

## ON A NONLINEAR CONSTRAINED PROBLEM OF A NONLINEAR FUNCTIONAL INTEGRAL EQUATION

A. M. A. EL-SAYED, E. M. HAMDALLAH, AND REDA GAMAL AHMED

**ABSTRACT.** In this article, we study a nonlinear constrained problem of a nonlinear functional integral equation constrained with a nonlinear positive constraint of functional equation with parameters in the class of Lebesgue integrable functions  $L_1[0, T]$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that a lot of problems investigated in engineering, mechanics, mathematical physics, vehicular traffic theory, queuing theory and also several real world problems can be described with help of various functional integral equations. The theory of functional integral equations is highly developed and constitutes a significant and important branch of nonlinear analysis. It is also known control theory in control systems engineering deals with the control of continuously operating dynamical systems in engineered processes and machines. There have been published, up to now, numerous research papers; see [6–10, 12, 14–16].

In this paper, we are concerned with the nonlinear positive constrained problem of the nonlinear functional integral equation

$$(1.1) \quad x(t) = f_1(t, \int_0^t f_2(t, s, x(s), u(s))ds), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

under the positive constraint  $u$  satisfies the (constraint) functional equation with parameter  $\lambda$

$$(1.2) \quad u(t) = g(t, \lambda u(t)), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

The existence of at least and exactly one solution  $x \in L_1[0, T]$  under certain conditions will be proved. The continuous dependence of the solution  $x \in L_1[0, T]$  on the set of solutions  $u$  of the (constraint) functional equation (1.2) and on the parameter  $\lambda$  and the functional  $g$  will be studied.

### 2. EXISTENCE OF SOLUTION

Consider the constrained problem of the nonlinear functional integral equation (1.1) and the nonlinear positive constraint (1.2) with the following assumptions:

- (1)  $f_1 : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies Caratheodory condition i.e., measurable in  $t \in [0, T]$  for all  $x \in R$  and continuous in  $x \in R$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . There

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34H05, 49J15, 34A12, 34k20, 34k25.

*Key words and phrases.* Nonlinear constraint; nonlinear functional integral equations, solutions in  $L_1[0, T]$ , continuous dependence.

exist an integrable function  $a_1(t) \in L^1[0, T]$  and a positive constant  $b_1 > 0$ , such that

$$|f_1(t, x)| \leq |a_1(t)| + b_1|x|.$$

- (2)  $f_2 : [0, T] \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies Carathéodory condition i.e., measurable in  $t, s \in [0, T]$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and continuous in  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $t, s \in [0, T]$ . There exist an integrable function  $k(t, s) \in L^1[0, T]$  and a positive constant  $b_2 > 0$ , such that

$$|f_2(t, s, x, u)| \leq |k(t, s)| + b_2(|x| + |u|).$$

- (3)

$$\int_0^T \int_0^t k(t, s) ds dt \leq M.$$

- (4)  $g : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  satisfies Carathéodory condition. There exist a measurable function  $a_2(t) \in L^1[0, T]$  and a positive constant  $b_3 > 0$ , such that

$$g(t, u) \leq |a_2(t)| + b_3(|u|).$$

- (5)  $b_1 b_2 T < 1$ ,  $b_3 |\lambda| < 1$ .

**Definition 2.1.** By a solution of the functional integral equation (1.1) we mean a function  $x \in L_1[0, T]$  that satisfies (1.1).

**Theorem 2.2.** Let the assumptions 1–5 be satisfied, then the functional integral equation (1.1), has at least one solution  $x \in L_1[0, T]$  depending on the existence of at least one solution  $u \in L_1[0, T]$  of the functional equation (1.2).

*Proof.* Let the operators  $A_1, A_2$  associated with the functional equation (1.2) and the functional integral equation (1.1) respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 u(t) &= g(t, \lambda u(t)), \\ A_2 x(t) &= f_1(t, \int_0^t f_2(t, s, x(s), u(s)) ds). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $Q_r = \{u \in L_1[0, T] : \|u\|_{L_1} \leq r\}$ , where  $r = \frac{\|a_2\|_{L_1}}{1 - b_3 |\lambda|}$ .

