Linear and Nonlinear Analysis
Volume 1, Number 2, 2015, 297–304
Yokohama Publishers
ISSN 2188-8167

THE SPLIT COMMON NULL POINT PROBLEM AND THE SHRINKING PROJECTION METHOD IN TWO BANACH SPACES

SATORU TAKAHASHI AND WATARU TAKAHASHI

Abstract. In this paper, we consider the split common null point problem with metric resolvents of maximal monotone operators in two Banach spaces. Then using the shrinking projection method, we prove a strong convergence theorem for finding a solution of the split common null point problem in two Banach spaces.

1. Introduction

Let H_1 and H_2 be two real Hilbert spaces. Let D and Q be nonempty, closed and convex subsets of H_1 and H_2, respectively. Let $T : H_1 \to H_2$ be a bounded linear operator. Then the *split feasibility problem* [5] is to find $z \in H_1$ such that $z \in D \cap T^{-1}Q$. Byrne, Censor, Gibali and Reich [4] also considered the following problem: Given set-valued mappings $A : H_1 \to 2^{H_1}$, $B : H_2 \to 2^{H_2}$, and a bounded linear operator $T : H_1 \to H_2$, the *split common null point problem* [4] is to find a point $z \in H_1$ such that

$$z \in A^{-1}0 \cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}0),$$

where $A^{-1}0$ and $B^{-1}0$ are null point sets of A and B, respectively. Defining $U = T^*(I-P_Q)T$ in the split feasibility problem, we have that $U : H_1 \to H_1$ is an inverse strongly monotone operator [1], where T^* is the adjoint operator of T and P_Q is the metric projection of H_2 onto Q. Furthermore, if $D \cap T^{-1}Q$ is nonempty, then $z \in D \cap T^{-1}Q$ is equivalent to

$$z = P_D(I - \lambda T^*(I - P_Q)T)z, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ and P_D is the metric projection of H_1 onto D. Using such results regarding nonlinear operators and fixed points, many authors have studied the split feasibility problem and the split common null point problem; see, for instance, [1, 4, 6, 8, 19].

Recently, using the shrinking projection method introdued by Takahashi, Takeuchi and Kubota [18], Takahashi and Takahashi [12] proved the following theorem; see also [17].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47H05, 47H09.
Key words and phrases. Split common null point problem, maximal monotone operator, fixed point, metric resolvent, shrinking projection method, duality mapping.
The second author was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 15K04906 from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Theorem 1.1. *Let E and F be uniformly convex and smooth Banach spaces and let J_E and J_F be the duality mappings on E and F, respectively. Let A and B be maximal monotone operators of E into 2^{E^*} and F into 2^{F^*} such that $A^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$ and $B^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$, respectively. Let Q_μ be the metric resolvent of B for $\mu > 0$. Let $T : E \to F$ be a bounded linear operator such that $T \neq 0$ and let T^* be the adjoint operator of T. Suppose that $A^{-1}0 \cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}0) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x_1 \in E$ and let $C_1 = A^{-1}0$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence generated by*

$$\begin{cases} z_n = x_n - \lambda_n J_E^{-1} T^* J_F(Tx_n - Q_{\mu_n} Tx_n), \\ C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \langle z_n - z, J_E(x_n - z_n)\rangle \geq 0\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

where $\{\lambda_n\}, \{\mu_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$ satisfy the conditions such that for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$0 < a \leq \lambda_n \|T\|^2 \leq b < 1 \text{ and } 0 < c \leq \mu_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a point $z_0 \in A^{-1}0 \cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}0)$, where $z_0 = P_{A^{-1}0 \cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}0)} x_1$.

In this paper, motivated by Takahashi and Takahashi's theorem (Theorem 1.1), we consider the split common null point problem with metric resolvents of maximal monotone operators in two Banach spaces. Then using the shrinking projection method, we prove a strong convergence theorem for finding a solution of the split common null point problem in two Banach spaces.

2. Preliminaries

Let E be a real Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and let E^* be the dual space of E. We denote the value of $y^* \in E^*$ at $x \in E$ by $\langle x, y^*\rangle$. When $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in E, we denote the strong convergence of $\{x_n\}$ to $x \in E$ by $x_n \to x$ and the weak convergence by $x_n \rightharpoonup x$. The modulus δ of convexity of E is defined by

$$\delta(\epsilon) = \inf\left\{1 - \frac{\|x+y\|}{2} : \|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1, \|x-y\| \geq \epsilon\right\}$$

for every ϵ with $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 2$. A Banach space E is said to be uniformly convex if $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ for every $\epsilon > 0$. A uniformly convex Banach space is strictly convex and reflexive. We also know that a uniformly convex Banach space has the Kadec-Klee property, that is, $x_n \rightharpoonup u$ and $\|x_n\| \to \|u\|$ imply $x_n \to u$.

