Applied Analysis and Optimization

Volume 2, Number 1, 2018, 87–92

Yokohama Publishers ISSN 2189-1664 Online Journal C Copyright 2018

ON ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS WITH COMBINED NONLINEARITIES

JENG-ENG LIN

ABSTRACT. We study certain elliptic equations with combined nonlinearities for the asymptotic behavior of the solution as the spatial variable \mathbf{x} approaches the infinity. We have found that the smooth solution that decays sufficiently fast at the infinity must be identically zero.

1. INTRODUCTION

The elliptic equation with combined nonlinearities

(1.1)
$$\Delta u = G(\mathbf{x}, u) + F(\mathbf{x}, u), \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$$

where Ω is a smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^n , has application in the theory for studying the activator-inhibitor systems modeling biological pattern formation [7, 8]. Problems of this type as well as the associated evolution equations have been proposed in the study of cellular automata and interacting particle systems with self-organized criticality [3]. It also appears in the study of long range Van der Waals interactions in thin films spreading on solid surfaces [6] and the study of the flow over an impermeable plate [2, 12]. This equation also appears in the study of the heat conduction in materials with corroded boundary [15] as well as in the study of the curvature of multiply warped products [4].

The mathematical study of the type of equation (1.1) has been an active field of study. Please see [1, 5, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17] and the references in these papers.

In this paper, we would like to examine the asymptotic behavior of the smooth solution as \mathbf{x} approaches the infinity in the case of $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^n$ for the elliptic equation with combined nonlinearities.

(1.2)
$$-\Delta u + g|u|^{q-1}u + h|u|^{p-1}u = 0$$

where 0 < q < p, g and h are continuous differentiable functions in \mathbb{R}^n . We will show that the solution which decays sufficiently fast at the infinity must be identically zero. The method follows [10, 11] in using the Morawetz multiplier [9]. Similar result also holds for the case of P-Laplacian equations with combined nonlinearities

(1.3)
$$-\nabla \cdot (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) + g|u|^{a-1} u + h|u|^{b-1} u = 0$$

where $p > 1, 0 < a < b, u = u(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$, and biharmonic equations with combined nonlinearities,

(1.4)
$$\Delta^2 u + g|u|^{q-1}u + h|u|^{p-1}u = 0,$$

where 0 < q < p, g and h are in $C^3(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J60, 35J61, 35J62.

Key words and phrases. Elliptic equations, combined nonlinearities, P-Laplacian.

JENG-ENG LIN

As usual, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \forall u$ denotes the gradient of $u, \forall \cdot u$ denotes the divergence of u, and $r = |\mathbf{x}|$. We use the notation $u_r = \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} = ((\frac{\mathbf{x}}{r}) \cdot \forall u)$ and $\partial_j = \partial/\partial x_j$. $F_r(\mathbf{x}, s)$ denotes $\partial F(\mathbf{x}, s)/\partial r = ((\mathbf{x}/r) \cdot \forall x F(\mathbf{x}, s))$.

 $C^{k}(\mathbf{R}^{n})$ is the space of functions whose partial derivatives of order up to and including k are continuously differentiable. Finally, we define

$$H(\zeta, q, p) = ((n-1)/(2r))\zeta(g|u|^{q-1}u + h|u|^{p-1}u)u$$

- $[\zeta_r + ((n-1)/r)\zeta][(1/(q+1))g|u|^{q+1} + (1/(p+1))h|u|^{p+1}]$
- $\zeta[(1/(q+1))g_r|u|^{q+1} + (1/(p+1))h_r|u|^{p+1}]$

2. Elliptic equations with combined nonlinearities

Multiplying Equation (1.2) by $\zeta(u_r + ((n-1)u/(2r)))$, where $\zeta \in C^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = \zeta(|\mathbf{x}|) = \zeta(r)$, we get

(2.1)
$$0 = (-\Delta u + g|u|^{q-1}u + h|u|^{p-1}u)\zeta(u_r + ((n-1)u/(2r))) = \nabla \cdot Y + Z,$$

where

$$Y = (\nabla u)[-\zeta(u_r + ((n-1)/(2r))u)] + (\nabla \zeta)((n-1)/(4r))u^2 + (\mathbf{x}/r)\zeta[(1/2)|u|^2 - ((n-1)/(4r^2))u^2 + (1/(q+1))g|u|^{q+1} + (1/(p+1))h|u|^{p+1}]$$

and

$$Z = (1/2)\zeta_r |\nabla u|^2 + (\nabla u \cdot \nabla \zeta)u_r - \zeta_r |u_r|^2 + ((1/r)\zeta - \zeta_r)(|\nabla u|^2 - |u_r|^2) + [(n-1)/(2r)][(1/r)\zeta_r - (1/2)(\Delta\zeta) + ((n-3)/(2r^2))\zeta]u^2 + H(\zeta, q, p)$$

