



## FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR NEW MAPPINGS IN COMPLETE METRIC SPACES

SAUD M. ALSULAMI AND WATARU TAKAHASHI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce a broad class of mappings in a metric space which contains contractive mappings, Kannan mappings and contractively nonspreading mappings. Then we prove two fixed point theorems for the class of such mappings. One is a fixed point theorem which a fixed point is not necessarily unique. The other is a fixed point theorem which is a generalization of Bogin's fixed point theorem [2]. Using these results, we prove well-known and new fixed point theorems in a metric space.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$ . Kocourek, Takahashi and Yao [13] introduced a broad class of mappings  $T : C \rightarrow C$  called *generalized hybrid* such that for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\alpha\|Tx - Ty\|^2 + (1 - \alpha)\|x - Ty\|^2 \leq \beta\|Tx - y\|^2 + (1 - \beta)\|x - y\|^2$$

for all  $x, y \in C$ . Such a mapping is also called  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -*generalized hybrid*. We observe that the class of the mappings above covers several well-known mappings. An  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -generalized hybrid mapping is nonexpansive [17] for  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = 0$ , nonspreading [15] for  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\beta = 1$ , and hybrid [18] for  $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}$  and  $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ . Motivated by such mappings, Kawasaki and Takahashi [11] introduced the following nonlinear mapping in a Hilbert space. A mapping  $T$  from  $C$  into  $H$  is said to be *widely generalized hybrid* if there exist  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\|Tx - Ty\|^2 + \beta\|x - Ty\|^2 + \gamma\|Tx - y\|^2 + \delta\|x - y\|^2 \\ + \max\{\varepsilon\|x - Tx\|^2, \zeta\|y - Ty\|^2\} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in C$ . Furthermore, Kawasaki and Takahashi [12] defined the following class of nonlinear mappings in a Hilbert space. A mapping  $T$  from  $C$  into  $H$  is said to be *widely more generalized hybrid* if there exist  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \zeta, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\|Tx - Ty\|^2 + \beta\|x - Ty\|^2 + \gamma\|Tx - y\|^2 + \delta\|x - y\|^2 \\ + \varepsilon\|x - Tx\|^2 + \zeta\|y - Ty\|^2 + \eta\|(x - Tx) - (y - Ty)\|^2 \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in C$ ; see also Takahashi, Wong and Yao [19]. Kawasaki and Takahashi [12] proved fixed point theorems for such mappings in a Hilbert space. On the other

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 47H09, 47H10.

*Key words and phrases*. Complete metric space, contractive mapping, fixed point, contractively generalized hybrid mapping.

hand, we know important mappings in a metric space. Let  $X$  be a metric space with metric  $d$ . A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be *contractive* if there exists  $r \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq rd(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Such a mapping is also called *r-contractive*. A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be *Kannan* [10] if there exists  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha(d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be *contractively nonspreading* [3, 8, 20] if there exists  $\beta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \beta(d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

In this paper, motivated by these mappings, we introduce a broad class of nonlinear mappings in a metric space which contains contractive mappings, Kannan mappings and contractively nonspreading mappings. Then we prove two fixed point theorems for the class of such mappings. One is a fixed point theorem which a fixed point is not necessarily unique. The other is a fixed point theorem which is a generalization of Bogin's fixed point theorem [2]. Using these results, we prove well-known and new fixed point theorems in a metric space.

## 2. FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN METRIC SPACES

In this section, we first prove a fixed point theorem in a metric space which a fixed point is not necessarily unique.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $T$  be a mapping of  $X$  into itself. Suppose that there exist  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$(2.1) \quad \alpha d(Tx, Ty) + \beta d(x, Ty) + \gamma d(y, Tx) \\ + \delta d(x, y) + \varepsilon d(x, Tx) + \zeta d(y, Ty) \leq 0$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\gamma \leq \beta \leq 0$ ,  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta + \varepsilon + \zeta > 0$  and  $\gamma + \delta + \varepsilon \leq 0$ . Then

- (i)  $T$  has a fixed point in  $X$ ;
- (ii) for every  $z \in X$ , the sequence  $\{T^n z\}$  converges to a fixed point of  $T$ .