Then we have, for  $u \in Q_r$

$$\begin{aligned} |A_1 u(t)| &\leq |g(t, \lambda u(t))| \\ &\leq |a_2(t) + b_3 |\lambda u(t)|| \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above inequality from 0 to  $T$  and making the change of variable we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T |A_1 u(t)| dt &\leq \int_0^T (a_2(t) + b_3 |\lambda u(t)|) dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T |a_2(t)| dt + b_3 |\lambda| \int_0^T |u(t)| dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_1 u\|_{L_1} &\leq \|a_2\|_{L_1} + b_3 |\lambda| \|u\|_{L_1} \\ &\leq \|a_2\|_{L_1} + b_3 |\lambda| r = r. \end{aligned}$$

Therefor  $A_1 : Q_r \rightarrow Q_r$  and the class of functions  $\{A_1 u\}$  is uniformly bounded in  $Q_r$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be bounded subset of  $Q_r$ , then  $A_1(\Omega)$  is also bounded on  $Q_r$ .

Let  $u \in \Omega$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A_1 u)_h - A_1 u\|_{L_1} &= \int_0^T |(A_1 u)_h(t) - (A_1 u)(t)| dt \\ &= \int_0^T \left| \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} |(A_1 u)(s) ds - (A_1 u)(t)| dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^T \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} |g(s, \lambda u(s)) - g(t, \lambda u(t))| ds dt \end{aligned}$$

since  $f \in L_1[0, T]$ , It follows that

$$\frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} |g(s, \lambda u(s)) - g(t, \lambda u(t))| ds dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0,$$

From Egorov's theorem [13]

$$\begin{aligned} \exists \delta > 0, \quad E_\delta \subset [0, 1], \quad \mu(E) < \frac{\delta}{4r} \quad s.t \\ A_1(u)_h - A_1(u) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{uniformly on } I - E_\delta. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_1(u)_h - A_1 u\|_{L_1} &= \int_0^1 |(A_1(u))_h - A_1(u)| dt \\ (2.1) \quad &= \int_{I-E_\delta} |(A_1(u))_h - A_1(u)| dt + \int_{E_\delta} |(A_1(u))_h - A_1(u)| dt, \end{aligned}$$

using assumptions 1 and 2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} |g(s, \lambda u(s)) - g(t, \lambda u(t))| ds dt &\leq 2 \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} [m_3(s) + \lambda b_3 |u(s)|] dt \\ (2.2) \quad &\leq 2(\|m_3\| + \lambda r) \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} ds \leq 2r. \end{aligned}$$

from (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A_1 u)_h - A_1 u\|_{L_1} &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2\mu(I - E_\delta)} \int_{I-E_\delta} dt + 2r \int_{E_\delta} dt \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2\mu(I - E_\delta)} \mu(I - E_\delta) + 2r\mu(E_\delta) \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

then  $(A_1 u)_h \rightarrow (A_1 u)$  uniformly. Hence, by Arzela Theorem [13],  $A_1(\Omega)$  is relatively compact. Hence  $A_1$  is compact operator.

Let  $\{u_n\} \subset Q_r$  and  $u_n \rightarrow u$ , then from ( assumption 4) the continuity of the function  $g$  we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_1 u_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(t, \lambda u_n(t), \mu)$$

$$= g(t, \lambda \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(t), \mu) = A_1 u.$$

Then  $u_n \rightarrow u \Rightarrow A_1 u_n \rightarrow A_1 u$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This mean that the operator  $A_1$  is continuous operator.

Then by Schauder fixed point Theorem [11] there exist at least one solution  $u \in L_1[0, T]$  of the ( constraint) functional equations (1.2).

Now, for the existence of solutions of the functional integral equation (1.1) we have the following.

Let  $Q_{r_1} = \{x \in L_1[0, T] : \|x\|_{L_1} \leq r_1\}$ , where  $r_1 = \frac{\|a_1\|_{L_1} + b_1 M + b_1 b_2 T r_1}{1 - b_1 b_2 T}$ .

