$ ,

$$\alpha\|q - Ux\|^2 + (1 - \alpha)\|q - Ux\|^2 \leq \beta\|q - x\|^2 + (1 - \beta)\|q - x\|^2$$

---

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H05, 47H09.

Key words and phrases. Fixed point, generalized projection, generalized resolvent, shrinking projection method, duality mapping.

and hence  $\|Ux - q\|^2 \leq \|x - q\|^2$ . From this, we have that

$$(1.2) \quad 2\langle x - q, x - Ux \rangle \geq \|x - Ux\|^2.$$

On the other hand, such a mapping exists in a Banach space. Let  $E$  be a smooth Banach space and let  $B$  be a maximal monotone operator with  $B^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$ . Then, for the generalized resolvent  $J_\lambda$  of  $B$  for  $\lambda > 0$ , we have from [3, 23] that, for any  $x \in E$  and  $q \in B^{-1}0$ ,

$$\langle J_\lambda x - q, Jx - JJ_\lambda x \rangle \geq 0.$$

Then we get  $\langle J_\lambda x - x + x - q, Jx - JJ_\lambda x \rangle \geq 0$  and hence

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} 2\langle x - q, Jx - JJ_\lambda x \rangle &\geq 2\langle x - J_\lambda x, Jx - JJ_\lambda x \rangle \\ &= \phi(x, J_\lambda x) + \phi(J_\lambda x, x) \\ &\geq \phi(x, J_\lambda x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $J$  is the duality mapping on  $E$  and

$$\phi(x, y) = \|x\|^2 - 2\langle x, Jy \rangle + \|y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in E.$$

Motivated by (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3), Takahashi, Wen and Yao [27] defined a nonlinear mapping as follows: Let  $E$  be a smooth Banach space, let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $E$  and let  $\eta$  be a real number with  $\eta \in (-\infty, 1)$ . A mapping  $U : C \rightarrow E$  with  $F(U) \neq \emptyset$  is called  $\eta$ -demigeneralized if, for any  $x \in C$  and  $q \in F(U)$ ,

$$2\langle x - q, Jx - JUx \rangle \geq (1 - \eta)\phi(x, Ux),$$

where  $J$  is the duality mapping on  $E$ . According to this definition, we have that a  $k$ -strict pseud-contraction  $U$  with  $F(U) \neq \emptyset$  is  $k$ -demigeneralized, a generalized hybrid mapping  $U$  with  $F(U) \neq \emptyset$  is 0-demigeneralized and the metric resolvent  $J_\lambda$  with  $B^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$  is 0-demigeneralized. On the other hand, we know a strong convergence theorem under the shrinking projection method which was proved by Takahashi, Takeuchi and Kubota [26] for finding a fixed point of a nonexpansive mapping in a Hilbert space.

**Theorem 1.1** ([26]). *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $T$  be a nonexpansive mapping of  $C$  into  $H$ . Assume that  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x_1 \in C$  and  $C_1 = C$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence generated by*

$$\begin{cases} y_n = (1 - \lambda_n)x_n + \lambda_n T x_n, \\ C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \|y_n - z\| \leq \|x_n - z\|\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\{\lambda_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  satisfy the following:

$$0 < a \leq \lambda_n \leq 1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $z_0 \in F(T)$ , where  $z_0 = P_{F(T)} x_1$ .

In this paper, using a new shrinking projection method, we establish a strong convergence theorem for finding a common element of the set of zero points of a maximal monotone operator and the set of common fixed points of two demigeneralized mappings in a Banach space. Moreover we apply our result to obtain

well-known and new strong convergence theorems in a Hilbert space and in a Banach space.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, we denote by  $\mathbb{N}$  the set of positive integers and by  $\mathbb{R}$  the set of real numbers. Let  $E$  be a real Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and let  $E^*$  be the dual space of  $E$ . We denote the value of  $y^* \in E^*$  at  $x \in E$  by  $\langle x, y^* \rangle$ . When  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $E$ , we denote the strong convergence of  $\{x_n\}$  to  $x \in E$  by  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and the weak convergence by  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ . The modulus  $\delta$  of convexity of  $E$  is defined by

$$\delta(\epsilon) = \inf \left\{ 1 - \frac{\|x + y\|}{2} : \|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1, \|x - y\| \geq \epsilon \right\}$$

for all  $\epsilon$  with  $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 2$ . A Banach space  $E$  is said to be uniformly convex if  $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ . A uniformly convex Banach space is strictly convex and reflexive. We also know that a uniformly convex Banach space has the Kadec-Klee property, i.e.,  $x_n \rightharpoonup u$  and  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|u\|$  imply  $x_n \rightarrow u$ ; see [6, 16].

The duality mapping  $J$  from  $E$  into  $2^{E^*}$  is defined by

$$Jx = \{x^* \in E^* : \langle x, x^* \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|x^*\|^2\}$$

for all  $x \in E$ . Let  $U = \{x \in E : \|x\| = 1\}$ . The norm of  $E$  is said to be Gâteaux differentiable if for each  $x, y \in U$ , the limit

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t}$$

exists. In the case,  $E$  is called smooth. We know that  $E$  is smooth if and only if  $J$  is a single-valued mapping of  $E$  into  $E^*$ . The norm of  $E$  is said to be Fréchet differentiable if for each  $x \in U$ , the limit (2.1) is attained uniformly for  $y \in U$ . The norm of  $E$  is said to be uniformly smooth if the limit (2.1) is attained uniformly for  $x, y \in U$ . We also know that  $E$  is reflexive if and only if  $J$  is surjective, and  $E$  is strictly convex if and only if  $J$  is one-to-one. Therefore, if  $E$  is a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space, then  $J$  is a single-valued bijection and in this case, the inverse mapping  $J^{-1}$  coincides with the duality mapping  $J_*$  on  $E^*$ . For more details, see [22] and [23]. In this connection, see also the paper by Reich [15]. We know the following result.

**Lemma 2.1** ([22]). *Let  $E$  be a smooth Banach space and let  $J$  be the duality mapping on  $E$ . Then,  $\langle x - y, Jx - Jy \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x, y \in E$ . Furthermore, if  $E$  is strictly convex and  $\langle x - y, Jx - Jy \rangle = 0$ , then  $x = y$ .*

Let  $E$  be a smooth Banach space and let  $J$  be the duality mapping on  $E$ . Define a function  $\phi : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$(2.2) \quad \phi_E(x, y) = \|x\|^2 - 2\langle x, Jy \rangle + \|y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in E.$$

In the case when  $E$  is clear,  $\phi_E$  is simply denoted by  $\phi$ . Observe that, in a Hilbert space  $H$ ,  $\phi(x, y) = \|x - y\|^2$  for all  $x, y \in H$ . Furthermore, we know that for each  $x, y, z, w \in E$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad (\|x\| - \|y\|)^2 \leq \phi(x, y) \leq (\|x\| + \|y\|)^2;$$

$$(2.4) \quad \phi(x, y) = \phi(x, z) + \phi(z, y) + 2\langle x - z, Jz - Jy \rangle;$$

$$(2.5) \quad 2\langle x - y, Jz - Jw \rangle = \phi(x, w) + \phi(y, z) - \phi(x, z) - \phi(y, w).$$

If  $E$  is additionally assumed to be strictly convex, then

$$(2.6) \quad \phi(x, y) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad x = y.$$

The following lemma was proved by Kamimura and Takahashi [9].