In addition, if  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta > 0$ , then a fixed point of  $T$  in  $X$  is unique.

*Proof.* Replacing  $x$  by  $T^n x$  and  $y$  by  $T^{n+1} x$  in (2.1), we have that

$$(2.2) \quad \alpha d(T^{n+1} x, T^{n+2} x) + \beta d(T^n x, T^{n+2} x) + \gamma d(T^{n+1} x, T^{n+1} x) \\ + \delta d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) + \varepsilon d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) + \zeta d(T^{n+1} x, T^{n+2} x) \leq 0$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . From  $d(T^n x, T^{n+2} x) \leq d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) + d(T^{n+1} x, T^{n+2} x)$  and  $\beta \leq 0$ , we have that

$$(2.3) \quad \beta d(T^n x, T^{n+2} x) \geq \beta d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) + \beta d(T^{n+1} x, T^{n+2} x).$$

From (2.2) and (2.3) we have that

$$(2.4) \quad (\alpha + \beta + \zeta) d(T^{n+1} x, T^{n+2} x) + (\beta + \delta + \varepsilon) d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) \leq 0$$

and hence from  $\gamma \leq \beta$  and (2.4) that

$$(2.5) \quad (\alpha + \beta + \zeta)d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+2}x) + (\gamma + \delta + \varepsilon)d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) \leq 0.$$

From  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta + \varepsilon + \zeta > 0$ , we have that  $\alpha + \beta + \zeta > -(\gamma + \delta + \varepsilon)$ . Furthermore, from  $\gamma + \delta + \varepsilon \leq 0$ , we obtain that

$$(2.6) \quad \alpha + \beta + \zeta > -(\gamma + \delta + \varepsilon) \geq 0.$$

Then we have from (2.5) and (2.6) that

$$(2.7) \quad d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+2}x) \leq \frac{-(\gamma + \delta + \varepsilon)}{\alpha + \beta + \zeta}d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x);$$

$$(2.8) \quad 0 \leq \frac{-(\gamma + \delta + \varepsilon)}{\alpha + \beta + \zeta} < 1.$$

Putting  $\lambda = \frac{-(\gamma + \delta + \varepsilon)}{\alpha + \beta + \zeta}$  in (2.8), we have from (2.7) that for any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m \geq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^n x, T^m x) &\leq d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) + d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+2}x) + \cdots + d(T^{m-1}x, T^m x) \\ &\leq \lambda^n d(x, Tx) + \lambda^{n+1}d(x, Tx) + \cdots + \lambda^{m-1}d(x, Tx) \\ &\leq \lambda^n d(x, Tx) + \lambda^{n+1}d(x, Tx) + \lambda^{n+2}d(x, Tx) + \cdots \\ &= d(x, Tx)\lambda^n(1 + \lambda + \lambda^2 + \cdots) \\ &= d(x, Tx)\frac{\lambda^n}{1 - \lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\{T^n x\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is complete,  $\{T^n x\}$  converges. Let  $T^n x \rightarrow u$ . We also have from (2.1) that

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha d(T^{n+1}x, Tu) + \beta d(T^n x, Tu) + \gamma d(T^{n+1}x, u) \\ + \delta d(T^n x, u) + \varepsilon d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) + \zeta d(u, Tu) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $T^n x \rightarrow u$ , we have from (2.9) that

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha d(u, Tu) + \beta d(u, Tu) + \gamma d(u, u) \\ + \delta d(u, u) + \varepsilon d(u, u) + \zeta d(u, Tu) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence from (2.10) that

$$(\alpha + \beta + \zeta)d(u, Tu) \leq 0.$$

From  $\alpha + \beta + \zeta > 0$ , we have that  $d(u, Tu) \leq 0$  and hence  $Tu = u$ .