Then we have, for  $x \in Q_{r_1}$

$$\begin{aligned} |A_2 x(t)| &\leq |f_1(t, \int_0^t f_2(t, s, x(s), u(s)) ds)| \\ &\leq a_1(t) + b_1 \int_0^t |f_2(t, s, x(s), u(s))| ds \\ &\leq a_1(t) + b_1 \int_0^t (k(t, s) + b_2 |x(s)| + b_2 |u(s)|) ds \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above inequality from 0 to  $T$  and making the change of variable we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T |A_2 x(t)| dt &\leq \int_0^T (a_1(t) + b_1 \int_0^t (k(t, s) + b_2 |x(s)| + b_2 |u(s)|) ds) dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T |a_1(t)| dt + b_1 \int_0^T \int_0^t k(t, s) ds dt \\ &\quad + b_1 b_2 \int_0^T \int_0^t (|x(s)| + |u(s)|) ds dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_2 x\|_{L_1} &\leq \|a_1\|_{L_1} + b_1 M + b_1 b_2 T (\|x\|_{L_1} + \|u\|_{L_1}) \\ &\leq \|a_1\|_{L_1} + b_1 M + b_1 b_2 T (r_1 + r) = r_1, \end{aligned}$$

Therefor  $A_2 : Q_{r_1} \rightarrow Q_{r_1}$  and the class of functions  $\{A_2 x\}$  is uniformly bounded in  $Q_{r_1}$ .

Let  $\Omega_1$  be bounded subset of  $Q_{r_1}$ , then  $A_2(\Omega_1)$  is also bounded on  $Q_{r_1}$ .

Let  $x \in \Omega_1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A_2 x)_h - A_2 x\|_{L_1} &= \int_0^T |(A_2 x)_h(t) - (A_2 x)(t)| dt \\ &= \int_0^T \left| \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} |(A_2 x)(s) ds - (A_2 x)(t) dt \right| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} |f_1(s, \int_0^s f_2(s, \theta, x(\theta), u(\theta)) d\theta) \\ &\quad - f_1(t, \int_0^t f_2(t, s, x(s), u(s)) ds)| ds dt \end{aligned}$$

since  $f_1, f_2 \in L_1[0, T]$ , It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} |f_1(s, \int_0^s f_2(s, \theta, x(\theta), u(\theta)) d\theta \\ & - f_1(t, \int_0^t f_2(t, s, x(s), u(s)) ds)| ds \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

then  $(A_2x)_h \rightarrow (A_2x)$  uniformly. Hence, by Arzela Theorem [13],  $A_2(\Omega_1)$  is relatively compact. Hence  $A_2$  is compact operator.

Let  $\{x_n\} \subset Q_{r_1}$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_2x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_1(t, \int_0^t f_2(t, s, x_n(s), u(s)) ds) = A_2x.$$

Then  $x_n \rightarrow x \Rightarrow A_2x_n \rightarrow A_2x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This mean that the operator  $A_2$  is continuous operator.

Then by Schauder fixed point Theorem [11] there exist at least one solution  $x \in L_1[0, T]$  of the functional integral equation (1.1).  $\square$

### 3. MEASURE OF NON COMPACTNESS

The usefulness of the measure of noncompactness was pointed out by [2]. For papers studied such kind of equations (see [1, 3, 5], and references therein).

Consider Problem (1.1) under the constrain (1.2) with the following assumptions:

- 1':  $f_1 : I = [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfies Carathéodory condition, that is,  $f_1$  is measurable with respect to  $t$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and continuous in  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$  for almost all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

$$f_1(t, x) \leq |m_1(t)| + k_1|x|.$$

where  $m_1 \in L_1[0, T]$  and  $k_1$  is a positive constant. Moreover,  $f_1$  is nondecreasing with respect to all variables.

- 2':  $f_2 : I \times I \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfies Carathéodory condition, that is,  $f_2$  is measurable with respect to  $t, s$  for all  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$  and continuous in  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$  for almost all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

$$f_2(t, s, x, y) \leq |m_2(t, s)| + k_2(|x| + |y|).$$

where  $m_2 \in L_1[0, T]$  and  $k_2$  is a positive constant. Moreover,  $f_2$  is nondecreasing with respect to all variables.

- 3':  $g : I \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfies Carathéodory condition, there exist an integrable function  $b_3 > 0$ , such that

$$|g(t, x)| \leq |m_3(t)| + b(|x|),$$

where  $m_3(t) \in L^1[0, T]$  and  $b$  is a positive constant. Moreover  $g$  is nondecreasing with respect to all variable.

