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#### Abstract

This is our third paper, after [4] and [5], about a joint application of the theory developed by Brezis and Mawhin in [1] with our minimax theorems ([2], [3]) to get multiple solutions of problems of the type $$
\begin{cases}\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=\nabla_{x} F(t, u) & \text { in }[0, T] \\ u(0)=u(T), u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T) & \end{cases}
$$ which are global minima of a suitable functional over a set of Lipschitzian functions. A challenging conjecture is also formulated.


## 1. Introduction

In what follows, $L, T$ are two fixed positive numbers. For each $r>0$, we set $B_{r}=\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}:|x|<r\right\}\left(|\cdot|\right.$ being the Euclidean norm on $\left.\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\overline{B_{r}}$ is the closure of $B_{r}$. The scalar product on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ is denoted by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the family of all homeomorphisms $\phi$ from $B_{L}$ onto $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that $\phi(0)=0$ and $\phi=\nabla \Phi$, where the function $\left.\left.\Phi: \overline{B_{L}} \rightarrow\right]-\infty, 0\right]$ is continuous and strictly convex in $\overline{B_{L}}$, and of class $C^{1}$ in $B_{L}$. Notice that 0 is the unique global minimum of $\Phi$ in $\overline{B_{L}}$.

We denote by $\mathcal{B}$ the family of all functions $F:[0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ which are measurable in $[0, T]$, of class $C^{1}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ and such that $\nabla_{x} F$ is measurable in $[0, T]$ and, for each $r>0$, one has $\sup _{x \in B_{r}}\left|\nabla_{x} F(\cdot, x)\right| \in L^{1}([0, T])$, with $F(\cdot, 0) \in L^{1}([0, T])$. Clearly, $\mathcal{B}$ is a linear subspace of $\mathbf{R}^{[0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^{n}}$. Given $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$ and $F \in \mathcal{B}$, we consider the problem $\left(P_{\phi, F)}\right)$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=\nabla_{x} F(t, u) \\
u(0)=u(T), u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T)
\end{array} \quad \text { in }[0, T]\right.
$$

A solution of this problem is any function $u:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ of class $C^{1}$, with $u^{\prime}([0, T]) \subset B_{L}, u(0)=u(T), u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T)$, such that the composite function $\phi \circ u^{\prime}$ is absolutely continuous in $[0, T]$ and one has $\left(\phi \circ u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(t)=\nabla_{x} F(t, u(t))$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$.

Now, we set

$$
K=\left\{u \in \operatorname{Lip}\left([0, T], \mathbf{R}^{n}\right):\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq L \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T], u(0)=u(T)\right\},
$$
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$\operatorname{Lip}\left([0, T], \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ being the space of all Lipschitzian functions from $[0, T]$ into $\mathbf{R}^{n}$.
Clearly, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{[0, T]}|u| \leq L T+\inf _{[0, T]}|u| \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in K$. Next, consider the functional $I: K \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by

$$
I(u)=\int_{0}^{T}\left(\Phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)+F(t, u(t))\right) d t
$$

for all $u \in K$.
In [1], Brezis and Mawhin proved the following result:
Theorem 1.1 ([1, Theorem 5.2]). Any global minimum of $I$ in $K$ is a solution of the problem $\left(P_{\phi, F}\right)$.

On the other hand, very recently, in [6], we established the following:
Theorem 1.2 ([6, Theorem 2.2]). Let $X$ be a topological space, let $E$ be a real normed space, let $I: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, let $\psi: X \rightarrow E$ and let $S \subseteq E^{*}$ be a convex set weakly-star dense in $E^{*}$. Assume that $\psi(X)$ is not convex and that $I+\eta \circ \psi$ is lower semicontinuous and inf-compact for all $\eta \in S$.

Then, there exists $\tilde{\eta} \in S$ such that the function $I+\tilde{\eta} \circ \psi$ has at least two global minima in $X$

The aim of this paper is to establish a new multiplicity result for the solutions of the problem $\left(P_{\phi, F}\right)$ as a joint application of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.
Notice that [4] and [5] are the only previous papers on multiple solutions for the problem ( $P_{\phi, F}$ ) which are global minima of $I$ in $K$.

## 2. The result

Here is our result:
Theorem 2.1. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{A}, F, G \in \mathcal{B}$ and $H \in C^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. Assume that:
$\left(a_{1}\right)$ there exists $q>0$ such that

$$
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\inf _{t \in[0, T]} F(t, x)}{|x|^{q}}=+\infty
$$

and

$$
\limsup _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\sup _{t \in[0, T]}|G(t, x)|+|H(x)|}{|x|^{q}}<+\infty ;
$$

(a $a_{2}$ there are $\gamma \in\left\{\inf _{\mathbf{R}^{n}} H, \sup _{\mathbf{R}^{n}} H\right\}$, with $H^{-1}(\gamma)$ at most countable, and $v, w \in$ $H^{-1}(\gamma)$ such that $\int_{0}^{T} G(t, v) d t \neq \int_{0}^{T} G(t, w) d t$.