In addition, suppose that  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta > 0$ . Let  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  be fixed points of  $T$ . Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha d(Tp_1, Tp_2) + \beta d(p_1, Tp_2) + \gamma d(Tp_1, p_2) + \delta d(p_1, p_2) \\ + \varepsilon d(p_1, Tp_1) + \zeta d(p_2, Tp_2) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta)d(p_1, p_2) \leq 0$ . We have from  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta > 0$  that  $p_1 = p_2$ . Therefore a fixed point of  $T$  is unique. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Using Theorem 2.1, we have the following fixed point theorem for contractively generalized hybrid mappings in a complete metric space.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be an  $(a, b, r)$ -contractively generalized hybrid mapping, i.e., there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $r \in [0, 1)$  such that*

$$ad(Tx, Ty) + (1 - a)d(x, Ty) \leq r\{bd(Tx, y) + (1 - b)d(x, y)\}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Suppose that  $1 \leq a \leq 1 + rb$ . Then the following hold:

- (i)  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $u$  in  $X$ ;
- (ii) for every  $z \in X$ , the sequence  $\{T^n z\}$  converges to  $u$ .

*Proof.* Since  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is an  $(a, b, r)$ -contractively generalized hybrid mapping, we have that

$$ad(Tx, Ty) + (1 - a)d(x, Ty) - rbd(Tx, y) - r(1 - b)d(x, y) \leq 0$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Since  $1 \leq a \leq 1 + rb$  and  $0 \leq r < 1$ , we have that

$$\beta = 1 - a \leq 0;$$

$$\gamma = -rb \leq 1 - a = \beta;$$

$$\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta + \varepsilon + \zeta = a + (1 - a) - rb - r(1 - b) + 0 + 0 = 1 - r > 0;$$

$$\gamma + \delta + \varepsilon = -rb - r(1 - b) + 0 = -r \leq 0;$$

$$\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta = 1 - r > 0$$

in Theorem 2.1. Therefore, we have the desired result from Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a contractive mapping, i.e., there exists a real number  $r$  with  $0 \leq r < 1$  such that*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq rd(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then the following hold:

- (i)  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $u$  in  $X$ ;
- (ii) for every  $z \in X$ , the sequence  $\{T^n z\}$  converges to  $u$  in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Putting  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = \gamma = 0$ ,  $\delta = -r$  and  $\varepsilon = \zeta = 0$  in Theorem 2.1, we have that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq rd(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Furthermore, we have that  $\gamma = \beta \leq 0$ ,

$$\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta + \varepsilon + \zeta = \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta = 1 - r > 0$$

and  $\gamma + \delta + \varepsilon = -r \leq 0$ . From Theorem 2.1, we have the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be contractively nonspreading, i.e., there exists a real number  $r$  with  $0 \leq r < \frac{1}{2}$  such that*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq r\{d(Tx, y) + d(Ty, x)\}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then the following hold:

- (i)  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $u$  in  $X$ ;
- (ii) for every  $z \in X$ , the sequence  $\{T^n z\}$  converges to  $u$  in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Putting  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = -r$ ,  $\gamma = -r$  and  $\delta = \varepsilon = \zeta = 0$  in Theorem 2.1, we have that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq r\{d(Tx, y) + d(Ty, x)\}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Furthermore, we have that  $\gamma = \beta = -r \leq 0$ ,

$$\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta + \varepsilon + \zeta = \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta = 1 - 2r > 0$$

and  $\gamma + \delta + \varepsilon = -r \leq 0$ . From Theorem 2.1, we have the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be contractively hybrid, i.e., there exists a real number  $r$  with  $0 \leq r < \frac{1}{3}$  and*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq r\{d(Tx, y) + d(Ty, x) + d(x, y)\}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then the following hold:

- (i)  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $u$  in  $X$ ;
- (ii) for every  $z \in X$ , the sequence  $\{T^n z\}$  converges to  $u$  in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Putting  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = \gamma = \delta = -r$  and  $\varepsilon = \zeta = 0$  in Theorem 2.1, we have that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq r\{d(Tx, y) + d(Ty, x) + d(x, y)\}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Furthermore, we have that  $\gamma = \beta = -r \leq 0$ ,

$$\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta + \varepsilon + \zeta = \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta = 1 - 3r > 0$$

and  $\gamma + \delta + \varepsilon = -2r \leq 0$ . From Theorem 2.1, we have the desired result.  $\square$

Next, we prove a fixed point theorem in a metric space which is a generalization of Bogin's fixed point theorem [2].