**Lemma 2.2** ([9]). *Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space and let  $\{y_n\}$ ,  $\{z_n\}$  be two sequences of  $E$ . If  $\phi(y_n, z_n) \rightarrow 0$  and either  $\{y_n\}$  or  $\{z_n\}$  is bounded, then  $y_n - z_n \rightarrow 0$ .*

Let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space  $E$ . Then, for any  $x \in E$ , there exists a unique element  $z \in C$  such that

$$\phi(z, x) = \min_{y \in C} \phi(y, x).$$

The mapping  $\Pi_C : E \rightarrow C$  defined by  $z = \Pi_C x$  is called the generalized projection of  $E$  onto  $C$ . For example, see [1, 2, 9].

**Lemma 2.3** ([1, 2, 9]). *Let  $E$  be a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space. Let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $E$  and let  $x_1 \in E$  and  $z \in C$ . Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $z = \Pi_C x_1$ ;
- (2)  $\langle z - y, Jx_1 - Jz \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C$ .

**Lemma 2.4** ([1, 9]). *Let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space. Then*

$$\phi(y, \Pi_C x_1) + \phi(\Pi_C x_1, x_1) \leq \phi(y, x_1), \quad \forall y \in C, x_1 \in E.$$

Let  $E$  be a Banach space and let  $B$  be a mapping of  $E$  into  $2^{E^*}$ . The effective domain of  $B$  is denoted by  $\text{dom}(B)$ , that is,  $\text{dom}(B) = \{x \in E : Bx \neq \emptyset\}$ . A multi-valued mapping  $B$  on  $E$  is said to be monotone if  $\langle x - y, u^* - v^* \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x, y \in \text{dom}(B)$ ,  $u^* \in Bx$ , and  $v^* \in By$ . A monotone operator  $B$  on  $E$  is said to be maximal if its graph is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone operator on  $E$ . The following theorem is due to [4, 18]; see also [23, Theorem 3.5.4].

**Theorem 2.5** ([4, 18]). *Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space and let  $J$  be the duality mapping of  $E$  into  $E^*$ . Let  $B$  be a monotone operator of  $E$  into  $2^{E^*}$ . Then  $B$  is maximal if and only if for any  $r > 0$ ,*

$$R(J + rB) = E^*,$$

where  $R(J + rB)$  is the range of  $J + rB$ .

Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex Banach space with a Gâteaux differentiable norm and let  $B$  be a maximal monotone operator of  $E$  into  $2^{E^*}$ . For all  $x \in E$  and  $r > 0$ , we consider the following equation

$$Jx \in Jx_r + rBx_r.$$

This equation has a unique solution  $x_r$ . In fact, let  $x \in E$ . There exists  $x_r \in D(B)$  from  $Jx \in E^* = R(J + rB)$  such that

$$Jx \in Jx_r + rBx_r.$$

We show that such a solution  $x_r$  is unique. Take  $z_1, z_2 \in D(B)$  such that

$$Jx \in Jz_1 + rBz_1 \text{ and } Jx \in Jz_2 + rBz_2.$$

We have  $\frac{1}{r}(Jx - Jz_1) \in Bz_1$  and  $\frac{1}{r}(Jx - Jz_2) \in Bz_2$ . Since  $B$  and  $J$  are monotone, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \left\langle z_1 - z_2, \frac{1}{r}(Jx - Jz_1) - \frac{1}{r}(Jx - Jz_2) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \langle z_1 - z_2, Jx - Jz_1 - (Jx - Jz_2) \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{r} \langle z_1 - z_2, Jz_1 - Jz_2 \rangle \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\langle z_1 - z_2, Jz_1 - Jz_2 \rangle = 0.$$

Since  $E$  is strictly convex, we have from Lemma 2.1 that  $z_1 = z_2$ . We define  $J_r$  by  $x_r = J_r x$ . Such  $J_r, r > 0$  are called the generalized resolvents of  $B$ . The set of null points of  $B$  is defined by  $B^{-1}0 = \{z \in E : 0 \in Bz\}$ . We know that  $B^{-1}0$  is closed and convex; see [23].

### 3. MAIN RESULT

In this section, using the new shrinking projection method we introduced, we prove a strong convergence theorem for finding a common element of the zero point set of a maximal monotone operator and the common fixed point set of two demigeneralized mappings in a Banach space. The ideas of the proof are due to [19–21, 25]. Let  $E$  be a smooth and strictly convex Banach space and let  $J$  be the duality mapping on  $E$ . Let  $\eta$  and  $s$  be real numbers with  $\eta \in (-\infty, 1)$  and  $s \in [0, \infty)$ , respectively. Then a mapping  $U : C \rightarrow E$  with  $F(U) \neq \emptyset$  is called  $(\eta, s)$ -demigeneralized [14, 27] if, for any  $x \in C$  and  $q \in F(U)$ ,

$$(3.1) \quad 2\langle x - q, Jx - JUx \rangle \geq (1 - \eta)\phi(x, Ux) + s\phi(Ux, x),$$

where  $F(U)$  is the set of fixed points of  $U$ . In particular, if  $s = 0$  in (3.1), then the mapping  $U$  is as follows:

$$2\langle x - q, Jx - JUx \rangle \geq (1 - \eta)\phi(x, Ux)$$

for all  $x \in C$  and  $q \in F(U)$ . Especially, such  $(\eta, 0)$ -demigeneralized mappings in the class of demigeneralized mappings are important and called  $\eta$ -demigeneralized.

#### Examples.

(1) Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $k$  be a real number with  $0 \leq k < 1$ . If  $U$  is a  $k$ -strict pseudo-contraction [5] and  $F(U) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $U$  is  $(k, 0)$ -demigeneralized [27].

(2) Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $H$ . If  $U$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -generalized hybrid and  $F(U) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $U$  is  $(0, 0)$ -demigeneralized [27], i.e.,

$$2\langle x - u, x - Ux \rangle \geq \|x - Ux\|^2, \quad \forall x \in C, u \in F(U).$$

Notice that the class of generalized hybrid mappings covers several well-known mappings. For example, a  $(1, 0)$ -generalized hybrid mapping is nonexpansive. It is nonspreading [11, 12] for  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\beta = 1$ , i.e.,

$$2\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|Tx - y\|^2 + \|Ty - x\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

It is also hybrid [24] for  $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}$  and  $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ , i.e.,

$$3\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + \|Tx - y\|^2 + \|Ty - x\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

In general, nonspreading and hybrid mappings are not continuous; see [8].