The duality mapping J from E into 2^{E^*} is defined by

$$Jx = \{x^* \in E^* : \langle x, x^*\rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|x^*\|^2\}$$

for every $x \in E$. Let $U = \{x \in E : \|x\| = 1\}$. The norm of E is said to be Gâteaux differentiable if for each $x, y \in U$, the limit

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t} \tag{2.1}$$

exists. In the case, E is called smooth. We know that E is smooth if and only if J is a single-valued mapping of E into E^*. We also know that E is reflexive if and only if J is surjective, and E is strictly convex if and only if J is one-to-one. Therefore, if E is a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space, then J is a single-valued bijection and in this case, the inverse mapping J^{-1} coincides with the duality mapping J_* on E^*. For more details, see [13] and [14]. We know the following result:

Lemma 2.1 ([13]). *Let E be a smooth Banach space and let J be the duality mapping on E. Then, $\langle x-y, Jx-Jy\rangle \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in E$. Furthermore, if E is strictly convex and $\langle x-y, Jx-Jy\rangle = 0$, then $x=y$.*

Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a strictly convex and reflexive Banach space E. Then we know that for any $x \in E$, there exists a unique element $z \in C$ such that $\|x-z\| \leq \|x-y\|$ for all $y \in C$. Putting $z = P_C x$, we call P_C the metric projection of E onto C.

Lemma 2.2 ([13]). *Let E be a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space. Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of E and let $x_1 \in E$ and $z \in C$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) $z = P_C x_1$;
(2) $\langle z-y, J(x_1-z)\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C$.

Let E be a Banach space and let A be a mapping of E into 2^{E^*}. The effective domain of A is denoted by $\mathrm{dom}(A)$, that is, $\mathrm{dom}(A) = \{x \in E : Ax \neq \emptyset\}$. A multi-valued mapping A on E is said to be monotone if $\langle x-y, u^*-v^*\rangle \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathrm{dom}(A)$, $u^* \in Ax$, and $v^* \in Ay$. A monotone operator A on E is said to be maximal if its graph is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone operator on E. The following theorem is due to Browder [3]; see also [14, Theorem 3.5.4].

Theorem 2.3 ([3]). *Let E be a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space and let J be the duality mapping of E into E^*. Let A be a monotone operator of E into 2^{E^*}. Then A is maximal if and only if for any $r > 0$,*

$$R(J + rA) = E^*,$$

where $R(J + rA)$ is the range of $J + rA$.

Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space with a Gâteaux differentiable norm and let A be a maximal monotone operator of E into 2^{E^*}. For all $x \in E$ and $r > 0$, we consider the following equation

$$0 \in J(x_r - x) + rAx_r.$$

This equation has a unique solution x_r. We define J_r by $x_r = J_r x$. Such $J_r, r > 0$ are called the metric resolvents of A. The set of null points of A is defined by $A^{-1}0 = \{z \in E : 0 \in Az\}$. We know that $A^{-1}0$ is closed and convex; see [14].

For a sequence $\{C_n\}$ of nonempty, closed and convex subsets of a Banach space E, define $\text{s-Li}_n C_n$ and $\text{w-Ls}_n C_n$ as follows: $x \in \text{s-Li}_n C_n$ if and only if there exists $\{x_n\} \subset E$ such that $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to x and $x_n \in C_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Similarly, $y \in \text{w-Ls}_n C_n$ if and only if there exist a subsequence $\{C_{n_i}\}$ of $\{C_n\}$ and a sequence $\{y_i\} \subset E$ such that $\{y_i\}$ converges weakly to y and $y_i \in C_{n_i}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. If C_0 satisfies

$$C_0 = \operatorname*{s-Li}_n C_n = \operatorname*{w-Ls}_n C_n, \tag{2.2}$$

it is said that $\{C_n\}$ converges to C_0 in the sense of Mosco [7] and we write $C_0 =$ M-$\lim_{n\to\infty} C_n$. It is easy to show that if $\{C_n\}$ is nonincreasing with respect to inclusion, then $\{C_n\}$ converges to $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$ in the sense of Mosco. For more details, see [7]. The following lemma was proved by Tsukada [20].