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $T$  be a mapping of  $X$  into itself. Suppose that there exist  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$(2.11) \quad \alpha d(Tx, Ty) + \beta d(x, Ty) + \gamma d(y, Tx) + \delta d(x, y) + \varepsilon d(x, Tx) + \zeta d(y, Ty) \leq 0$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where

$$\gamma \leq \beta < 0, \delta \leq 0, \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta > 0, \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta + \varepsilon + \zeta \geq 0 \text{ and } \varepsilon = r\zeta$$

for some  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $1 \leq r$ . Then the following hold:

- (i)  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $u$  in  $X$ ;
- (ii) for every  $z \in X$ , the sequence  $\{T^n z\}$  converges to a fixed point  $u$  of  $T$ .

*Proof.* Replacing  $x$  by  $T^n x$  and  $y$  by  $T^{n+1} x$  in (2.11), we have that

$$(2.12) \quad \alpha d(T^{n+1} x, T^{n+2} x) + \beta d(T^n x, T^{n+2} x) + \gamma d(T^{n+1} x, T^{n+1} x) + \delta d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) + \varepsilon d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) + \zeta d(T^{n+1} x, T^{n+2} x) \leq 0$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . From  $d(T^n x, T^{n+2} x) \leq d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) + d(T^{n+1} x, T^{n+2} x)$  and  $\beta < 0$ , we have that

$$(2.13) \quad \beta d(T^n x, T^{n+2} x) \geq \beta d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) + \beta d(T^{n+1} x, T^{n+2} x).$$

From (2.12) and (2.13) we have that

$$(2.14) \quad (\alpha + \beta + \zeta)d(T^{n+1} x, T^{n+2} x) + (\beta + \delta + \varepsilon)d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) \leq 0.$$

We have from  $\gamma \leq \beta$  and (2.14) that

$$(2.15) \quad (\alpha + \beta + \zeta)d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+2}x) + (\gamma + \delta + \varepsilon)d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) \leq 0.$$

Suppose that  $\zeta \geq 0$ . Then we have from (2.15) and  $\varepsilon = r\zeta$  that

$$(2.16) \quad (\alpha + \beta)d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+2}x) + (\gamma + \delta)d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) \leq 0.$$

From  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta > 0$ , we have that  $\alpha + \beta > -(\gamma + \delta)$ . Furthermore, from  $\gamma < 0$  and  $\delta \leq 0$ , we have that  $\alpha + \beta > -(\gamma + \delta) > 0$ . Then we have from (2.16) that

$$(2.17) \quad d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+2}x) \leq \frac{-(\gamma + \delta)}{\alpha + \beta}d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x);$$