(3) Let  $E$  be a strictly convex, reflexive and smooth Banach space and let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $E$ . Let  $\Pi_C$  be the generalized projection of  $E$  onto  $C$ . Then  $\Pi_C$  is  $(0, 1)$ -demigeneralized. In fact, since  $\Pi_C$  is the generalized projection of  $E$  onto  $C$ , we have that, for any  $x \in E$  and  $q \in C$ ,

$$2\langle \Pi_C x - q, Jx - J\Pi_C x \rangle \geq 0.$$

Then we get

$$2\langle \Pi_C x - x + x - q, Jx - J\Pi_C x \rangle \geq 0$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle x - q, Jx - J\Pi_C x \rangle &\geq 2\langle x - \Pi_C x, Jx - J\Pi_C x \rangle \\ &= \phi(x, \Pi_C x) + \phi(\Pi_C x, x). \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $\Pi_C$  is  $(0, 1)$ -demigeneralized. Furthermore, since

$$\phi(x, \Pi_C x) + \phi(\Pi_C x, x) \geq \phi(x, \Pi_C x),$$

$\Pi_C$  is also  $(0, 0)$ -demigeneralized, i.e., 0-demigeneralized.

(4) Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space and let  $B$  be a maximal monotone operator with  $B^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\lambda > 0$ . Then the generalized resolvent  $Q_\lambda$  is  $(0, 1)$ -demigeneralized, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle x - q, Jx - JQ_\lambda x \rangle &\geq 2\langle x - Q_\lambda x, Jx - JQ_\lambda x \rangle \\ &= \phi(x, Q_\lambda x) + \phi(Q_\lambda x, x). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since

$$\phi(x, Q_\lambda x) + \phi(Q_\lambda x, x) \geq \phi(x, Q_\lambda x),$$

$Q_\lambda$  is also  $(0, 0)$ -demigeneralized, i.e., 0-demigeneralized.

The following lemma is important and crucial in the proof of our main result which was proved in [27]. For the sake of completeness, we give the proof.

**Lemma 3.1** ([27]). *Let  $E$  be a smooth and strictly convex Banach space and let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $E$ . Let  $\eta$  and  $s$  be real numbers with  $\eta \in (-\infty, 1)$  and  $s \in [0, \infty)$ , respectively. Let  $U$  be an  $(\eta, 0)$ -demigeneralized*

mapping of  $C$  into  $E$ . Then  $F(U)$  is closed and convex. In particular, if  $U$  is  $(\eta, s)$ -demigeneralized, then  $F(U)$  is closed and convex.

*Proof.* Assume that  $U$  is an  $(\eta, 0)$ -demigeneralized. Let us show that  $F(U)$  is closed. For a sequence  $\{q_n\}$  such that  $q_n \rightarrow q$  and  $q_n \in F(U)$ , we have from the definition of  $U$  that

$$\langle q - q_n, Jq - JUq \rangle \geq \frac{1 - \eta}{2} \phi(q, Uq).$$

From  $q_n \rightarrow q$ , we have  $0 \geq \frac{1 - \eta}{2} \phi(q, Uq)$ . From  $1 - \eta > 0$ , we get  $0 \geq \phi(q, Uq)$  and hence  $q = Uq$ . This implies that  $F(U)$  is closed. Let us prove that  $F(U)$  is convex. Let  $p, q \in F(U)$  and set  $z = \alpha p + (1 - \alpha)q$ , where  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . From the definition of  $U$ , we have that, for  $x \in C$  and  $u \in F(U)$ ,

$$\langle x - u, Jx - JUx \rangle \geq \frac{1 - \eta}{2} \phi(x, Ux).$$

This implies from (2.5) that

$$\phi(x, Ux) + \phi(u, x) - \phi(u, Ux) \geq (1 - \eta)\phi(x, Ux)$$

and hence

$$\phi(u, x) + \eta\phi(x, Ux) \geq \phi(u, Ux).$$

Using this, we have that for  $z = \alpha p + (1 - \alpha)q$  and  $p, q \in F(U)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(z, Uz) &= \|z\|^2 - 2\langle z, JUz \rangle + \|Uz\|^2 \\ &= \|z\|^2 - 2\langle \alpha p + (1 - \alpha)q, JUz \rangle + \|Uz\|^2 \\ &= \|z\|^2 - 2\alpha\langle p, JUz \rangle - 2(1 - \alpha)\langle q, JUz \rangle + \|Uz\|^2 \\ &= \|z\|^2 + \alpha\phi(p, Uz) + (1 - \alpha)\phi(q, Uz) - \alpha\|p\|^2 - (1 - \alpha)\|q\|^2 \\ &\leq \|z\|^2 + \alpha(\phi(p, z) + \eta\phi(z, Uz)) \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha)(\phi(q, z) + \eta\phi(z, Uz)) - \alpha\|p\|^2 - (1 - \alpha)\|q\|^2 \\ &= \|z\|^2 + \alpha(\|p\|^2 - 2\langle p, Jz \rangle + \|z\|^2 + \eta\phi(z, Uz)) \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha)(\|q\|^2 - 2\langle q, Jz \rangle + \|z\|^2 + \eta\phi(z, Uz)) \\ &\quad - \alpha\|p\|^2 - (1 - \alpha)\|q\|^2 \\ &= 2\|z\|^2 - 2\langle \alpha p + (1 - \alpha)q, Jz \rangle + \eta\phi(z, Uz) \\ &= 2\|z\|^2 - 2\langle z, Jz \rangle + \eta\phi(z, Uz) \\ &= \eta\phi(z, Uz) \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $0 \leq (\eta - 1)\phi(z, Uz)$ . We have from  $0 > \eta - 1$  that  $\phi(z, Uz) = 0$ . Since  $E$  is strictly convex, we have  $z = Uz$ . This means that  $F(U)$  is convex. If  $U$  is  $(\eta, s)$ -demigeneralized, then  $U$  is  $(\eta, 0)$ -demigeneralized and hence  $F(U)$  is closed and convex.  $\square$