Then, for each $\alpha \in L^{\infty}([0, T])$ having a constant sign and with meas $\left(\alpha^{-1}(0)\right)=0$, there exists $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}) \in \mathbf{R}^{2}$ such that the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=\nabla_{x}(F(t, u)+\tilde{\lambda} G(t, u)+\tilde{\mu} \alpha(t) H(u)) \quad \text { in }[0, T] \\
u(0)=u(T), u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T)
\end{array}\right.
$$

has at least two solutions which are global minima in $K$ of the functional

$$
u \rightarrow \int_{0}^{T}\left(\Phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)+F(t, u(t))+\tilde{\lambda} G(t, u(t))+\tilde{\mu} \alpha(t) H(u(t))\right) d t
$$

Proof. Fix $\alpha \in L^{\infty}([0, T])$ having a constant sign and with $\operatorname{meas}\left(\alpha^{-1}(0)\right)=0$. Let $C^{0}\left([0, T], \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ be the space of all continuous functions from $[0, T]$ into $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, with the norm $\sup _{[0, T]}|u|$. We are going to apply Theorem 1.2 taking $X=K$, regarded as a subset of $C^{0}\left([0, T], \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ with the relative topology, $E=\mathbf{R}^{2}$ and $I: K \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $\psi: K \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2}$ defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
I(u)=\int_{0}^{T}\left(\Phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)+F(t, u(t))\right) d t \\
\psi(u)=\left(\int_{0}^{T} G(t, u(t)) d t, \int_{0}^{T} \alpha(t) H(u(t)) d t\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $u \in K$. Fix $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbf{R}^{2}$. By Lemma 4.1 of [1], the function $I(\cdot)+\langle\psi(\cdot),(\lambda, \mu)\rangle$ is lower semicontinuous in $K$. Let us show that it is inf-compact too. First, observe that if $P \in \mathcal{B}$ then, for each $r>0$, there is $M \in L^{1}([0, T])$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in B_{r}}|P(t, x)| \leq M(t) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T]$. Indeed, by the mean value theorem, we have

$$
P(t, x)-P(t, 0)=\left\langle\nabla_{x} P(t, \xi), x\right\rangle
$$

for some $\xi$ in the segment joining 0 and $x$. Consequently, for all $t \in[0, T]$ and $x \in B_{r}$, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we clearly have

$$
|P(t, x)| \leq r \sup _{y \in B_{r}}\left|\nabla_{x} P(t, y)\right|+|P(t, 0)|
$$

So, to get (2.1), we can choose $M(t):=r \sup _{y \in B_{r}}\left|\nabla_{x} P(t, y)\right|+|P(t, 0)|$ which is in $L^{1}([0, T])$ since $P \in \mathcal{B}$. Now, by $\left(a_{1}\right)$, we can fix $c_{1}, \delta>0$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G(t, x)|+|H(x)| \leq c_{1}|x|^{q} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(t, x) \in[0, T] \times\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash B_{\delta}\right)$. Then, set

$$
c_{2}:=c_{1} \max \left\{|\lambda|,|\mu|\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}([0, T])}\right\}
$$

and, by $\left(a_{1}\right)$ again, fix $c_{3}>c_{2}$ and $\delta_{1}>\delta$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, x) \geq c_{3}|x|^{q} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(t, x) \in[0, T] \times\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash B_{\delta_{1}}\right)$. On the other hand, for what remarked above, there is $M \in L^{1}([0, T])$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in B_{\delta_{1}}}(|F(t, x)|+|\lambda G(t, x)|+|\mu \alpha(t) H(x)|) \leq M(t) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T]$. Therefore, from (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, x) \geq c_{3}|x|^{q}-M(t) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\lambda G(t, x)|+|\mu \alpha(t) H(x)| \leq c_{2}|x|^{q}+M(t) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(t, x) \in[0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^{n}$. Set

$$
b:=T \Phi(0)-2 \int_{0}^{T} M(t) d t
$$

For each $u \in K$, with $\sup _{[0, T]}|u| \geq L T$, taking (1.1), (2.5) and (2.6) into account, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
I(u)+\langle\psi(u),(\lambda, \mu)\rangle \geq T \Phi(0)+\int_{0}^{T} F(t, u(t)) d t-\int_{0}^{T}|\lambda G(t, u(t))| d t-\int_{0}^{T}|\mu \alpha(t) H(u(t))| d t \\
\geq T \Phi(0)+c_{3} \int_{0}^{T}|u(t)|^{q} d t-\int_{0}^{T} M(t) d t-c_{2} \int_{0}^{T}|u(t)|^{q} d t-\int_{0}^{T} M(t) d t \\
\geq\left(c_{3}-c_{2}\right) T \inf _{[0, T]}|u|^{q}-b \geq\left(c_{3}-c_{2}\right) T\left(\sup _{[0, T]}|u|-L T\right)^{q}+b .
\end{gathered}
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{[0, T]}|u| \leq\left(\frac{I(u)+\langle\psi(u),(\lambda, \mu)\rangle-b}{\left(c_{3}-c_{2}\right) T}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+L T . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $\rho \in \mathbf{R}$. By (2.7), the set