- 4':

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let the assumptions 1'–2' be satisfied, if  $b_3\lambda < 1$ , then problem (1.1)–(1.2) has at least one positive monotonic nondecreasing solution  $x \in L_1[0, T]$ .*

*Proof.* Let the operator  $F_1$  defined by the formula

$$(F_1u)(t) = g(t, \lambda u(t)), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

$B_{r_1} = \{u \in L_1[0, 1] : \|u\|_{L_1} \leq r_1\}$ . Let  $u \in L_1[0, T]$ , then we have

$$|(F_1u)(t)| \leq |m_3(t)| + b_3\lambda|u|.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_1u\| &= \int_0^T |(F_1u)(t)| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 [|m_3(t)| + b_3\lambda|u|] dt \\ &\leq \|m_3\| + b_3\lambda\|u\| \\ &\leq \|m_3\| + b_3\lambda r_1 = r_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $F_1u \in L_1[0, 1]$ , moreover the operator  $F_1$  maps  $B_{r_1}$  into itself, where

$$r_1 = \frac{\|m_3\|}{1 - b_3\lambda}.$$

Now, let  $Q_{r_1} \subset B_{r_1}$  containing of all functions positive and nondecreasing on  $[0, 1]$ . Clear that  $Q_{r_1}$  is nonempty, closed, bounded and convex. This mean that  $Q_{r_1}$  is a bounded subset of  $L_1$  consisting of all functions positive and nondecreasing on  $[0, 1]$ . Then by [4]  $Q_{r_1}$  is compact in measure. Now, we show that  $F_1$  transform the a positive nondecreasing function into functions of the same type. If  $x \in Q_{r_1}$ , then  $u(t)$  is positive nondecreasing function on  $[0, T]$  and  $g(s, \lambda u(s))ds$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$  is positive and nondecreasing function on  $[0, T]$  [4]. Thus the operator  $F_1 : Q_{r_1} \rightarrow Q_{r_1}$ .

Now, we show that  $F_1$  is continuous operator on  $Q_{r_1}$ . Let  $u_n \in Q_{r_1}$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$ . Then from our assumptions, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_1u_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (g(t, \lambda u_n(t))) \\ &= g(t, \lambda \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(s))ds = F_1u. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $F_1$  is continuous on  $Q_{r_1}$ .

Finally, we show that  $F_1$  is contraction with respect to the measure of non compactness  $\chi$ .

Let  $U$  be a nonempty subset of  $Q_{r_1}$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and take a measurable subset  $D \subset I$  such that  $\text{meas } D \leq \epsilon$ . Then for any  $u \in U$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_1u\|_D &\leq \int_D |g(t, \lambda u(t))| dt \\ &\leq \|m_3\|_D + b|\lambda|\|u\|_D + . \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \{\sup [\int_D |m_3(t)| dt : D \subset [0, T], \text{ meas. } D < \epsilon]\} = 0.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\beta(F_1u)(t) \leq b\beta\lambda(u(t)),$$

and

$$\beta(F_1U) \leq b\beta\lambda(U),$$

since  $Q_{r_1}$  is compact in measure, thus

$$\chi(F_1U) \leq b\lambda\chi(U),$$

since  $b < 1$ , it follows that  $F_1$  is contraction. Now by Darbo fixed point theorem, then exist at least one fixed point in  $Q_{r_1}$ . Consequently, there exist at least one solution  $u \in [0, T]$  of problem (1.2) and solution is positive and nondecreasing on  $[0, T]$ .

Now, let the operator  $F_2$  defined by the formula

$$(F_2x)(t) = f_1(t, \int_0^t f_2(t, s, x(s), u(s))ds), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

$B_{r_2} = \{x \in L_1[0, 1] : \|x\|_{L_1} \leq r_2\}$ . Let  $x \in L_1$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(F_2x)(t)| &\leq |f_1(t, \int_0^t f_2(t, s, x(s), u(s))ds)| \\ &\leq |m_1(t)| + k_1 \int_0^t |f_2(t, s, x(s), u(s))|ds \\ &\leq |m_1(t)| + k_1 \int_0^t [|m_2(t, s)| + k_2(|x(s)| + |u(s)|)]ds. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(F_2x)\| &\leq \int_0^T |m_1(t)|dt + k_1 \int_0^T \int_0^t [|m_2(t, s)| + k_2(|x(s)| + |u(s)|)]dsdt \\ &\leq \|m_1\| + k_1M + k_1k_2T\|x\| + k_1k_2T\|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $F_2x \in L_1$ , moreover the operator  $F_2$  maps  $B_{r_2}$  into itself, where

$$r_2 = \frac{\|m_1\| + k_1M + k_1k_2Tr_1}{1 - k_1k_2T}.$$

Now, let  $Q_{r_2} \subset B_{r_2}$  containing of all functions positive and nondecreasing on  $[0, 1]$ , thus  $Q_{r_2}$  is compact in measure.