Let  $E$  be a Banach space and let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $E$ . A mapping  $U : C \rightarrow E$  is called demiclosed if for a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $C$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow p$  and  $x_n - Ux_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $p = Up$  holds.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space and let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $E$  such that  $JC$  is closed and convex. Let  $A \subset E \times E^*$  be a maximal monotone operator and let  $Q_r = (J+rA)^{-1}J$  be the generalized resolvent of  $A$  for all  $r > 0$ . Let  $\eta, \tau \in (-\infty, 1)$  and let  $S$  and  $T$  be  $\eta$  and  $\tau$ -demigeneralized mappings from  $C$  into itself, respectively, such that they are demiclosed and  $\Omega = F(S) \cap F(T) \cap A^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$ . For  $x_1 \in C$  and  $C_1 = C$ , let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence generated by*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_n = Q_{r_n}z_n, \\ z_n = J^{-1}(\beta_n Jv_n + (1 - \beta_n)JTv_n), \\ v_n = J^{-1}(\alpha_n Jx_n + (1 - \alpha_n)JSx_n), \\ C_{n+1} = \left\{ z \in C_n : \begin{array}{l} 2\langle z_n - z, Jz_n - Ju_n \rangle \geq \phi(z_n, u_n) + \phi(u_n, z_n), \\ 2\langle v_n - z, Jv_n - Jz_n \rangle \geq (1 - \tau)\phi(v_n, z_n) \\ \text{and } 2\langle x_n - z, Jx_n - Jv_n \rangle \geq (1 - \eta)\phi(x_n, v_n) \end{array} \right\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}}x_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{array} \right.$$

where  $J$  is the duality mapping on  $E$ ,  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\} \subset [0, 1]$  and  $\{r_n\} \subset [a, \infty)$  for some  $a > 0$ . If  $1 - \alpha_n \geq b > 0$  and  $1 - \beta_n \geq c > 0$  for some  $b, c \in (0, 1)$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $\Pi_\Omega x_1$ , where  $\Pi_\Omega$  is the generalized projection of  $E$  onto  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* It follows that  $C_n$  is closed and convex for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We show that  $\Omega \subset C_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is obvious that  $\Omega \subset C_1 = C$ . Suppose that  $\Omega \subset C_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . To show  $\Omega \subset C_{k+1}$ , let us show that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle z_k - z, Jz_k - Ju_k \rangle &\geq \phi(z_k, u_k) + \phi(u_k, z_k), \\ 2\langle v_k - z, Jv_k - Jz_k \rangle &\geq (1 - \tau)\phi(v_k, z_k) \text{ and} \\ 2\langle x_k - z, Jx_k - Jv_k \rangle &\geq (1 - \eta)\phi(x_k, v_k) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $z \in \Omega$ . Let  $z \in \Omega$ . Since  $Q_{r_k}$  is the generalized resolvent, we have that

$$\langle Q_{r_k}z_k - z, Jz_k - JQ_{r_k}z_k \rangle \geq 0$$

for all  $z \in \Omega \subset A^{-1}0$ . Thus, we get that

$$\langle Q_{r_k}z_k - z_k + z_k - z, Jz_k - JQ_{r_k}z_k \rangle \geq 0$$

and hence

$$2\langle z_k - z, Jz_k - JQ_{r_k}z_k \rangle \geq 2\langle z_k - Q_{r_k}z_k, Jz_k - JQ_{r_k}z_k \rangle.$$

We have from (2.5) that

$$2\langle z_k - z, Jz_k - JQ_{r_k}z_k \rangle \geq \phi(z_k, Q_{r_k}z_k) + \phi(Q_{r_k}z_k, z_k).$$

This implies that

$$2\langle z_k - z, Jz_k - Ju_k \rangle \geq \phi(z_k, u_k) + \phi(u_k, z_k).$$

Since  $u \in \Omega$  and  $T$  is  $\tau$ -demigeneralized, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(v_k, z_k) &= \phi(v_k, J^{-1}(\beta_k Jv_k + (1 - \beta_k)JTv_k)) \\ &= \|v_k\|^2 - 2\langle v_k, \beta_k Jv_k + (1 - \beta_k)JTv_k \rangle \\ &\quad + \|\beta_k Jv_k + (1 - \beta_k)JTv_k\|^2 \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \|v_k\|^2 - 2\beta_k\|v_k\|^2 - 2(1 - \beta_k)\langle v_k, JTv_k \rangle \\
 &\quad + \beta_k\|v_k\|^2 + (1 - \beta_k)\|Tv_k\|^2 \\
 &= (1 - \beta_k)\|v_k\|^2 - 2(1 - \beta_k)\langle v_k, JTv_k \rangle + (1 - \beta_k)\|Tv_k\|^2 \\
 &= (1 - \beta_k)\phi(v_k, Tv_k)
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.2) \quad &2\langle v_k - z, Jv_k - Jz_k \rangle = 2\langle v_k - z, Jv_k - (\beta_k Jv_k + (1 - \beta_k)JTv_k) \rangle \\
 &= 2(1 - \beta_k)\langle v_k - z, Jv_k - JTv_k \rangle \\
 &\geq (1 - \beta_k)(1 - \tau)\phi(v_k, Tv_k) \\
 &\geq (1 - \tau)\phi(v_k, J^{-1}(\beta_k Jv_k + (1 - \beta_k)JTv_k)) \\
 &= (1 - \tau)\phi(v_k, z_k).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have that

$$2\langle x_k - z, J(x_k - v_k) \rangle \geq (1 - \eta)\phi(x_k, v_k)..$$

Then  $\Omega \subset C_{k+1}$ . We have by mathematical induction that  $\Omega \subset C_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that  $\{x_n\}$  is well defined.

We have that  $F(S)$  and  $F(T)$  are closed and convex from Lemma 3.1 . We also have that  $A^{-1}0$  is closed and convex. Thus  $\Omega$  is nonempty, closed and convex. Then there exists  $w_1 \in \Omega$  such that  $w_1 = \Pi_{\Omega}x_1$ . From  $x_n = \Pi_{C_n}x_1$ , we have that

$$\phi(x_n, x_1) \leq \phi(y, x_1)$$

for all  $y \in C_n$ . Since  $w_1 \in \Omega \subset C_n$ , we have that

$$(3.3) \quad \phi(x_n, x_1) \leq \phi(w_1, x_1).$$

From  $x_n = \Pi_{C_n}x_1$  and  $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1} \subset C_n$ , we have that

$$\phi(x_n, x_1) \leq \phi(x_{n+1}, x_1).$$

Thus  $\{\phi(x_n, x_1)\}$  is bounded and nondecreasing. Then there exists the limit of  $\{\phi(x_n, x_1)\}$ . Put  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n, x_1) = c$ . For any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m \geq n$ , we have  $C_m \subset C_n$ . From  $x_m = \Pi_{C_m}x_1 \in C_m \subset C_n$  and Lemma 2.4, we have that

$$\phi(\Pi_{C_n}x_1, x_1) + \phi(x_m, \Pi_{C_n}x_1) \leq \phi(x_m, x_1).$$

This implies that

$$(3.4) \quad \phi(x_m, x_n) \leq \phi(x_m, x_1) - \phi(x_n, x_1) \leq c - \phi(x_n, x_1).$$