$$
C_{\rho}:=\{u \in K: I(u)+\langle\psi(u),(\lambda, \mu)\rangle \leq \rho\}
$$

turns out to be bounded. Moreover, the functions belonging to $C_{\rho}$ are equicontinuous since they lie in $K$. As a consequence, by the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem, $C_{\rho}$ is relatively compact in $C^{0}\left([0, T], \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. By lower semicontinuity, $C_{\rho}$ is closed in $K$. But $K$ is closed in $C^{0}\left([0, T], \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ and hence $C_{\rho}$ is compact. The inf-compactness of $I(\cdot)+\langle\psi(\cdot),(\lambda, \mu)\rangle$ is so shown. Now, we are going to prove that the set $\psi(K)$ is not convex. By $\left(a_{2}\right)$, the set $\left\{\int_{0}^{T} G(t, x) d t: x \in H^{-1}(\gamma)\right\}$ is at most countable since $H^{-1}(\gamma)$ is so. Hence, since $\int_{0}^{T} G(t, v) d t \neq \int_{0}^{T} G(t, w) d t$, we can fix $\left.\lambda \in\right] 0,1[$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} G(t, x) d t \neq \int_{0}^{T} G(t, w) d t+\lambda\left(\int_{0}^{T} G(t, v) d t-\int_{0}^{T} G(t, w) d t\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in H^{-1}(\gamma)$. Since $K$ contains the constant functions, the points

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{T} G(t, v) d t, \gamma \int_{0}^{T} \alpha(t) d t\right)
$$

and

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{T} G(t, w) d t, \gamma \int_{0}^{T} \alpha(t) d t\right)
$$

belong to $\psi(K)$. So, to show that $\psi(K)$ is not convex, it is enough to check that the point

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{T} G(t, w) d t+\lambda\left(\int_{0}^{T} G(t, v) d t-\int_{0}^{T} G(t, w) d t\right), \gamma \int_{0}^{T} \alpha(t) d t\right)
$$

does not belong to $\psi(K)$. Arguing by contradiction, suppose that there exists $u \in K$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{T} G(t, u(t)) d t= & \int_{0}^{T} G(t, w) d t+\lambda\left(\int_{0}^{T} G(t, v) d t-\int_{0}^{T} G(t, w) d t\right)  \tag{2.9}\\
& \int_{0}^{T} \alpha(t) H(u(t)) d t=\gamma \int_{0}^{T} \alpha(t) d t \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the functions $\alpha$ and $H \circ u-\gamma$ do not change sign, (2.10) implies that $\alpha(t)(H(u(t))-\gamma)=0$ a.e. in $[0, T]$. Consequently, since $\operatorname{meas}\left(\alpha^{-1}(0)\right)=0$, we have $H(u(t))=\gamma$ a.e. in $[0, T]$ and hence $H(u(t))=\gamma$ for all $t \in[0, T]$ since $H \circ u$ is continuous. In other words, the connected set $u([0, T])$ is contained in $H^{-1}(\gamma)$ which is at most countable. This implies that the function $u$ must be constant and so (2.9) contradicts (2.8). Therefore, $I$ and $\psi$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 and hence there exists $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}) \in \mathbf{R}^{2}$ such that the function $I(\cdot)+\langle\psi(\cdot),(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu})\rangle$ has at least two global minima in $K$. Thanks to Theorem 1.1, they are solutions of the problem we are dealing with, and the proof is complete.

Remark 2.2. Of course, $\left(a_{2}\right)$ is the leading assumption of Theorem 2.1. The request that $H^{-1}(\gamma)$ must be at most countable cannot be removed. Indeed, if we remove such a request, we could take $H=0, G(t, x)=\langle x, \omega\rangle$, with $\omega \in \mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ and $F(t, x)=\frac{1}{p}|x|^{p}$, with $p>1$. Now, observe that, by Proposition 3.2 of [1], for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=|u|^{p-2} u+\lambda \omega \text { in }[0, T] \\
u(0)=u(T), u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T)
\end{gathered}
$$

has a unique solution. To the contrary, the question of whether the condition $\int_{0}^{T} G(t, v) d t \neq \int_{0}^{T} G(t, w) d t$ (keeping $v \neq w$ ) can be dropped remains open at present. We feel, however, that it cannot be removed. In this connection, we propose the following
Conjecture 2.3. There exist $\phi \in \mathcal{A}, F \in \mathcal{B}, H \in C^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right), \alpha \in L^{\infty}([0, T])$, with $\alpha \geq 0$ and meas $\left(\alpha^{-1}(0)\right)=0$, and $q>0$ for which the following assertions hold:
$\left(b_{1}\right)$

$$
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\inf _{t \in[0, T]} F(t, x)}{|x|^{q}}=+\infty
$$

and

$$
\limsup _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{|H(x)|}{|x|^{q}}<+\infty
$$

$\left(b_{2}\right)$ the function $H$ has exactly two global minima ;
$\left(b_{3}\right)$ for each $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$, the functional

$$
u \rightarrow \int_{0}^{T}\left(\Phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)+F(t, u(t))+\mu \alpha(t) H(u(t))\right) d t
$$

has a unique global minimum in $K$.
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