Similarly, the operator  $F_2 : Q_{r_2} \rightarrow Q_{r_2}$  and it is continuous operator on  $Q_{r_2}$ .

Finally, we show that  $F_2$  is contraction with respect to the measure of non compactness  $\chi$ .

Let  $X$  be a nonempty subset of  $Q_{r_2}$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and take a measurable subset  $D \subset I$  such that  $\text{meas. } D \leq \epsilon$ . Then for any  $x \in X$ , we get

$$\|F_2x\|_D \leq \int_D |m_1(t)|dt + k_1 \int_D \int_0^t [|m_2(t, s)| + k_2(|x(s)| + |u(s)|)]dsdt.$$

But

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \sup \left[ \int_D |m_1(t)|dt : D \subset [0, T], \text{meas. } D < \epsilon \right] \right\} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \sup \left[ \int_D |m_2(t, s)|dt : D \subset [0, T], \text{meas. } D < \epsilon \right] \right\} = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \sup \left[ \int_D |u(t)|dt : D \subset [0, T], \text{meas. } D < \epsilon \right] \right\} = 0.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\beta(F_2x)(t) \leq k_1k_2\beta(x(t)),$$

and

$$\beta(F_2X) \leq k_1k_2\beta(X),$$

since  $Q_{r_2}$  is compact in measure, thus

$$\chi(F_2X) \leq k_1k_2\chi(X),$$

since  $(\frac{k_1}{B} + k_2) < 1$ , it follows that  $F_2$  is contraction. Now by Darbo fixed point theorem, there exist at least one solution  $x \in [0, T]$  of Problem (1.1) under the constrain (1.2) and the solution is positive and nondecreasing on  $[0, T]$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. CONTINUOUS DEPENDENCE

##### 4.1. Continuous dependence on the set of solutions of the constraint.

Consider firstly the following assumptions

1\*:  $f_1 : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is measurable in  $t \in [0, T]$  and satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$(4.1) \quad |f_1(t, x) - f_1(t, y)| \leq b_1|x - y|,$$

2\*:  $f_2 : [0, T] \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is measurable in  $t, s \in [0, T]$  and satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$|f_2(t, s, x, u) - f_2(t, s, x_1, u_1)| \leq b_2(|x - x_1| + |u - u_1|).$$

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let the assumptions 1\*-2\* be satisfied, then the solution of the functional integral equation (1.1) is unique. Moreover, this solution depends continuously on the set of solutions of the ( constraint) functional equations (1.2) in the sense that, if*

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \quad \exists \quad \delta(\epsilon) \quad \text{s.t} \quad \|u - u^*\|_{L_1} < \delta \Rightarrow \|x - x^*\|_{L_1} < \epsilon,$$

where  $x^*(t)$  is the solution of

$$(4.2) \quad x^*(t) = f_1(t, \int_0^t f_2(t, s, x^*(s), u^*(s))ds), \quad t \in (0, T],$$

and  $u, u^*$  are any two solutions of the ( constraint) functional equations (1.2).

*Proof.* From assumption 1\*, we obtain

$$|f_1(t, x) - f_1(t, 0)| \leq |f_1(t, x) - f_1(t, 0)| \leq b_1|x|$$

and

$$|f_1(t, x)| \leq b_1|x| + |f_1(t, 0)| = b_1|x| + a_1(t), \quad a_1(t) = |f_1(t, 0)|.$$

Therefor assumption 1 is satisfied, also by the same way we can show that assumption 2 is satisfied. Then the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied and the solutions of the functional integral equation (1.1) exist.

Let  $x, y$  be two the solution of (1.1), then

$$|x(t) - y(t)| = |f_1(t, \int_0^t f_2(t, s, x(s), u(s))ds)$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& -f_1(t, \int_0^t f_2(t, s, y(s), u(s))ds) | \\
& \leq b_1 \int_0^t |f_2(t, s, x(s), u(s)) - f_2(t, s, y(s), u(s))| ds \\
& \leq b_1 b_2 \int_0^t |x(s) - y(s)| ds
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above inequality from 0 to  $T$  and making the change of variable we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T |x(t) - y(t)| dt & \leq \int_0^T (b_1 b_2 \int_0^t |x(s) - y(s)| ds) dt \\
& \leq b_1 b_2 T \|x - y\|_{L_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(1 - b_1 b_2 T) \|x - y\|_{L_1} \leq 0.$$

Since  $b_1 b_2 T < 1$ , then  $x(t) = y(t)$  and the solution of the functional integral equation (1.1) is unique.