Since  $c - \phi(x_n, x_1) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have from Lemma 2.2 that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. By the completeness of  $C$ , there exists a point  $w_0 \in C$  such that

$$(3.5) \quad x_n \rightarrow w_0.$$

To complete the proof, it is sufficient to show that  $w_1 = \Pi_{\Omega}x_1 = w_0$ . From (3.5), we have that

$$(3.6) \quad \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \rightarrow 0.$$

From  $x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}}x_1$ , we have  $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1}$ . This implies that

$$(3.7) \quad 2\langle x_n - x_{n+1}, Jx_n - Jv_n \rangle \geq (1 - \eta)\phi(x_n, v_n).$$

Furthermore, we claim that  $\{Jx_n - Jv_n\}$  is bounded. That  $\{Jx_n - Jv_n\}$  is bounded is proved as follows. For proving this, from

$$\|Jx_n - Jv_n\| = \|(1 - \beta_n)(Jx_n - JSx_n)\|,$$

we may prove that  $\{Sx_n\}$  is bounded. Since

$$2\langle x_n - z, Jx_n - JSx_n \rangle \geq (1 - \eta)\langle x_n, Sx_n \rangle$$

for  $z \in F(S)$ , we have from (2.5) that

$$\phi(x_n, Sx_n) + \phi(z, x_n) - \phi(z, Sx_n) \geq (1 - \eta)\phi(x_n, Sx_n)$$

and hence

$$\eta\phi(x_n, Sx_n) + \phi(z, x_n) \geq \phi(z, Sx_n).$$

In the case of  $\eta \leq 0$ , we have  $\phi(z, x_n) \geq \phi(z, Sx_n)$ . Thus, we have that, for  $u \in F(S)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\|z\| - \|Sx_n\|)^2 &\leq \phi(z, Sx_n) \\ &\leq \phi(z, x_n) \leq (\|z\| + \|x_n\|)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using this, we have that

$$\|Sx_n\| \leq (\|z\| + \|x_n\|) + \|z\|.$$

This implies that  $\{Sx_n\}$  is bounded. In the case of  $\eta$  with  $0 < \eta < 1$ , we have

$$\eta\phi(x_n, Sx_n) + \phi(z, x_n) \geq \phi(z, Sx_n).$$

Thus, we have that, for  $z \in F(S)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\|z\| - \|Sx_n\|)^2 &\leq \phi(z, Sx_n) \\ &\leq \phi(z, x_n) + \eta\phi(x_n, Sx_n) \\ &\leq (\|z\| + \|x_n\|)^2 + \eta(\|x_n\| + \|Sx_n\|)^2 \\ &\leq (\|z\| + \|x_n\| + \sqrt{\eta}(\|x_n\| + \|Sx_n\|))^2. \end{aligned}$$

From this, we have that

$$\|z\| - \|Sx_n\| \leq \|z\| + \|x_n\| + \sqrt{\eta}(\|x_n\| + \|Sx_n\|)$$

and hence

$$(1 - \sqrt{\eta})\|Sx_n\| \leq (1 + \sqrt{\eta})\|x_n\| + 2\|z\|.$$

Then, we have that

$$\|Sx_n\| \leq \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{\eta}}{1 - \sqrt{\eta}} \|x_n\| + \frac{2}{1 - \sqrt{\eta}} \|z\| \right).$$

This implies that  $\{Sx_n\}$  is bounded. We have from (3.7) that  $\phi(x_n, v_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Then we have from Lemma 2.2 that

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - v_n\| = 0.$$

Since  $E$  is uniformly smooth, we have that  $Jx_n - Jv_n \rightarrow 0$ . From  $1 - \alpha_n \geq b > 0$  and

$$\|Jx_n - Jv_n\| = \|(1 - \alpha_n)(Jx_n - JSx_n)\| \geq b\|Jx_n - JSx_n\|,$$

we have that  $Jx_n - JSx_n \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $E^*$  is uniformly smooth, we have that

$$(3.9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Sx_n\| = 0.$$

Furthermore, we have from  $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1}$  that

$$2\langle v_n - x_{n+1}, Jv_n - Jz_n \rangle \geq (1 - \tau)\phi(v_n, z_n)$$

and hence

$$2\langle v_n - x_n + x_n - x_{n+1}, Jv_n - Jz_n \rangle \geq (1 - \beta_n)(1 - \tau)\phi(v_n, z_n).$$

As in the proof of boundedness of  $\{Jx_n - Jv_n\}$ , we have that  $\{Jv_n - Jz_n\}$  is bounded. From  $\|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|x_n - v_n\| \rightarrow 0$ , we have that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(v_n, z_n) = 0$ . Using Lemma 2.2, we have that  $v_n - z_n \rightarrow 0$ . As in the proof of  $x_n - Sx_n \rightarrow 0$ , we have that

$$(3.10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n - Tv_n\| = 0.$$

We also have from  $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1}$  that

$$2\langle z_n - x_{n+1}, Jz_n - Ju_n \rangle \geq \phi(z_n, u_n) + \phi(u_n, z_n).$$

From  $\|z_n - x_{n+1}\| \leq \|z_n - v_n\| + \|v_n - x_n\| + \|x_n - x_{n+1}\|$ ,  $z_n - v_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $v_n - x_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $x_n - x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $\|z_n - x_{n+1}\| \rightarrow 0$ . Then we get that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(z_n, u_n) = 0$$

and hence

$$(3.11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n - Q_{r_n}z_n\| = 0.$$

Since  $x_n \rightarrow w_0$  and  $S$  is demiclosed, we have from (3.9) that  $w_0 \in F(S)$ . Similarly, since  $v_n \rightarrow w_0$  and  $T$  is demiclosed, we have from (3.10) that  $w_0 \in F(T)$ . We show  $w_0 \in A^{-1}0$ . Since  $E$  is uniformly smooth, from  $u_n = Q_{r_n}z_n$  and (3.11) we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Jz_n - Ju_n\| = 0.$$

From  $r_n \geq a$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r_n} \|Jz_n - Ju_n\| = 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_{r_n}z_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r_n} \|Jz_n - Ju_n\| = 0.$$

For  $(p, p^*) \in A$ , from the monotonicity of  $A$ , we have  $\langle p - u_n, p^* - A_{r_n}z_n \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . From  $u_n \rightarrow w_0$ , we get  $\langle p - w_0, p^* \rangle \geq 0$ . From the maximality of  $A$ , we have  $w_0 \in A^{-1}0$ . Therefore, we have  $w_0 \in \Omega$ .