Theorem 2.1. Let n > 3. Assume that u is a C^2 solution which satisfies

(A) $\lim_{R \to \infty} (\sup_{|\mathbf{x}| \le R} (|x^{\alpha}| | D^{\beta} u(x)|)) = 0$, for all multi-indices α and β such that

$$|\boldsymbol{\alpha}| \leq n-1 \quad and \quad |\boldsymbol{\beta}| \leq 1,$$

- (B) $\lim_{R \to \infty} R^{n-1} \sup_{|\mathbf{x}|=R} |(1/(q+1))g|u|^{q+1} + (1/(p+1))h|u|^{p+1}| = 0$, and
- (C) $H(1,q,p) \ge 0.$ Then $u \equiv 0.$

Proof. Let $\zeta = 1$. Integrating both sides of (2.1) in \mathbb{R}^n and using the conditions (A) and (B), we get

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left[(1/r)(|\nabla u|^2 - |u_r|^2) + ((n-1)(n-3)/(4r^3))u^2 + H(1,q,p) \right] d\mathbf{x} = 0.$$

Thus

$$0 \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} [(1/r)(|\nabla u|^2 - |u_r|^2 + ((n-1)(n-3)/(4r^3))u^2] d\mathbf{x}$$

=
$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} -H(1,q,p) d\mathbf{x} \leq 0,$$

since u satisfies the assumption (C). Therefore $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} [(1/r)(|\nabla u|^2 - |u_r|^2) + ((n-1)(n-3)/(4r^2))u^2] d\mathbf{x} = 0.$ Since $n > 3, u \equiv 0$.

Remark 2.2. Assume that g and h are constants.

The condition (A) in the hypothesis will be satisfied if

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} R^{n-1} \sup_{|\mathbf{x}|=R} |u| = 0$$

Also any of the following conditions would satisfy the condition (C).

- (a) q > 0 and h > 0 with 1 < q < p,
- (b) g < 0 and h > 0 with 0 < q < 1 < p,
- (c) q < 0 and h < 0 with 0 < q < p < 1.

Remark 2.3. In case either g or h is not a constant, then the following condition would satisfy (C).

$$(n-1)(1-q)g + 2rg_r \le 0$$
 and $(n-1)(1-p)h + 2rh_r \le 0$.

Remark 2.4. In the case of $n \ge 2$, by taking appropriate function for ζ , we can also get conditions for $u \equiv 0$. The details will be in a forthcoming article.

3. P-LAPLACIAN EQUATIONS WITH COMBINED NONLINEARITIES

Multiplying equation (1.3) by $\zeta(u_r + ((n-1)u/(2r)))$, where $\zeta \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = \zeta(|\mathbf{x}|) = \zeta(r)$, we get

(3.1)
$$0 = (-\nabla \cdot (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) + g|u|^{a-1} u + h|u|^{b-1} u)\zeta(u_r + ((n-1)u/(2r)))$$
$$= \nabla \cdot Y + Z,$$

where

$$Y = -\zeta |\nabla u|^{p-2} (\nabla u) (u_r + ((n-1)u/(2r))) + (\zeta/p)(\mathbf{x}/r) |\nabla u|^p + \zeta(\mathbf{x}/r) [(1/(a+1))g|u|^{a+1} + (1/(b+1))h|u|^{b+1}]$$

and

$$Z = -((\zeta/r) - \zeta') |\nabla u|^{p-2} [(u_r)^2 + ((n-1)/(2r))u_r u] - [(\zeta'/p) - (\zeta/(2pr))((n+1)p - 2(n-1))] |\nabla u|^p + H(\zeta, a, b).$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $n \ge 2$. Assume that u is a C^2 solution of (1.3) such that

(A) $\lim_{R \to \infty} \sup_{|\mathbf{x}| \le R} (|\mathbf{x}^{\alpha}| | D^{\beta} u(\mathbf{x})|) = 0, \text{ for all multi-indices } \boldsymbol{\alpha} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\beta}$ such that $|\boldsymbol{\alpha}| \leq n$ and $|\boldsymbol{\beta}| \leq 1$, and