Lemma 2.4 ([20]). *Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space. Let $\{C_n\}$ be a sequence of nonempty, closed and convex subsets of E. If $C_0 =$M-$\lim_{n\to\infty} C_n$ exists and nonempty, then for each $x \in E$, $\{P_{C_n}x\}$ converges strongly to $P_{C_0}x$, where P_{C_n} and P_{C_0} are the mertic projections of E onto C_n and C_0, respectively.*

3. Main result

In this section, using the shrinking projection method, we prove a strong convergence theorem for finding a solution of the split common null point problem in two Banach spaces. We follow [12, 16] for the proof.

Theorem 3.1. *Let E and F be uniformly convex and smooth Banach spaces and let J_E and J_F be the duality mappings on E and F, respectively. Let A and B be maximal monotone operators of E into 2^{E^*} and F into 2^{F^*} such that $A^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$ and $B^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$, respectively. Let J_λ and Q_μ be the metric resolvents of A for $\lambda > 0$ and B for $\mu > 0$, respectively. Let $T : E \to F$ be a bounded linear operator such that $T \neq 0$ and let T^* be the adjoint operator of T. Suppose that $A^{-1}0 \cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}0) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x_1 \in E$ and let $C_1 = E$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence generated by*

$$\begin{cases} z_n = x_n - \eta_n J_E^{-1} T^* J_F(Tx_n - Q_{\mu_n} Tx_n), \\ y_n = J_{\lambda_n} z_n, \\ C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \langle z_n - z, J_E(x_n - z_n)\rangle \geq 0 \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad \text{and} \quad \langle y_n - z, J_E(z_n - y_n)\rangle \geq 0\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

where $\{\eta_n\}, \{\lambda_n\}, \{\mu_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$ satisfy the following conditions such that for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$0 < a \leq \eta_n \|T\|^2 \leq b < 1 \text{ and } 0 < c \leq \lambda_n, \mu_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a point $w_1 \in A^{-1}0 \cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}0)$, where $w_1 = P_{A^{-1}0\cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}0)} x_1$.

Proof. It is obvious that C_n are closed and convex for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We show that $A^{-1}0 \cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}0) \subset C_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is easy that $A^{-1}0 \cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}0) \subset C_1$. Suppose that $A^{-1}0 \cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}0) \subset C_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Using this, let us show that $\langle z_k - z, J_E(x_k - z_k)\rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle y_k - z, J_E(z_k - y_k)\rangle \geq 0$ for all $z \in A^{-1}0 \cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}0)$.

In fact, we have that for all $z \in A^{-1}0 \cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}0)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle z_k - z,\, J_E(x_k - z_k)\rangle &= \langle z_k - x_k + x_k - z, J_E(x_k - z_k)\rangle \\
&= \langle -\eta_k J_E^{-1} T^* J_F(Tx_k - Q_{\mu_k} Tx_k) \\
&\qquad + x_k - z, J_E(\eta_k J_E^{-1} T^* J_F(Tx_k - Q_{\mu_k} Tx_k))\rangle \\
&= \langle -\eta_k J_E^{-1} T^* J_F(Tx_k - Q_{\mu_k} Tx_k) + x_k - z, \eta_k T^* J_F(Tx_k - Q_{\mu_k} Tx_k)\rangle \\
&= -\eta_k^2 \|T^* J_F(Tx_k - Q_{\mu_k} Tx_k)\|^2 + \langle x_k - z, \eta_k T^* J_F(Tx_k - Q_{\mu_k} Tx_k)\rangle \\
&= -\eta_k^2 \|T^* J_F(Tx_k - Q_{\mu_k} Tx_k)\|^2 + \eta_k \langle Tx_k - Tz, J_F(Tx_k - Q_{\mu_k} Tx_k)\rangle \qquad (3.1)\\
&= -\eta_k^2 \|T^* J_F(Tx_k - Q_{\mu_k} Tx_k)\|^2 \\
&\qquad + \eta_k \langle Tx_k - Q_{\mu_k} Tx_k + Q_{\mu_k} Tx_k - Tz, J_F(Tx_k - Q_{\mu_k} Tx_k)\rangle \\
&= -\eta_k^2 \|T^* J_F(Tx_k - Q_{\mu_k} Tx_k)\|^2 \\
&\qquad + \eta_k \|Tx_k - Q_{\mu_k} Tx_k\|^2 + \eta_k \langle Q_{\mu_k} Tx_k - Tz, J_F(Tx_k - Q_{\mu_k} Tx_k)\rangle \\
&\geq -\eta_k^2 \|T\|^2 \|Tx_k - Q_{\mu_k} Tx_k\|^2 + \eta_k \|Tx_k - Q_{\mu_k} Tx_k\|^2 \\
&= \eta_k (1 - \eta_k \|T\|^2) \|Tx_k - Q_{\mu_k} Tx_k\|^2 \\
&\geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have that for all $z \in A^{-1}0 \cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}0)$,

$$\langle y_k - z, J_E(z_k - y_k)\rangle = \langle J_{\lambda_k} z_k - z, J_E(z_k - J_{\lambda_k} z_k)\rangle \geq 0. \qquad (3.2)$$

Then, $A^{-1}0 \cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}0) \subset C_{k+1}$. By mathematical induction, we have that $A^{-1}0 \cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}0) \subset C_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $\{x_n\}$ is well defined.