Now, Let  $x, x^*$  be two solutions of the functional integral equations (1.1) and (4.2) respectively corresponding to the two solutions  $u, u^*$  of the (constraint) functional equations (1.2). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|x(t) - x^*(t)| & = |f_1(t, \int_0^t f_2(t, s, x(s), u(s))ds) \\
& \quad - f_1(t, \int_0^t f_2(t, s, x^*(s), u^*(s))ds) | \\
& \leq b_1 \int_0^t |f_2(t, s, x(s), u(s)) - f_2(t, s, x^*(s), u^*(s))| ds \\
& \leq b_1 b_2 \int_0^t |x(s) - x^*(s)| ds + b_1 b_2 \int_0^t |u(s) - u^*(s)| ds
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above inequality from 0 to  $T$  and making the change of variable we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T |x(t) - x^*(t)| dt & \leq \int_0^T [b_1 b_2 \int_0^t |x(s) - x^*(s)| ds \\
& \quad + b_1 b_2 \int_0^t |u(s) - u^*(s)| ds] dt \\
& \leq b_1 b_2 T \|x - x^*\|_{L_1} + b_1 b_2 T \delta
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|x - x^*\|_{L_1} \leq \frac{b_1 b_2 T \delta}{1 - b_1 b_2 T}.$$

Then the solution of the functional integral equation (1.1) depends continuously on the set of solutions  $u \in L_1[0, T]$  of the ( constraint ) functional equation (1.1)..  $\square$

**4.2. Continuous dependence on the parameter  $\lambda$  and the functional  $g$ .** Consider firstly the following assumptions

$3^*$ :  $g : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is measurable in  $t \in [0, T]$  and satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$(4.3) \quad |g(t, x) - g(t, y)| \leq b_3|x - y|.$$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let the assumption  $3^*$  be satisfied, then the solution of the functional equation (1.2) is unique. Moreover, this solution depends continuously on the parameters  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and the functional  $g$ , if*

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta(\epsilon) \text{ s.t } |\lambda - \lambda^*| < \delta_1, \|g - g^*\|_{L_1} < \delta_3 \Rightarrow \|u - u^*\|_{L_1} < \epsilon_1,$$

where  $u^*(t)$  is the solution of

$$(4.4) \quad u^*(t) = g(t, \lambda^* u^*(t)), \quad t \in (0, T],$$

*Proof.* From assumption  $3^*$ , we obtain

$$|g(t, x)| - |g(t, 0)| \leq |g(t, x) - g(t, 0)| \leq b_3|x|$$

and

$$|g(t, x)| \leq b_3|x| + |g(t, 0)| = b_3|x| + a_2(t), \quad a_2(t) = |g(t, 0)|.$$

Therefor assumption 4 is satisfied. Then the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied and the solutions of the functional equation (1.2) exist.

Let  $u, v$  be two the solution of equation (1.2), then

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t) - v(t)| &= |g(t, \lambda u(t)) - g(t, \lambda v(t))| \\ &\leq b_3|\lambda u(t) - \lambda v(t)| \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above inequality from 0 to  $T$  and making the change of variable we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T |u(t) - v(t)| dt &\leq \int_0^T (b_3|\lambda u(t) - \lambda v(t)|) dt \\ &\leq b_3|\lambda| \int_0^T |u(t) - v(t)| dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|u - v\|_{L_1} \leq b_3|\lambda| \|u - v\|_{L_1}.$$

Since  $b_3|\lambda| < 1$ , then  $u(t) = v(t)$  and the solution of the functional equation (1.2) is unique.