$$(2.18) \quad 0 < \frac{-(\gamma + \delta)}{\alpha + \beta} < 1.$$

Putting  $\lambda = \frac{-(\gamma + \delta)}{\alpha + \beta}$  in (2.18), we have from (2.20) that for any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m \geq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^n x, T^m x) &\leq d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) + d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+2}x) + \cdots + d(T^{m-1}x, T^m x) \\ &\leq \lambda^n d(x, Tx) + \lambda^{n+1}d(x, Tx) + \cdots + \lambda^{m-1}d(x, Tx) \\ &\leq \lambda^n d(x, Tx) + \lambda^{n+1}d(x, Tx) + \lambda^{n+2}d(x, Tx) + \cdots \\ &= d(x, Tx)\lambda^n(1 + \lambda + \lambda^2 + \cdots) \\ &= d(x, Tx)\frac{\lambda^n}{1 - \lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\{T^n x\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is complete,  $\{T^n x\}$  converges. Let  $T^n x \rightarrow u$ . We also have from (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha d(T^{n+1}x, Tu) + \beta d(T^n x, Tu) + \gamma d(u, T^{n+1}x) \\ + \delta d(T^n x, u) + \varepsilon d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) + \zeta d(u, Tu) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $T^n x \rightarrow u$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha d(u, Tu) + \beta d(u, Tu) + \gamma d(u, u) \\ + \delta d(u, u) + \varepsilon d(u, u) + \zeta d(u, Tu) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(\alpha + \beta + \zeta)d(u, Tu) \leq 0.$$

From  $\alpha + \beta + \zeta > 0$ , we have that  $d(u, Tu) \leq 0$  and hence  $Tu = u$ . Let  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  be fixed points of  $T$ . Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha d(Tp_1, Tp_2) + \beta d(p_1, Tp_2) + \gamma d(Tp_1, p_2) + \delta d(p_1, p_2) \\ + \varepsilon d(p_1, Tp_1) + \zeta d(p_2, Tp_2) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta)d(p_1, p_2) \leq 0$ . We have from  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta > 0$  that  $p_1 = p_2$ . Therefore, a fixed point of  $T$  is unique.

Suppose that  $\zeta < 0$ . Then from  $\varepsilon = r\zeta$  and  $1 \leq r$  we have  $\zeta \geq r\zeta = \varepsilon$ . From (2.15), we know that

$$(\alpha + \beta + \zeta)d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+2}x) + (\gamma + \delta + \varepsilon)d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) \leq 0.$$

Since  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta + \varepsilon + \zeta \geq 0$  and  $\gamma + \delta + \varepsilon < 0$ , we obtain that

$$(2.19) \quad \alpha + \beta + \zeta \geq -(\gamma + \delta + \varepsilon) > 0.$$

Then we have from (2.19) that

$$(2.20) \quad d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+2}x) \leq \frac{-(\gamma + \delta + \varepsilon)}{\alpha + \beta + \zeta} d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x);$$

$$(2.21) \quad 0 < \frac{-(\gamma + \delta + \varepsilon)}{\alpha + \beta + \zeta} \leq 1.$$

Putting  $\lambda = \frac{-(\gamma + \delta + \varepsilon)}{\alpha + \beta + \zeta}$  in (2.21), we have from (2.20) that

$$(2.22) \quad d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+2}x) \leq \lambda d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) \leq d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x)$$

and hence  $\{d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x)\}$  is a decreasing sequence. We have from (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+3}x) + \beta d(T^n x, T^{n+3}x) + \gamma d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+2}x) \\ & + \delta d(T^n x, T^{n+2}x) + \varepsilon d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) + \zeta d(T^{n+2}x, T^{n+3}x) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\delta \leq 0$  and  $\beta < 0$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+3}x) + \beta d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) + \beta d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+3}x) \\ & + \gamma d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+2}x) + \delta d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) + \delta d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+2}x) \\ & + \varepsilon d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) + \zeta d(T^{n+2}x, T^{n+3}x) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.22), we have that

$$(\alpha + \beta)d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+3}x) + (\beta + \gamma + 2\delta + \varepsilon + \zeta)d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) \leq 0$$

and hence from  $\gamma \leq \beta$  and  $\varepsilon \leq \zeta$

$$(\alpha + \beta)d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+3}x) + (2\gamma + 2\delta + 2\varepsilon)d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) \leq 0.$$

Since  $\alpha + \beta > \alpha + \beta + \zeta \geq -(\gamma + \delta + \varepsilon) > 0$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+3}x) & \leq \frac{-2(\gamma + \delta + \varepsilon)}{\alpha + \beta} d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x); \\ 0 & < \frac{-2(\gamma + \delta + \varepsilon)}{\alpha + \beta} < 2. \end{aligned}$$