From  $w_1 = \Pi_{\Omega}x_1$ ,  $w_0 \in \Omega$  and (3.3), we have that

$$\phi(w_1, x_1) \leq \phi(w_0, x_1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n, x_1) \leq \phi(w_1, x_1).$$

Then we get that  $\phi(w_1, x_1) = \phi(w_0, x_1)$  and hence  $w_0 = w_1$ . Therefore, we have  $x_n \rightarrow w_0 = w_1$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

4. APPLICATIONS

In this section, using Theorem 3.2, we prove strong convergence theorems under a new shrinking projection method in a Hilbert space and in a Banach space. We know the following result obtained by Marino and Xu [13]; see also [28].

**Lemma 4.1** ([13, 28]). *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $k$  be a real number with  $0 \leq k < 1$  and let  $U : C \rightarrow H$  be a  $k$ -strict pseudo-contraction. If  $x_n \rightharpoonup z$  and  $x_n - Ux_n \rightarrow 0$ , then  $z \in F(U)$ .*

We also know the following result from Kocourek, Takahashi and Yao [10]; see also [29].

**Lemma 4.2** ([10, 29]). *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space, let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $H$  and let  $U : C \rightarrow H$  be generalized hybrid. If  $x_n \rightharpoonup z$  and  $x_n - Ux_n \rightarrow 0$ , then  $z \in F(U)$ .*

As a direct consequence of Theorem 3.2, we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space. Let  $A \subset E \times E^*$  be a maximal monotone operator satisfying  $A^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$  and let  $Q_r = (J + rA)^{-1}J$  be the generalized resolvent of  $A$  for all  $r > 0$ . Let  $S$  and  $T$  be relatively nonexpansive mappings from  $E$  into itself such that*

$$\Omega = F(S) \cap F(T) \cap A^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset.$$

For  $x_1 \in E$  and  $C_1 = E$ , let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence generated by

$$\begin{cases} u_n = Q_{r_n}z_n, \\ z_n = J^{-1}(\beta_n Jv_n + (1 - \beta_n)JTv_n), \\ v_n = J^{-1}(\alpha_n Jx_n + (1 - \alpha_n)JSx_n), \\ C_{n+1} = \left\{ z \in C_n : 2\langle z_n - z, Jz_n - Ju_n \rangle \geq \phi(z_n, u_n) + \phi(u_n, z_n), \right. \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \phi(z, z_n) \leq \phi(z, v_n) \text{ and } \phi(z, v_n) \leq \phi(z, x_n) \right\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}}x_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

where  $J$  is the duality mapping on  $E$ ,  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\} \subset [0, 1]$  and  $\{r_n\} \subset [a, \infty)$  for some  $a > 0$ . If  $1 - \alpha_n \geq b > 0$  and  $1 - \beta_n \geq c > 0$  for some  $b, c \in (0, 1)$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $\Pi_\Omega x_1$ , where  $\Pi_\Omega$  is the generalized projection of  $E$  onto  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* Since  $S$  and  $T$  are relatively nonexpansive,  $S$  and  $T$  are 0-demigeneralized mappings such that they are demiclosed. We also have that  $\phi(z, z_n) \leq \phi(z, v_n)$  is equivalent to

$$2\langle v_n - z, Jv_n - Jz_n \rangle \geq \phi(v_n, z_n).$$

Similarly,  $\phi(z, v_n) \leq \phi(z, x_n)$  is equivalent to

$$2\langle x_n - z, Jx_n - Jv_n \rangle \geq \phi(x_n, v_n).$$

Therefore, we obtain Theorem 4.3 from Theorem 3.2. □

Let  $E$  be a Banach space and let  $f : E \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  be a proper, lower semicontinuous and convex function. Define the subdifferential of  $f$  as follows:

$$\partial f(x) = \{x^* \in E^* : f(y) \geq \langle y - x, x^* \rangle + f(x), \forall y \in E\}$$

for all  $x \in E$ . Then, we know that  $\partial f$  is a maximal monotone operator; see [17] for more details.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space and let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $E$  such that  $J_C$  is closed and convex. Let  $S$  be a relatively nonexpansive mapping from  $C$  into itself. Let  $\tau \in (-\infty, 1)$  and let  $T$  be a  $\tau$ -demigeneralized mapping from  $C$  into itself such that it is demiclosed and  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $\Omega = F(S) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . For  $x_1 \in C$  and  $C_1 = C$ , let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence generated by*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} z_n = J^{-1}(\alpha_n Jz_n + (1 - \alpha_n)JTv_n), \\ v_n = J^{-1}(\beta_n Jx_n + (1 - \beta_n)JSx_n), \\ C_{n+1} = \left\{ z \in C_n : 2\langle v_n - z, Jv_n - Jz_n \rangle \geq (1 - \tau)\phi(v_n, z_n) \right. \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \text{and } \phi(z, v_n) \leq \phi(z, x_n) \right\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}}x_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{array} \right.$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\} \subset [0, 1]$ . If  $1 - \alpha_n \geq b > 0$  and  $1 - \beta_n \geq c > 0$  for some  $b, c \in (0, 1)$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $\Pi_\Omega x_1$ , where  $\Pi_\Omega$  is the generalized projection of  $E$  onto  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* Set  $A = \partial i_C$  in Theorem 3.2, where  $i_C$  is the indicator function, that is,

$$i_C = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in C, \\ \infty, & x \notin C. \end{cases}$$

Then, we have that  $\partial i_C$  is a maximal monotone operator and  $Q_r = \Pi_C$  for all  $r > 0$ . In fact, for any  $x \in E$  and  $r > 0$ , we have from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} z = Q_r x &\Leftrightarrow Jz + r\partial i_C(z) \ni Jx \\ &\Leftrightarrow Jx - Jz \in r\partial i_C(z) \\ &\Leftrightarrow i_C(y) \geq \left\langle y - z, \frac{Jx - Jz}{r} \right\rangle + i_C(z), \quad \forall y \in E \\ &\Leftrightarrow 0 \geq \langle y - z, Jx - Jz \rangle, \quad \forall y \in C \\ &\Leftrightarrow z = \arg \min_{y \in C} \phi(y, x) \\ &\Leftrightarrow z = \Pi_C \end{aligned}$$

and  $u_n = z_n$  in Theorem 3.2. Therefore, from Theorem 3.2, we obtain Theorem 4.4. □

The following is a strong convergence theorem for nonexpansive mappings and  $k$ -strict pseudo-contractions in a Hilbert space.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $k$  be a real number with  $k \in [0, 1)$ . Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a nonexpansive mapping and let  $U : C \rightarrow C$  be a  $k$ -strict pseudo-contraction such that  $F(U) \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $\Omega = F(T) \cap F(U) \neq \emptyset$ . Let For  $x_1 \in C$  and  $C_1 = C$ , let*