Let  $u, u^*$  be two solutions of the functional equations (1.2) and (4.4) respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t) - u^*(t)| &= |g(t, \lambda u(t)) - g^*(t, \lambda^* u^*(t))| \\ &\leq |g(t, \lambda u(t)) - g(t, \lambda^* u(t))| \\ &\quad + |g(t, \lambda^* u(t)) - g(t, \lambda^* u^*(t))| \\ &\quad + |g(t, \lambda^* u^*(t)) - g^*(t, \lambda^* u^*(t))| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq b_3|\lambda u(t) - \lambda^* u(t)| + b_3|\lambda^* u(t) - \lambda^* u^*(t)| \\ &\quad + |g(t, \lambda^* u^*(t)) - g^*(t, \lambda^* u^*(t))|. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above inequality from 0 to  $T$  and making the change of variable we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T |u(t) - u^*(t)| dt &\leq \int_0^T [b_3|\lambda u(t) - \lambda^* u(t)| + b_3|\lambda^* u(t) \\ &\quad - \lambda^* u^*(t)|] dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T |g(t, \lambda^* u^*(t)) - g^*(t, \lambda^* u^*(t))| dt \\ &\leq b_3 T \delta_1 + b_3 \delta_2 \|u\|_{L_1} + b_3 |\lambda^*| \|u - u^*\|_{L_1} + \delta_3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(4.5) \quad \|u - u^*\|_{L_1} \leq \frac{b_3 \delta_1 \|u\|_{L_1} + \delta_3}{1 - b_3 |\lambda^*|} = \epsilon_1.$$

Then the solution of the functional integral equation (1.2) depends continuously on the parameter  $\lambda$  and the functional  $g$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.3.** The solution  $x \in L_1[0, T]$  of the functional integral equation (1.1) depends continuously on the parameter  $\lambda$  and the functional  $g$ , if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta(\epsilon) \text{ s.t } |\lambda - \lambda^*| < \delta_1, \|g - g^*\|_{L_1} < \delta_3 \Rightarrow \|x - x^*\|_{L_1} < \epsilon_2,$$

where  $x^*(t)$  is the solution of equation (4.2).

**Theorem 4.4.** Let the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 and 4.2 be satisfied, then the solution of the functional integral equation (1.1) depends continuously on the parameters  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and the functional  $g$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, x^*$  be the two solutions of the functional integral equations (1.1) and (4.2) respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |x(t) - x^*(t)| &= |f_1(t, \int_0^t f_2(t, s, x(s), u(s)) ds \\ &\quad - f_1(t, \int_0^t f_2(t, s, x^*(s), u^*(s)) ds)| \\ &\leq b_1 \int_0^t |f_2(t, s, x(s), u(s)) - f_2(t, s, x^*(s), u^*(s))| ds \\ &\leq b_1 b_2 \int_0^t |x(s) - x^*(s)| ds + b_1 b_2 \int_0^t |u(s) - u^*(s)| ds \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above inequality from 0 to  $T$  and making the change of variable we have

$$\int_0^T |x(t) - x^*(t)| dt \leq \int_0^T [b_1 b_2 \int_0^t |x(s) - x^*(s)| ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + b_1 b_2 \int_0^t |u(s) - u^*(s)| ds dt \\
\leq & b_1 b_2 T \|x - x^*\|_{L_1} + b_1 b_2 T \|u - u^*\|_{L_1},
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.6}$$

from (4.5) and (4.6), we obtain

$$\|x - x^*\|_{L_1} \leq \frac{b_1 b_2 T (b_3 \delta_1 \|u\|_{L_1} + T \delta_3)}{(1 - b_1 b_2 T)(1 - b_3 |\lambda^*|)} = \epsilon_2.$$

Then the solution of the functional integral equation (1.1) depends continuously on the parameters  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and the functional  $g$ .  $\square$

## 5. EXAMPLES:

**Example 5.1.** Consider the nonlinear integro-differential equation

$$\begin{aligned}
x(t) = & t^4 e^{-t} + \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} (\sin(3s + 3t) + \frac{\ln(1 + |x(s)|)}{4 + s^3} \\
& + \frac{s^4 \cos u(s)}{e^{|u(s)|}}) dt, \quad t \in [0, T],
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.1}$$

where

$$u(t) = t^5 + t^2 + 1 + \frac{|\lambda u(t)|}{\sqrt{|\lambda u(t)| + t + 9}} \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{5.2}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1(t, \int_0^t f_2(t, s, x(s), u(s)) ds) = & t^4 e^{-t} + \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} (\sin(3s + 3t) + \frac{\ln(1 + x(s))}{4 + s^3} \\
& + \frac{s^4 \cos u(s)}{e^{|u(s)|}}) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$g(t, \lambda u(t)) = t^5 + t^2 + 1 + \frac{|\lambda u(t)|}{\sqrt{|\lambda u(t)| + t + 9}}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|f_2(t, s, x(s), u(s))| \leq & \frac{1}{2} (\sin(3s + 3t)) + \frac{1}{8} |x(s)| \\
& + \frac{1}{8} \frac{s^4 \cos u(s)}{e^{|u(s)|}},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$|g(t, \lambda u(t))| = |t^5 + t^2 + 1| + \frac{1}{3} (|\lambda u(t)|)$$