We also have from (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha d(T^{n+2}x, T^{n+3}x) + \beta d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+3}x) + \gamma d(T^{n+2}x, T^{n+2}x) \\ & + \delta d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+2}x) + \varepsilon d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+2}x) + \zeta d(T^{n+2}x, T^{n+3}x) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha d(T^{n+2}x, T^{n+3}x) + \beta d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+3}x) \\ & + \delta d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+2}x) + \varepsilon d(T^{n+1}x, T^{n+2}x) + \zeta d(T^{n+2}x, T^{n+3}x) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $m = \frac{-2(\gamma + \delta + \varepsilon)}{\alpha + \beta}$ , we have that  $0 < m < 2$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha d(T^{n+2}x, T^{n+3}x) + \beta m d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) \\ & + \delta d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) + (\varepsilon + \zeta)d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since

$$\alpha \geq -(\beta + \gamma + \delta + \varepsilon + \zeta) \geq -(2\beta + \delta + \varepsilon + \zeta) > -(\beta m + \delta + \varepsilon + \zeta) > 0,$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^{n+2}x, T^{n+3}x) &\leq \frac{-(\beta m + \delta + \varepsilon + \zeta)}{\alpha} d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x); \\ 0 &< \frac{-(\beta m + \delta + \varepsilon + \zeta)}{\alpha} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $k = \frac{-(\beta m + \delta + \varepsilon + \zeta)}{\alpha}$ , we have that  $0 < k < 1$  and

$$d(T^{n+2}x, T^{n+3}x) \leq k d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x).$$

Therefore, for any even integer  $n$ , we have that

$$d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) \leq k^{\frac{n}{2}} d(x, Tx) \leq k^{\frac{n-1}{2}} d(x, Tx).$$

For any odd integer  $n$ , we have that

$$d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) \leq k^{\frac{n-1}{2}} d(Tx, T^2x) \leq k^{\frac{n-1}{2}} d(x, Tx).$$

Thus  $\{T^n x\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is complete,  $\{T^n x\}$  converges. Let  $T^n x \rightarrow u$ . We have from (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha d(T^{n+1}x, Tu) + \beta d(T^n x, Tu) + \gamma d(T^{n+1}x, u) \\ + \delta d(T^n x, u) + \varepsilon d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) + \zeta d(u, Tu) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $T^n x \rightarrow u$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha d(u, Tu) + \beta d(u, Tu) + \gamma d(u, u) \\ + \delta d(u, u) + \varepsilon d(u, u) + \zeta d(u, Tu) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(\alpha + \beta + \zeta)d(u, Tu) \leq 0.$$

From  $\alpha + \beta + \zeta > 0$ , we have that  $d(u, Tu) \leq 0$  and hence  $Tu = u$ . Let  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  be fixed points of  $T$ . Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha d(Tp_1, Tp_2) + \beta d(p_1, Tp_2) + \gamma d(Tp_1, p_2) + \delta d(p_1, p_2) \\ + \varepsilon d(p_1, Tp_1) + \zeta d(p_2, Tp_2) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta)d(p_1, p_2) \leq 0$ . We have from  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta > 0$  that  $p_1 = p_2$ . Therefore a fixed point of  $T$  is unique. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Using Theorem 2.6, we obtain the following fixed point theorem which was proved by Bogin [2].

**Theorem 2.7** ([2]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $T$  be a mapping of  $X$  into itself. Suppose that there exist  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$(2.23) \quad d(Tx, Ty) \leq ad(x, y) + b(d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)) + c(d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where

$$a \geq 0, \quad b > 0, \quad c > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a + 2b + 2c = 1.$$

Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $u$  in  $X$ .