$\{x_n\}$  be a sequence generated by

$$\begin{cases} z_n = \beta_n v_n + (1 - \beta_n) U v_n, \\ v_n = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) T x_n, \\ C_{n+1} = \left\{ z \in C_n : 2\langle v_n - z, v_n - z_n \rangle \geq (1 - k) \|v_n - U z_n\|^2 \right. \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \text{and } 2\langle x_n - z, x_n - v_n \rangle \geq \|x_n - v_n\|^2 \right\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\} \subset [0, 1]$ . If  $1 - \alpha_n \geq b > 0$  and  $1 - \beta_n \geq c > 0$  for some  $b, c \in (0, 1)$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $P_\Omega x_1$ , where  $P_\Omega$  is the metric projection of  $H$  onto  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* Since  $U$  be a  $k$ -strict pseudo-contraction of  $C$  into itself such that  $F(U) \neq \emptyset$ , from (1) in Examples,  $U$  is  $k$ -demigeneralized. From Lemma 4.1,  $U$  is demiclosed. We also have that a nonexpansive mapping  $T$  is 0-demigeneralized and demiclosed. Furthermore, putting  $A = 0$  in Theorem 3.2, we have that  $Q_r = I$  for all  $r > 0$ . Therefore, we have the desired result from Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

The following is a strong convergence theorem for nonexpansive mappings and generalized hybrid mappings in a Hilbert space.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a nonexpansive mapping with  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$  and let  $U : C \rightarrow C$  be a generalized hybrid mapping with  $F(U) \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $\Omega = F(T) \cap F(U) \neq \emptyset$ . For  $x_1 \in C$  and  $C_1 = C$ , let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence generated by*

$$\begin{cases} z_n = \beta_n v_n + (1 - \beta_n) U v_n, \\ v_n = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) T x_n, \\ C_{n+1} = \left\{ z \in C_n : 2\langle v_n - z, v_n - z_n \rangle \geq \|v_n - U z_n\|^2 \right. \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \text{and } 2\langle x_n - z, x_n - v_n \rangle \geq \|x_n - v_n\|^2 \right\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\} \subset [0, 1]$ . If  $1 - \alpha_n \geq b > 0$  and  $1 - \beta_n \geq c > 0$  for some  $b, c \in (0, 1)$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $P_\Omega x_1$ , where  $P_\Omega$  is the metric projection of  $H$  onto  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* Since  $U$  be a generalized hybrid mapping of  $C$  into itself such that  $F(U) \neq \emptyset$ , from (2) in Examples,  $U$  is 0-demigeneralized. From Lemma 4.2,  $U$  is demiclosed. We also have that a nonexpansive mapping  $T$  is 0-demigeneralized and demiclosed. Furthermore, putting  $A = 0$  in Theorem 3.2, we have that  $Q_r = I$  for all  $r > 0$ . Therefore, we have the desired result from Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

The following is a strong convergence theorem for two generalized projections in a Banach space.

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space. Let  $C$  and  $D$  be nonempty, closed and convex subsets of  $E$  and let  $\Pi_C$  and  $\Pi_D$  be the*

generalized projections of  $E$  onto  $C$  and  $D$ , respectively. Suppose that  $C \cap D \neq \emptyset$ . For  $x_1 \in E$  and  $C_1 = E$ , let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence generated by

$$\begin{cases} z_n = J^{-1}(\beta_n Jv_n + (1 - \beta_n)J\Pi_D v_n), \\ v_n = \Pi_C x_n, \\ C_{n+1} = \left\{ z \in C_n : 2\langle v_n - z, Jv_n - Jz_n \rangle \geq \phi(v_n, z_n) \right. \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \text{and } 2\langle x_n - z, Jx_n - Jv_n \rangle \geq \phi(x_n, v_n) \right\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\{\beta_n\} \subset [0, 1]$ . If  $1 - \beta_n \geq c > 0$  for some  $c \in (0, 1)$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $z_0 \in C \cap D$ , where  $z_0 = \Pi_{C \cap D} x_1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\Pi_C$  is the generalized projection of  $E$  onto  $C$ ,  $\Pi_C$  is 0-demigeneralized from (3) in Examples. Since  $\Pi_D$  is the generalized projection of  $E$  onto  $D$ , from (3) in Examples,  $\Pi_D$  is 0-demigeneralized. We also have that if  $\{u_n\}$  is a sequence in  $E$  such that  $u_n \rightharpoonup p$  and  $u_n - \Pi_D u_n \rightarrow 0$ , then  $p = \Pi_D p$ . In fact, assume that  $u_n \rightharpoonup p$  and  $u_n - \Pi_D u_n \rightarrow 0$ . It is clear that  $\Pi_D u_n \rightharpoonup p$ . Furthermore, since  $E$  is uniformly smooth, we have that  $\|Ju_n - J\Pi_D u_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\Pi_D$  is the generalized projection of  $E$  onto  $D$ , we have that

$$\langle \Pi_D u_n - \Pi_D p, Ju_n - J\Pi_D u_n - (Jp - J\Pi_D p) \rangle \geq 0.$$

Therefore,  $\langle p - \Pi_D p, -(Jp - J\Pi_D p) \rangle \geq 0$ . This implies that

$$\phi(p, \Pi_D p) + \phi(\Pi_D p, p) \leq 0$$

and hence  $p = \Pi_D p$ . Therefore,  $\Pi_D$  is demiclosed. Similarly,  $\Pi_C$  is demiclosed. Furthermore, putting  $A = 0$  in Theorem 3.2, we have that  $Q_r = I$  for all  $r > 0$ . Therefore, we have the desired result from Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

The following is a strong convergence theorem for two generalized resolvents in a Banach space.

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space. Let  $G$  and  $B$  be maximal monotone operators of  $E$  into  $E^*$ . Let  $J_\lambda$  be the generalized resolvent of  $G$  for  $\lambda > 0$  and let  $R_\mu$  be the generalized resolvent of  $B$  for  $\mu > 0$ . Suppose that  $G^{-1}0 \cap B^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$ . For  $x_1 \in E$  and  $C_1 = E$ , let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence generated by*

$$\begin{cases} z_n = J^{-1}(\beta_n Jv_n + (1 - \beta_n)JR_\mu v_n), \\ v_n = J_\lambda x_n, \\ C_{n+1} = \left\{ z \in C_n : 2\langle v_n - z, Jv_n - Jz_n \rangle \geq \phi(v_n, z_n) \right. \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \text{and } 2\langle x_n - z, Jx_n - Jv_n \rangle \geq \phi(x_n, v_n) \right\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\{\beta_n\} \subset [0, 1]$ . If  $1 - \beta_n \geq c > 0$  for some  $c \in (0, 1)$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $z_0 \in G^{-1}0 \cap B^{-1}0$ , where  $z_0 = \Pi_{G^{-1}0 \cap B^{-1}0} x_1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $R_\mu$  is the generalized resolvent of  $B$  on  $E$ , from (4) in Examples,  $R_\mu$  is 0-demigeneralized. We also have that if  $\{u_n\}$  is a sequence in  $E$  such that  $u_n \rightharpoonup p$  and  $u_n - R_\mu u_n \rightarrow 0$ , then  $p = R_\mu p$ . In fact, assume that  $u_n \rightharpoonup p$  and  $u_n - R_\mu u_n \rightarrow 0$ . It is clear that  $R_\mu u_n \rightharpoonup p$ . Furthermore, since  $E$  is uniformly smooth, we have that  $\|Ju_n - JR_\mu u_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $R_\mu$  is the generalized resolvent of  $B$ , we have from [3] that

$$\langle R_\mu u_n - R_\mu p, Ju_n - JR_\mu u_n - (Jp - JR_\mu p) \rangle \geq 0.$$