JENG-ENG LIN

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\mathrm{B}) & \lim_{R \to \infty} R^{n-1} \sup_{|\mathbf{x}| = R} |(1/(a+1))g|u|^{a+1} + (1/(b+1))h|u|^{b+1}| = 0. \\ (\mathrm{C}) & \text{If } 1 2n/(n+1) \ and \ H(r,a,b) \geq 0, \ then \ u \equiv 0. \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $\zeta = r$. Integrating both sides of (3.1) in \mathbb{R}^n and using the assumptions (A) and (B), we get, after some calculation,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left[(2n - (n+1)p)/(2p) \right] |\nabla u|^p d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} H(r, a, b) d\mathbf{x}.$$

The conclusion of this theorem follows directly from the assumptions (C) and (D). $\hfill \square$

Remark 3.2. Assume g and h are constants. Then any of the following conditions would imply $u \equiv 0$:

 $\begin{array}{l} (a) \ 1 0, h > 0, 0 < a < b < (n+1)(n-1). \\ (b) \ 1 < p < 2n/(n+1), g > 0, h < 0, 0 < a < (n+1)/(n-1) < b. \\ (c) \ 1 < p < 2n/(n+1), g < 0, h < 0, (n+1)/(n-1) < a < b. \\ (d) \ p > 2n/(n+1), g < 0, h > 0, (n+1)/(n-1) < a < b. \\ (e) \ p > 2n/(n+1), g < 0, h > 0, 0 < a < (n+1)/(n-1) < b. \\ (f) \ p > 2n/(n+1), g < 0, h < 0, 0 < a < b < (n+1)/(n-1). \end{array}$

4. BIHARMONIC EQUATIONS WITH COMBINED NONLINEARITIES

Multiplying both sides of (1.4) by $\zeta(u_r + ((n-1)u/(2r)))$, where $\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = \zeta(|\mathbf{x}|) = \zeta(r)$ is in $C^4(\mathbf{R}^n)$, we get

$$(4.1) 0 = \nabla \cdot Y + Z$$

where Y depends on g,h,ζ and u as well as their partial derivatives up to and including the third order

$$Z = (3\zeta'/2)(\Delta u)^2 + A(u_r)^2 + B(|\nabla u|^2 - |u_r|^2) + Cu^2 + (\zeta - r\zeta')P + H(\zeta, q, p)$$

where

where

$$\begin{split} A &= -7\zeta'''/2 - (n-1)(n-3)(\zeta' - (\zeta/r))/(2r^2), \\ B &= -3\zeta'''/2 + (n-5)\zeta''/r - (n^2 + 2n - 19)(\zeta' - \zeta/r)/(2r^2), \\ C &= ((n-1)/2)[\zeta''''/(2r) + (n-3)\zeta'''/(r^2) \\ &+ (n-3)(n-7)\zeta''/(2r^3) - 3(n-3)(n-5)(\zeta' - \zeta/r)/(2r^4)]. \end{split}$$

and

$$P = (2/r) \left[\sum_{i,j} (S_{ij}u)^2 - \sum_i \left(\sum_j (x_j/r) S_{ij}u \right)^2 \right] \ge 0,$$

where

$$S_{ij}u = (x_i/r^3)\sum_k [x_k(x_k\partial_j - x_j\partial_k)u_r] + \partial_i\sum_k [(x_k/r^2)(x_k\partial_i - x_i\partial_k)u].$$

Theorem 4.1. Assume $n \ge 5$. Assume that u is a C^4 solution of (1.4) such that

- (A) $\lim_{R \to \infty} (\sup_{|\mathbf{x}| \le R} (|\mathbf{x}^{\alpha}| | D^{\beta} u(\mathbf{x})|)) = 0 \text{ for all multi-indices } \boldsymbol{\alpha} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\beta} \text{ such that}$
- $\begin{aligned} |\boldsymbol{\alpha}| &\leq n-1 \text{ and } |\boldsymbol{\beta}| \leq 3, \\ \text{(B) } \lim_{R \to \infty} (R^{n-1} \sup_{|\mathbf{x}|=R} |(1/(q+1))g|u|^{q+1} + (1/(p+1))h|u|^{p+1}|) = 0, \\ and \end{aligned}$
- (C) $H(1,q,p) \ge 0.$

Then $u \equiv 0$.