*Proof.* We have from (2.23) that

$$d(Tx, Ty) - c(d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)) - ad(x, y) - b(d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)) \leq 0$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Putting  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = \gamma = -c$ ,  $\delta = -a$  and  $\varepsilon = \zeta = -b$  in Theorem 2.6, we have that  $\beta = \gamma = -c < 0$ , and  $\delta = -a \leq 0$ . Furthermore, we have that

$$\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta = 1 - 2c - a = 2b > 0, \quad \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta + \varepsilon + \zeta = 1 - 2c - a - 2b = 0$$

and  $\varepsilon = \zeta$ . Therefore, from Theorem 2.6, we have the desired result.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Banach, *Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales*, Fund. Math. **3** (1922), 133–181.
- [2] J. E. Bogin, *A generalization of a fixed point theorem of Goebel, Kirk and Shimi*, Canad. Math. Bull. **19** (1976), 7–12.
- [3] S. K. Chatterjea, *Fixed-point theorems*, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. **25** (1972), 727–730.
- [4] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [5] K. Hasegawa, T. Komiya, and W. Takahashi, *Fixed point theorems for general contractive mappings in metric spaces and estimating expressions*, Sci. Math. Jpn. **74** (2011), 15–27.
- [6] T. Ibaraki and W. Takahashi, *Fixed point theorems for nonlinear mappings of nonexpansive type in Banach spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **10** (2009), 21–32.
- [7] S. Iemoto and W. Takahashi, *Approximating common fixed points of nonexpansive mappings and nonspreading mappings in a Hilbert space*, Nonlinear Anal. **71** (2009), 2082–2089.
- [8] S. Iemoto, W. Takahashi and H. Yingtaweesittikul, *Nonlinear operators, fixed points and completeness of metric spaces*, in Fixed Point Theory and its Applications (L. J. Lin, A. Petrusel and H. K. Xu Eds.), Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2010, pp. 93–101.
- [9] S. Itoh and W. Takahashi, *The common fixed point theory of singlevalued mappings and multivalued mappings*, Pacific J. Math. **79** (1978), 493–508.
- [10] R. Kannan, *Some results on fixed points. II*, Amer. Math. Monthly **76** (1969), 405–408.
- [11] T. Kawasaki and W. Takahashi, *Fixed point and nonlinear ergodic theorems for new nonlinear mappings in Hilbert spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **13** (2012), 529–540.
- [12] T. Kawasaki and W. Takahashi, *Existence and mean approximation of fixed points of generalized hybrid mappings in Hilbert spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **14** (2013), 71–87.
- [13] P. Kocourek, W. Takahashi and J.-C. Yao, *Fixed point theorems and weak convergence theorems for generalized hybrid mappings in Hilbert spaces*, Taiwanese J. Math. **14** (2010), 2497–2511.
- [14] F. Kohsaka and W. Takahashi, *Existence and approximation of fixed points of firmly nonexpansive-type mappings in Banach spaces*, SIAM J. Optim. **19** (2008), 824–835.
- [15] F. Kohsaka and W. Takahashi, *Fixed point theorems for a class of nonlinear mappings related to maximal monotone operators in Banach spaces*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **91** (2008), 166–177.
- [16] W. Takahashi, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis. Fixed Points Theory and its Applications*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2000.
- [17] W. Takahashi, *Introduction to Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2009.
- [18] W. Takahashi, *Fixed point theorems for new nonlinear mappings in a Hilbert space*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **11** (2010), 79–88.
- [19] W. Takahashi, N.-C. Wong and J.-C. Yao, *Fixed point theorems for new generalized hybrid mappings in Hilbert spaces and applications*, Taiwanese J. Math. **17** (2013), 1597–1611.
- [20] T. Zamfirescu, *Fixed point theorems in metric spaces*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **23** (1972), 292–298.

*Manuscript received 29 August 2015*  
*revised 30 September 2015*

SAUD M. ALSULAMI

Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

*E-mail address:* `alsulami@kau.edu.sa`

WATARU TAKAHASHI

Department of Applied Mathematics, National Sun Yat-sen University, Kaohsiung 80424, Taiwan;  
Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia; and Department of Mathematical and Computing Sciences, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo 152-8552, Japan

*E-mail address:* `wataru@is.titech.ac.jp`; `wataru@a00.itscom.net`