Therefore,  $\langle p - R_\mu p, -(Jp - JR_\mu p) \rangle \geq 0$ . This implies that

$$\phi(p, R_\mu p) + \phi(R_\mu p, p) \leq 0$$

and hence  $p = R_\mu p$ . Therefore,  $R_\mu$  is demiclosed. Similarly,  $J_\lambda$  is 0-demigeneralized and demiclosed. Furthermore, putting  $A = 0$  in Theorem 3.2, we have that  $Q_r = I$  for all  $r > 0$ . Therefore, we have the desired result from Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Y. I. Alber, *Metric and generalized projections in Banach spaces: Properties and applications*, in: Theory and Applications of Nonlinear Operators of Accretive and Monotone Type (A. G. Kartsatos Ed.), Marcel Dekker, New York, 1996, pp. 15–50,
- [2] Y. I. Alber and S. Reich, *An iterative method for solving a class of nonlinear operator in Banach spaces*, Panamer. Math. J. **4** (1994), 39–54.
- [3] K. Aoyama, F. Kohsaka and W. Takahashi, *Three generalizations of firmly nonexpansive mappings: Their relations and continuous properties*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **10** (2009), 131–147.
- [4] F. E. Browder, *Nonlinear maximal monotone operators in Banach spaces*, Math. Ann. **175** (1968), 89–113.
- [5] F. E. Browder and W. V. Petryshyn, *Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **20** (1967), 197–228.
- [6] I. Cioranescu, *Geometry of Banach Spaces, Duality Mappings and Nonlinear Problems*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1990.
- [7] T. Ibaraki, Y. Kimura and W. Takahashi, *Convergence theorems for generalized projections and maximal monotone operators in Banach spaces*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2003** (2003), 621–629.
- [8] T. Igarashi, W. Takahashi and K. Tanaka, *Weak convergence theorems for nonspreading mappings and equilibrium problems*, in: Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization (S. Akashi, W. Takahashi and T. Tanaka Eds.), Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2008, pp. 75–85,
- [9] S. Kamimura and W. Takahashi, *Strong convergence of a proximal-type algorithm in a Banach space*, SIAM. J. Optim. **13** (2002), 938–945.
- [10] P. Kocourek, W. Takahashi and J.-C. Yao, *Fixed point theorems and weak convergence theorems for generalized hybrid mappings in Hilbert space*, Taiwanese J. Math. **14** (2010), 2497–2511.
- [11] F. Kohsaka and W. Takahashi, *Existence and approximation of fixed points of firmly nonexpansive-type mappings in Banach spaces*, SIAM. J. Optim. **19** (2008), 824–835.
- [12] F. Kohsaka and W. Takahashi, *Fixed point theorems for a class of nonlinear mappings related to maximal monotone operators in Banach spaces*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **91** (2008), 166–177.
- [13] G. Marino and H.-K. Xu, *Weak and strong convergence theorems for strict pseudo-contractions in Hilbert spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **329** (2007), 336–346.
- [14] S. Matsushita, K. Nakajo and W. Takahashi, *Strong convergence theorems obtained by a generalized projections hybrid method for families of mappings in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Appl. **73** (2010), 1466–1480.
- [15] S. Reich, *On the asymptotic behavior of nonlinear semigroups and the range of accretive operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **79** (1981), 113–126.
- [16] S. Reich, *Book Review: Geometry of Banach Spaces, Duality Mappings and Nonlinear Problems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1992), 367–370.



- [17] R. T. Rockafellar, *On the maximal monotonicity of subdifferential mappings*, Pacific J. Math. **33** (1970), 209–216.
- [18] R. T. Rockafellar, *On the maximality of sums of nonlinear monotone operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **149** (1970), 75–88.
- [19] S. Takahashi and W. Takahashi, *The split common null point problem and the shrinking projection method in two Banach spaces*, Linear Nonlinear Anal. **1** (2015), 297–304.
- [20] S. Takahashi and W. Takahashi, *The split common null point problem and the shrinking projection method in Banach spaces*, Optimization, **65** (2016), 281–287.
- [21] S. Takahashi and W. Takahashi, *Split common null point problem and shrinking projection method for generalized resolvents in two Banach spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **17** (2016), 2171–2182.
- [22] W. Takahashi, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2000.
- [23] W. Takahashi, *Convex Analysis and Approximation of Fixed Points*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2000 (Japanese).
- [24] W. Takahashi, *Fixed point theorems for new nonlinear mappings in a Hilbert space*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **11** (2010), 79–88.
- [25] W. Takahashi, *The split feasibility problem and the shrinking projection method in Banach spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **16** (2015), 1449–1459.
- [26] W. Takahashi, Y. Takeuchi and R. Kubota, *Strong convergence theorems by hybrid methods for families of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **341** (2008), 276–286.
- [27] W. Takahashi, C.-F. Wen and J.-C. Yao, *Strong convergence theorem by shrinking projection method for new nonlinear mappings in Banach spaces and applications*, Optimization **66** (2017), 609–621.
- [28] W. Takahashi, N.-C. Wong, and J.-C. Yao, *Weak and strong mean convergence theorems for extended hybrid mappings in Hilbert spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **12** (2011), 553–575.
- [29] W. Takahashi, J.-C. Yao and K. Kocourek, *Weak and strong convergence theorems for generalized hybrid nonself-mappings in Hilbert spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **11** (2010), 567–586.

*Manuscript received December 24 2019*

*revised February 4 2020*

WATARU TAKAHASHI

Research Center for Interneural Computing, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung 40447, Taiwan; Keio Research and Education Center for Natural Sciences, Keio University, Kouhoku-ku, Yokohama 223-8521, Japan; and Department of Mathematical and Computing Sciences, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8552, Japan

*E-mail address:* wataru@is.titech.ac.jp; wataru@a00.itscom.net