Since $A^{-1}0 \cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}0)$ is a nonempty, closed and convex subset of E, there exists $w_1 \in A^{-1}0 \cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}0)$ such that $w_1 = P_{A^{-1}0 \cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}0)} x_1$. We have from $x_n = P_{C_n} x_1$ that

$$\|x_1 - x_n\| \leq \|x_1 - y\|$$

for all $y \in C_n$. Since $w_1 \in A^{-1}0 \cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}0) \subset C_n$, we have that

$$\|x_1 - x_n\| \leq \|x_1 - w_1\|. \qquad (3.3)$$

Let $C_0 = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$. Since $C_0 \supset A^{-1}0 \cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}0) \neq \emptyset$, we have that C_0 is nonempty. Since $C_0 = \text{M-}\lim_{n\to\infty} C_n$ and $x_n = P_{C_n} x_1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by Lemma 2.4 we have that

$$x_n \to z_0 = P_{C_0} x_1. \qquad (3.4)$$

We have from $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1}$ that

$$\langle z_n - x_{n+1}, J_E(x_n - z_n)\rangle \geq 0$$

and hence

$$\langle z_n - x_n + x_n - x_{n+1}, J_E(x_n - z_n)\rangle \geq 0.$$

This implies that

$$\langle x_n - x_{n+1}, J_E(x_n - z_n)\rangle \geq \|z_n - x_n\|^2.$$

Since $\|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \to 0$ from (3.4), we get that $x_n - z_n \to 0$.

On the other hand, we know that

$$\|x_n - z_n\| = \|J_E(x_n - z_n)\| = \|\eta_n T^* J_F(Tx_n - Q_{\mu_n} Tx_n)\|.$$

Since $0 < a \leq \eta_n \|T\|^2 \leq b < 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n - z_n\| = 0$, we have that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|T^* J_F(Tx_n - Q_{\mu_n} Tx_n)\| = 0$. Then we get from (3.1) that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|Tx_n - Q_{\mu_n} Tx_n\| = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Furthermore, we have from $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1}$ that

$$\langle y_n - x_{n+1}, J_E(z_n - y_n)\rangle \geq 0$$

and hence

$$\langle y_n - z_n + z_n - x_n + x_n - x_{n+1}, J_E(z_n - y_n)\rangle \geq 0.$$

This implies that

$$\langle z_n - x_n + x_n - x_{n+1}, J_E(z_n - y_n)\rangle \geq \|z_n - y_n\|^2.$$

From $\|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \to 0$ and $\|x_n - z_n\| \to 0$, we have that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|y_n - z_n\| = 0$. Then we get that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|z_n - J_{\lambda_n} z_n\| = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Since $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to z_0, we have from $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n - z_n\| = 0$ that $\{z_n\}$ converges strongly to z_0. We also have from (3.6) that $\{J_{\lambda_n} z_n\}$ converges strongly to z_0. Since J_{λ_n} is the metric resolvent of A, we have that

$$\frac{J_E(z_n - J_{\lambda_n} z_n)}{\lambda_n} \in AJ_{\lambda_n} z_n$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From the monotonicity of A we have that

$$0 \leq \left\langle s - J_{\lambda_n} z_n, t^* - \frac{J_E(z_n - J_{\lambda_n} z_n)}{\lambda_n}\right\rangle$$

for all $(s, t^*) \in A$. We have from $\|J_E(z_n - J_{\lambda_n} z_n)\| = \|z_n - J_{\lambda_n} z_n\| \to 0$ and $0 < c \leq \lambda_n$ that $0 \leq \langle s - z_0, t^* - 0\rangle$ for all $(s, t^*) \in A$. Since A is maximal monotone, we have that $z_0 \in A^{-1}0$. Furtermore, since T is bounded and linear, we also have that $\{Tx_n\}$ converges strongly to Tz_0. From (3.5) we have that $\{Q_{\mu_n} Tx_n\}$ converges strongly to Tz_0. Since Q_{μ_n} is the metric resolvent of B, we have that $\frac{J_F(Tx_n - Q_{\mu_n} Tx_n)}{\mu_n} \in BQ_{\mu_n} Tx_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From the monotonicity of B we have that