Proof. Let $\zeta = 1$. Integrating both sides of (4.1) in \mathbb{R}^n and using the assumptions (A) and (B), we get

$$0 = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} [(n-1)(n-3)(u_r)^2/(2r^3) + (n^2 + 2n - 19)(|\nabla u|^2 - |u_r|^2)/(2r^3) + 3(n-3)(n-5)u^2/(2r^5) + P + H(1,q,p)]d\mathbf{x}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} [(n-1)(n-3)(u_{r})^{2}/(2r^{3}) + (n^{2}+2n-19)(|\nabla u|^{2}-|u_{r}|^{2})/(2r^{3}) \\ &+ 3(n-3)(n-5)u^{2}/(2r^{5}) + P]d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} -H(1,q,p)d\mathbf{x} \\ &\leq 0 \end{split}$$

from the assumption (C).

Thus
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [(n-1)(n-3)(u_r)^2/(2r^3) + (n^2+2n-19)(|\nabla u|^2 - |u_r|^2)/(2r^3) + 3(n-3)(n-5)u^2/(2r^5) + P]d\mathbf{x} = 0.$$

Since $n \ge 5, u \equiv 0.$

Remark 4.2. Assume g and h are constants. Then any of the following conditions would satisfy the assumption (C).

(a) g > 0 and h > 0 with 1 < q < p, (b) g < 0 and h > 0 with 0 < q < 1 < p, (c) g < 0 and h < 0 with 0 < q < p < 1,

Remark 4.3. In the case of $n \ge 2$, by taking appropriate function for ζ , we can also get conditions for $u \equiv 0$. The details will be in a forthcoming article.

5. Acknowledgment

A partial result of this paper was presented in the NCTS International Workshop on Harmonic Analysis and Geometric Analysis, Taipei, Taiwan. May 23-25, 2017. The author wishes to thank the meeting organizer Dr. Der-Chen Chang for the generous support and the hospitality during the workshop.

JENG-ENG LIN

References

- A. Ambrosetti, H. Brezis and C. Cerami, Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some elliptic problems, J. Funct. Anal. 122 (1994), 519–543.
- [2] A. Callegari and A. Nachman, Some singular nonlinear differential equations arising in boundary layer theory, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 64 (1978), 96–105.
- [3] J. T. Chayes, S. J. Osher and J. V. Ralston, On singular diffusion equations with applications to self-organized criticality, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 46 (1971), 1363–1377.
- [4] F. Dobarro and B. Unal, Curvature of multiply warped products, J. Geometry Physics 55 (2005), 75–106.
- [5] M. Furtado, J. P. P. da Silva, and B. Souza, *Elliptic Equations with Weight and Combined Nonlinearities*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. 16 (2016), 509–517.
- [6] P. G. de Gennes, Wetting statics and synamics, Rev. Modern Phys. 57 (1985), 827–763.
- [7] A. Gierer and H. Meinhardt, A theory of biological pattern formation, Kybernetik 12 (1972), 30–39.
- [8] E. F. Keller and L. A. Segel, Initiation of slime mold aggregation viewed as an instability, J. Theoret. Biol. 26 (1970), 399–415.
- C. S. Morawetz, Time decay for nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation, Proc. Roy. Soc. A 306 (1968), 291–296.
- [10] J. Lin, Nonexistence of nontrivial solutions with decay order for some nonlinear elliptic equations, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 18 (2017), 883–892.
- J. Lin, Nonexistence of nontrivial solutions with decay order for a biharmonic P-Laplacian equation and system, Appl. Math. 8 (2017) 920–928.
- [12] A. Nachman and A. Callegari, A nonlinear singular boundary value problem in the theory of pseudo plastic fluids, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 38 (1980), 275–281.
- [13] V. Rädulescu, Singular phenomena in nonlinear elliptic problems, in Handbook of Differential Equations, vol. 4, 2007, pp. 485–593.
- [14] V. Rädulescu and D. Repovś, Combined effects in nonlinear problems arising in the study of anisotropic continuous media, Nonlinear Anal. 75 (2012), 1524–1530.
- [15] R. Shahurov, On strong solutions of a Robin problem modeling heat conduction in materials with corroded boundary, Nonlinear Anal. RWA 13 (2012), 441–451.
- [16] T. Wu, Multiple positive solutions for a class of concave-convex elliptic problems in Rⁿ involving sign-changing weight, J. Funct. Anal. 258 (2010), 99–131.
- [17] M. Yang and A. Li, Multiple solutions to Elliptic Equations on R^N with Combined Nonlinearities, Abst. Appl. Anal. Vol. 2014 (2014) Article ID 284953.

Manuscript received January 10 2018 revised March 25 2018

JENG-ENG LIN

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Georgetown University, Washington, DC 20057 USA *E-mail address*: JengEng.Lin@georgetown.edu