The assumptions 1–5 of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied with  $a_1(t) = t^4 e^{-t} \in L_1[0, 1]$ ,  $k(t, s) = \frac{1}{2} \sin(3s + 3t) \in L^1[0, 1]$ ,  $a_2(t) = t^5 + t^2 + 1 \in L_1[0, 1]$ ,  $b_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $b_2 = \frac{1}{8}$ ,  $b_3 = \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $b_3 |\lambda| = \frac{1}{3} |\lambda| < 1$ ,  $b_1 b_2 T = \frac{1}{16} < 1$  Therefore, by applying to Theorem 2.2, the given the control problem of the functional integral equation (5.1)-(5.2) has a solution  $x \in L_1[0, T]$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bana's, *On the superposition operator and integrable solutions of some functional equation*, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications* **12** (1988), 777–784.
- [2] J. Bana's, *Integrable solutions of hammerstein and urysohn integral equations*, *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society*, **46** (1989), , 61–68.
- [3] J. Bana's and A. Chlebowicz, *On integrable solutions of a nonlinear volterra integral equation under Carathéodory conditions*, *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society* **41** (2009), 1073–1084.
- [4] J. Bana's and J. Rivero, *On measures of weak noncompactness*, *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, **151** (1988), 213–224.
- [5] J. Bana's and B. Rzepka, *An application of a measure of noncompactness in the study of asymptotic stability*, *Applied Mathematics Letters* **16** (2003), 1–6.
- [6] H. El-Owaidy and A. M. A. El-Sayed and R. G. Ahmed, *On an Integro-Differential equation of arbitrary(fractional) orders with nonlocal integral and Infinite Point boundary Conditions*, *Fract. Differ. Calculus*, **9** (2019) 227–242.
- [7] A. M. A. El-Sayed and R. G. Ahmed, *Existence of Solutions for a Functional Integro-Differential Equation with Infinite Point and Integral Conditions*, *Int. J. Appl. Comput. Math.* **5** (2019): Paper No. 108, 15 pp.
- [8] A. M. A. El-Sayed and R. G. Aahmed, *Solvability of the functional integro-differential equation with self-reference and state-dependence*, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **13** (2020), 1–8.
- [9] A. M. A. El-Sayed and R. G. Ahmed, *Solvability of a coupled system of functional integro-differential equations with infinite point and Riemann-Stieltjes integral conditions*, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **370** (2020): 124918, 18 pp.
- [10] A. El-Sayed and R. Gamal, *Infinite point and Riemann-Stieltjes integral conditions for an integro-differential equation*, *Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control*, **24** (2019) 733–754.
- [11] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Topics in metric fixed point theory*, *Cambirdge Universty Press*, 1990.
- [12] T. L. Guo *Controllability and observability of impulsive fractional linear time-invariant system*, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* **64** (2012), 3171–3182.
- [13] A. N. Kolomogorov and S. V. Fomin, *Inroductory real analysis*, *Dover Puble. Inc*, 1975.
- [14] D. Xu, Y. Li and W. Zhou *Controllability and observability of fractional linear systems with two different orders*, *The Scientific World Journal*, **2014** (2014).
- [15] X. Zhang and L. Liu and Y. Wu and Y. Zou, *Fixed-Point Theorems for Systems of Operator Equations and Their Applications to the Fractional Differential Equations*, *J. Funct. Spaces.* (2018): Art. ID 7469868, 9 pp.
- [16] Q. Zhong and X. Zhang, *Positive solution for higher-order singular infinite-point fractional differential equation with  $p$ -Laplacian*, *Adv. Difference Equ.* (2016): Paper No. 11, 11 pp.

*Manuscript received July 15 2021*

*revised October 13 2021*

A. M. A. EL-SAYED

Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, Egypt

*E-mail address:* amasayed@alexu.edu.eg

E. M. HAMDALLAH

Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, Egypt

*E-mail address:* emanhamdalla@hotmail.com

R. G. AHMED

Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt

*E-mail address:* redagamal@azhar.edu.eg