$$0 \leq \left\langle u - Q_{\mu_n} Tx_n, v^* - \frac{J_F(Tx_n - Q_{\mu_n} Tx_n)}{\mu_n}\right\rangle$$

for all $(u, v^*) \in B$. We have from $\|J_F(Tx_{ni} - Q_{\mu_n} Tx_n)\| = \|Tx_n - Q_{\mu_n} Tx_n\| \to 0$ and $0 < c \leq \mu_n$ that $0 \leq \langle u - Tz_0, v^* - 0\rangle$ for all $(u, v^*) \in B$. Since B is maximal monotone, we have that $Tz_0 \in B^{-1}0$. Therefore, $z_0 \in A^{-1}0 \cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}0)$.

From $w_1 = P_{A^{-1}0 \cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}0)} x_1$, $z_0 \in A^{-1}0 \cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}0)$ and (3.3), we have that

$$\|x_1 - w_1\| \leq \|x_1 - z_0\| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_1 - x_n\| \leq \|x_1 - w_1\|.$$

Then we get that

$$\|x_1 - z_0\| = \|x_1 - w_1\|$$

and hence $z_0 = w_1$. Therefore, we have $x_n \to z_0 = w_1$. This completes the proof. □

References

[1] S. M. Alsulami and W. Takahashi, *The split common null point problem for maximal monotone mappings in Hilbert spaces and applications*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **15** (2014), 793–808.

[2] K. Aoyama, F. Kohsaka and W. Takahashi, *Three generalizations of firmly nonexpansive mappings: Their relations and continuous properties*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **10** (2009), 131–147.

[3] F. E. Browder, *Nonlinear maximal monotone operators in Banach spaces*, Math. Ann. **175** (1968), 89–113.

[4] C. Byrne, Y. Censor, A. Gibali and S. Reich, *The split common null point problem*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **13** (2012), 759–775.

[5] Y. Censor and T. Elfving, *A multiprojection algorithm using Bregman projections in a product space*, Numer. Algorithms **8** (1994), 221–239.

[6] Y. Censor and A. Segal *The split common fixed-point problem for directed operators*, J. Convex Anal. **16** (2009), 587–600.

[7] U. Mosco, *Convergence of convex sets and of solutions of variational inequalities*, Adv. Math. **3** (1969), 510–585.

[8] A. Moudafi, *The split common fixed point problem for demicontractive mappings*, Inverse Problems **26** (2010), 055007, 6 pp.

[9] K. Nakajo and W. Takahashi, *Strong convergence theorems for nonexpansive mapping and nonexpansive semigroups*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **279** (2003), 372–379.

[10] S. Ohsawa and W. Takahashi, *Strong convergence theorems for resolvents of maximal monotone operators in Banach spaces*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **81** (2003), 439–445.

[11] M. V. Solodov and B. F. Svaiter, *Forcing strong convergence of proximal point iterations in a Hilbert space*, Math. Programming Ser. A. **87** (2000), 189–202.

[12] S Takahashi and W. Takahashi, *The split common null point problem and the shrinking projection method in Banach spaces*, Optimization, to appear.

[13] W. Takahashi, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2000.

[14] W. Takahashi, *Convex Analysis and Approximation of Fixed Points*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2000 (Japanese).

[15] W. Takahashi, *Introduction to Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2009.

[16] W. Takahashi, *The split common null point problem in Banach spaces*, Arch. Math. **104** (2015), 357–365.

[17] W. Takahashi, *The split feasibility problem and the shrinking projection method in Banach spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **16** (2015), 1449–1459.

[18] W. Takahashi, Y. Takeuch and R. Kubota, *Strong convergence theorems by hybrid methods for families of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **341** (2008), 276–286.

[19] W. Takahashi, H.-K. Xu and J.-C. Yao, *Iterative methods for generalized split feasibility problems in Hilbert spaces*, Set-Valued Var. Anal. **23** (2015), 205–221.

[20] M. Tsukada, *Convergence of best approximations in a smooth Banach space*, J. Approx. Theory **40** (1984), 301–309.

Manuscript received 5 February 2015
revised 28 February 2015

Satoru Takahashi
Yokohama Publishers, 101, 6–27, Satsukigaoka, Aoba-ku, Yokohama 227-0053, Japan
E-mail address: info@ybook.co.jp

Wataru Takahashi
Center for Fundamental Science, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung 80702, Taiwan;

Keio Research and Education Center for Natural Sciences, Keio University, Kouhoku-ku, Yokohama 223-8521, Japan;

Department of Mathematical and Computing Sciences, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8552, Japan
E-mail address: wataru@is.titech.ac.jp; wataru@a00.itscom.net